

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
Request for Comments: 9590
Category: Standards Track
ISSN: 2070-1721

K. Murchison
B. Gondwana
Fastmail
May 2024

IMAP Extension for Returning Mailbox METADATA in Extended LIST

Abstract

This document defines an extension to the Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) LIST command that allows the client to request mailbox annotations (metadata), along with other information typically returned by the LIST command.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9590>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
 2. Conventions Used in This Document
 3. METADATA Return Option to LIST Command
 4. Examples
 5. Formal Syntax
 6. Security Considerations
 7. Privacy Considerations
 8. IANA Considerations
 - 8.1. Registration of IMAP Capability LIST-METADATA
 - 8.2. Registration of LIST-EXTENDED Option METADATA
 9. References
 - 9.1. Normative References
 - 9.2. Informative References
- Authors' Addresses

1. Introduction

IMAP clients sometimes fetch mailbox metadata (e.g., color) to augment the display of mailboxes for the logged-in user. In order to do that, the client is forced to issue a LIST or LSUB command to list all available mailboxes, followed by a GETMETADATA command for each mailbox found. This document defines an extension to the IMAP LIST command that is identified by the capability string "LIST-METADATA". The LIST-METADATA extension allows the client to request annotations on available mailboxes, along with other information typically returned by the LIST command.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

In examples, "C:" indicates lines sent by a client that is connected to a server. "S:" indicates lines sent by the server to the client.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Long lines in examples are wrapped using "The Single Backslash Strategy" described in [RFC8792].

3. METADATA Return Option to LIST Command

[RFC5464] defines the GETMETADATA command that is used by an IMAP client to retrieve mailbox annotations. Sometimes, a client will have to look up the metadata for some or all of the mailboxes returned by the LIST command. Doing so in multiple GETMETADATA commands wastes bandwidth and can degrade performance if the client does not pipeline the requests.

This document extends the LIST command with a new return option, "METADATA", which allows the client to request all of the desired information in a single command. For each listable mailbox matching the list pattern and selection options, the server MUST return an untagged LIST response, followed by one or more untagged METADATA responses containing the mailbox annotations requested by the client. The untagged METADATA responses to an extended LIST command have the same syntax and semantics as those that would be returned by GETMETADATA commands on the same set of listable mailboxes (see Section 4.4.1 of [RFC5464]). As per Section 4.4 of [RFC5464], the server may return all requested annotations in a single METADATA response for each mailbox, or it may split the requested annotations into multiple METADATA responses for each mailbox.

If the server is unable to look up the annotations for given mailbox, it MAY drop the corresponding METADATA response. In such a situation, the LIST command would still return a tagged OK reply.

4. Examples

The following are examples of fetching metadata from only the top-level hierarchies of the mailbox using different sets of selection criteria (see Section 6.3.9 of [RFC9051]).

In this example:

- * The "color" annotation for the "foo" mailbox has not been set, so the METADATA response has a value of "NIL" (i.e., has no value).
- * "bar" has children, but isn't an actual mailbox itself, so it has no METADATA response.

===== NOTE: '\ ' line wrapping per RFC 8792 =====

```
C: A00 CAPABILITY
S: * CAPABILITY IMAP4rev1 IMAP4rev2 \
    LIST-EXTENDED LIST-METADATA METADATA
S: A00 OK Completed.
C: A01 LIST "" % \
    RETURN (METADATA ("/shared/vendor/cmu/cyrus-imapd/color"))
S: * LIST () "." "INBOX"
S: * METADATA INBOX ("/shared/vendor/cmu/cyrus-imapd/color" "#b71c1c")
S: * LIST () "." "foo"
S: * METADATA "foo" ("/shared/vendor/cmu/cyrus-imapd/color" NIL)
S: * LIST (\NonExistent) "." "bar"
S: A01 OK List completed.
```

In this example, the LIST response for the "foo" mailbox is returned because it has matching children, but no METADATA response is returned because "foo" itself doesn't match the selection criteria.

===== NOTE: '\ ' line wrapping per RFC 8792 =====

```
C: A02 LIST (SUBSCRIBED RECURSIVEMATCH) "" % \
    RETURN (METADATA ("/shared/vendor/cmu/cyrus-imapd/color"))
S: * LIST (\Subscribed) "." "INBOX"
S: * METADATA INBOX ("/shared/vendor/cmu/cyrus-imapd/color" "#b71c1c")
S: * LIST () "." "foo" (CHILDINFO ("SUBSCRIBED"))
S: A02 OK List completed.
```

5. Formal Syntax

The following syntax specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) as described in [RFC5234]. Note that "return-option" is defined in [RFC5258] and "entry" is defined in [RFC5464].

```
return-option =/ "METADATA" SP "(" entry *(SP entry) ")"
```

6. Security Considerations

This specification does not introduce any additional security concerns beyond those described in [RFC5258] and [RFC5464].

7. Privacy Considerations

This specification does not introduce any additional privacy concerns beyond those described in [RFC5464].

8. IANA Considerations

8.1. Registration of IMAP Capability LIST-METADATA

Per this document, IANA has added the "LIST-METADATA" IMAP capability to the "IMAP Capabilities" registry located at <https://www.iana.org/assignments/imap4-capabilities/>.

8.2. Registration of LIST-EXTENDED Option METADATA

Per this document, IANA has registered the "METADATA" LIST-EXTENDED option in the "LIST-EXTENDED options" registry located at <https://www.iana.org/assignments/imap-list-extended/>.

LIST-EXTENDED option name:
METADATA

LIST-EXTENDED option type:
RETURN

LIST-EXTENDED option description:

Causes the LIST command to return METADATA responses in addition to LIST responses.

Published specification:

RFC 9590, Section 3

Security considerations:

RFC 9590, Section 6

Intended usage:

COMMON

Person and email address to contact for further information:

Kenneth Murchison <murch@fastmailteam.com> and

Bron Gondwana <brong@fastmailteam.com>

Owner/Change controller:

IESG <iesg@ietf.org>

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC5258] Leiba, B. and A. Melnikov, "Internet Message Access Protocol version 4 - LIST Command Extensions", RFC 5258, DOI 10.17487/RFC5258, June 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5258>>.
- [RFC5464] Daboo, C., "The IMAP METADATA Extension", RFC 5464, DOI 10.17487/RFC5464, February 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5464>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC9051] Melnikov, A., Ed. and B. Leiba, Ed., "Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) - Version 4rev2", RFC 9051, DOI 10.17487/RFC9051, August 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9051>>.

9.2. Informative References

- [RFC8792] Watsen, K., Auerswald, E., Farrel, A., and Q. Wu, "Handling Long Lines in Content of Internet-Drafts and RFCs", RFC 8792, DOI 10.17487/RFC8792, June 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8792>>.

Authors' Addresses

Kenneth Murchison
Fastmail US LLC
1429 Walnut Street
Suite 1201
Philadelphia, PA 19102

United States of America
Email: murch@fastmailteam.com

Bron Gondwana
Fastmail Pty Ltd
Level 2, 114 William Street
Melbourne VIC 3000
Australia
Email: brong@fastmailteam.com