

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 5345  
Category: Informational

J. Schoenwaelder  
Jacobs University Bremen  
October 2008

Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)  
Traffic Measurements and Trace Exchange Formats

Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

IESG Note

The IESG thinks that this work is related to IETF work done in the Operations and Management Area related to SNMP, but this does not prevent publishing. This RFC is not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard. The IETF disclaims any knowledge of the fitness of this RFC for any purpose and notes that the decision to publish is not based on IETF review apart from the IETF Last Call on the allocation of a URI by IANA and the IESG review for conflict with IETF work. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion. See RFC 3932 for more information.

Abstract

The Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) is widely deployed to monitor, control, and (sometimes also) configure network elements. Even though the SNMP technology is well documented, it remains relatively unclear how SNMP is used in practice and what typical SNMP usage patterns are.

This document describes an approach to carrying out large-scale SNMP traffic measurements in order to develop a better understanding of how SNMP is used in real-world production networks. It describes the motivation, the measurement approach, and the tools and data formats needed to carry out such a study.

This document was produced within the IRTF's Network Management Research Group (NMRG), and it represents the consensus of all of the active contributors to this group.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	3
2. Measurement Approach .....	4
2.1. Capturing Traffic Traces .....	5
2.2. Converting Traffic Traces .....	6
2.3. Filtering Traffic Traces .....	7
2.4. Storing Traffic Traces .....	7
2.5. Analyzing Traffic Traces .....	8
3. Analysis of Traffic Traces .....	9
3.1. Basic Statistics .....	9
3.2. Periodic versus Aperiodic Traffic .....	9
3.3. Message Size and Latency Distributions .....	9
3.4. Concurrency Levels .....	10
3.5. Table Retrieval Approaches .....	10
3.6. Trap-Directed Polling - Myths or Reality? .....	10
3.7. Popular MIB Definitions .....	11
3.8. Usage of Obsolete Objects .....	11
3.9. Encoding Length Distributions .....	11
3.10. Counters and Discontinuities .....	11
3.11. Spin Locks .....	12
3.12. Row Creation .....	12
4. Trace Exchange Formats .....	12
4.1. XML Representation .....	12
4.2. CSV Representation .....	17
5. Security Considerations .....	18
6. IANA Considerations .....	19
7. Acknowledgements .....	19
8. References .....	20
8.1. Normative References .....	20
8.2. Informative References .....	20

## 1. Introduction

The Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) was introduced in the late 1980s [RFC1052] and has since then evolved to what is known today as the SNMP version 3 Framework (SNMPv3) [RFC3410]. While SNMP is widely deployed, it is not clear what protocol versions are being used, which protocol features are being used, how SNMP usage differs in different types of networks or organizations, which information is frequently queried, and what typical SNMP interaction patterns occur in real-world production networks.

There have been several publications in the recent past dealing with the performance of SNMP in general [SM99][Mal02][Pat01], the impact of SNMPv3 security [DSR01][CT04], or the relative performance of SNMP compared to Web Services [PDMQ04][PFGL04]. While these papers are generally useful to better understand the impact of various design decisions and technologies, some of these papers lack a strong foundation because authors typically assume certain SNMP interaction patterns without having experimental evidence that the assumptions are correct. In fact, there are many speculations on how SNMP is being used in real-world production networks, and performance comparisons are based on limited test cases, but no systematic measurements have been performed and published so far.

Many authors use the ifTable of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] or the tcpConnTable of the TCP-MIB [RFC4022] as a starting point for their analysis and comparison. Despite the fact that there is no evidence that operations on these tables dominate SNMP traffic, it is even more unclear how these tables are read and which optimizations are done (or not done) by real-world applications. It is also unclear what the actual traffic trade-off between periodic polling and more aperiodic bulk data retrieval is. Furthermore, we do not generally understand how much traffic is devoted to standardized MIB objects and how much traffic deals with proprietary MIB objects and whether the operation mix between these object classes differs between different operational environments (e.g., backbone networks, access networks, enterprise networks).

This document recommends an approach to collecting, codifying, and handling SNMP traffic traces in order to find answers to some of these questions. It describes the tools that have been developed to allow network operators to collect traffic traces and to share them with research groups interested in analyzing and modeling network management interactions.

While the SNMP trace collection and analysis effort was initiated by the research community, network operators can benefit from the SNMP measurements too. Several new tools are being developed as part of

this effort that can be used to capture and analyze the traffic generated by management stations. This resulting information can then be used to improve the efficiency and scalability of management systems.

The measurement approach described in this document is by design limited to the study of SNMP traffic. Studies of other management protocols or the impact of management protocols such as SNMP on other traffic sharing the same network resources is left to future efforts.

This is an Informational document, produced within the IRTF's Network Management Research Group (NMRG), and it represents the consensus of all of the active contributors to this group.

## 2. Measurement Approach

This section outlines the process of doing SNMP traffic measurements and analysis. The process consists of the following five basic steps:

1. Capture raw SNMP traffic traces in pcap packet capture files [1].
2. Convert the raw traffic traces into a structured machine and human-readable format. A suitable XML schema has been developed for this purpose that captures all SNMP message details. Another more compact comma-separated values (CSV) format has been developed that only keeps key information about SNMP messages.
3. Filter the converted traffic traces to hide or anonymize sensitive information. While the filtering is conceptually a separate step, filtering may actually be implemented as part of the previous data conversion step for efficiency reasons.
4. Submit the filtered traffic traces to a repository from which they can be retrieved and analyzed. Such a repository may be public, under the control of a research group, or under the control of a network operator who commits to run analysis scripts on the repository on behalf of researchers.
5. Analyze the traces by creating and executing analysis scripts that extract and aggregate information.

Several of the steps listed above require the involvement of network operators supporting the SNMP measurement projects. In many cases, the filtered XML and CSV representation of the SNMP traces will be the interface between the researchers writing analysis scripts and the operators involved in the measurement activity. It is therefore important to have a well-defined specification of these interfaces.

This section provides some advice and concrete hints on how the steps listed above can be carried out efficiently. Some special tools have been developed to assist network operators and researchers so that the time spent on supporting SNMP traffic measurement projects is limited. The following sections describe the five steps and some tools in more detail.

## 2.1. Capturing Traffic Traces

Capturing SNMP traffic traces can be done using packet sniffers such as tcpdump [2], wireshark [3], or similar applications. Some care must be taken to specify pcap filter expressions that match the SNMP transport endpoints used to carry SNMP traffic (typically 'udp and (port 161 or port 162)'). Furthermore, it is necessary to ensure that full packets are captured, that is packets are not truncated (tcpdump option -s 0). Finally, it is necessary to carefully select the placement of the capturing probe within the network. Especially on bridged LANs, it is important to ensure that all management traffic is captured and that the probe has access to all virtual LANs carrying management traffic. This usually requires placing the probe(s) close to the management system(s) and configuring dedicated monitoring ports on bridged networks. Some bridges have restrictions concerning their monitoring capabilities, and this should be investigated and documented where necessary.

It is recommended to capture at least a full week of data to capture diurnal patterns and one cycle of weekly behavior. Operators are strongly encouraged to capture traces over even longer periods of time. Tools such as tcpdump and tcpslice [2] or mergecap and editcap [3] can be used to split or merge pcap trace files as needed.

Several operating systems can offload some of the TCP/IP processing such as the calculation of transport layer checksum to network interface cards. Traces that include traffic to/from a capturing interface that supports TCP/IP offloading can include incorrect transport layer checksums. The simplest solution is of course to turn checksum offloading off while capturing traces (if that is feasible without losing too many packets). The other solution is to correct or ignore checksums during the subsequent conversion of the raw pcap files.

It is important to note that the raw pcap files should ideally be kept in permanent storage (e.g., compressed and encrypted on a CD ROM or DVD). To verify measurements, it might be necessary to go back to the original pcap files if, for example, bugs in the tools described below have been detected and fixed.

For each captured trace, some meta data should be recorded and made available. The meta data should include information such as where the trace was collected (name of the network and name of the organization owning the network, description of the measurement point in the network topology where the trace was collected), when it was collected, contact information, the size of the trace, any known special events, equipment failures, or major infrastructure changes during the data collection period and so on. It is also extremely useful to provide a unique identification. There are special online services such as DatCat [4] where meta data can be stored and which provide unique identifiers.

## 2.2. Converting Traffic Traces

Raw traces in pcap format must be converted into a format that is human readable while also remaining machine readable for efficient post-processing. Human readability makes it easy for an operator to verify that no sensitive data is left in a trace while machine readability is needed to efficiently extract relevant information.

The natural choice here is to use an XML format since XML is human as well as machine readable and there are many tools and high-level scripting language application programming interfaces (APIs) that can be used to process XML documents and to extract meaningful information. However, XML is also pretty verbose, which increases processing overhead. In particular, the usage of XML streaming APIs is strongly suggested since APIs that require an in-memory representation of XML documents do not handle large traces well.

Section 4.1 of this document defines a RELAX NG schema [OASISRNG] for representing SNMP traffic traces in XML. The schema captures all relevant details of an SNMP message in the XML format. Note that the XML format retains some information about the original ASN.1/BER encoding to support message size analysis.

A lightweight alternative to the full-blown XML representation based on comma-separated values (CSV) is defined in Section 4.2. The CSV format only captures selected parts of SNMP messages and is thus more compact and faster to process.

As explained in the previous sections, analysis programs that process raw pcap files should have an option to ignore incorrect checksums caused by TCP/IP offloading. In addition, analysis programs that process raw pcap files should be able to perform IP reassembly for SNMP messages that were fragmented at the IP layer.

The `snmpdump` [5] package has been developed to convert raw pcap files into XML and CSV format. The `snmpdump` program reads pcap, XML, or CSV files as input and produces XML files or CSV files as output.

Specific elements can be filtered as required to protect sensitive data.

### 2.3. Filtering Traffic Traces

Filtering sensitive data (e.g., access control lists or community strings) can be achieved by manipulating the XML representation of an SNMP trace. Standard XSLT processors (e.g., `xsltproc` [6]) can be used for this purpose. People familiar with the scripting language Perl might be interested in choosing a suitable Perl module to manipulate XML documents [7].

The `snmpdump` program, for example, can filter out sensitive information, e.g., by deleting or clearing all XML elements whose name matches a regular expression. Data type specific anonymization transformations that maintain lexicographic ordering for values that appear in instance identifiers [HS06] can be applied. Note that anonymization transformations are often bound to an initialization key and depend on the data being anonymized in an anonymization run. As a consequence, users must be careful when they merge data from independently anonymized traces. More information about network traffic trace anonymization techniques can be found in [XFA02], [FXAM04], [PAPL06], and [RW07].

### 2.4. Storing Traffic Traces

The raw pcap traces as well as the XML / CSV formatted traces should be stored in a stable archive or repository. Such an archive or repository might be maintained by research groups (e.g., the NMRG), network operators, or both. It is of key importance that captured traces are not lost or modified as they may form the basis of future research projects and may also be needed to verify published research results. Access to the archive might be restricted to those who have signed some sort of a non-disclosure agreement.

While this document recommends that raw traces should be kept, it must be noted that there are situations where this may not be feasible. The recommendation to keep raw traces may be ignored, for example, to comply with data-protection laws or to protect a network operator from being forced to provide the data to other organizations.

Lossless compression algorithms embodied in programs such as gzip or bzip2 can be used to compress even large trace files down to a size where they can be burned on DVDs for cheap long-term storage.

It must be stressed again that it is important to keep the original pcap traces in addition to the XML/CSV formatted traces since the pcap traces are the most authentic source of information. Improvements in the tool chain may require going back to the original pcap traces and rebuilding all intermediate formats from them.

## 2.5. Analyzing Traffic Traces

Scripts that analyze traffic traces must be verified for correctness. Ideally, all scripts used to analyze traffic traces will be publically accessible so that third parties can verify them. Furthermore, sharing scripts will enable other parties to repeat an analysis on other traffic traces and to extend such analysis scripts. It might be useful to establish a common, versioning repository for analysis scripts.

Due to the availability of XML parsers and the simplicity of the CSV format, trace files can be processed with tools written in almost any programming language. However, in order to facilitate a common vocabulary and to allow operators to easily read scripts they execute on trace files, it is suggested that analysis scripts be written in scripting languages such as Perl using suitable Perl modules to manipulate XML documents <<http://perl-xml.sourceforge.net/faq/>>. Using a scripting language such as Perl instead of system programming languages such as C or C++ has the advantage of reducing development time and making scripts more accessible to operators who may want to verify scripts before running them on trace files that may contain sensitive data.

The `snmpdump` tool provides an API to process SNMP messages in C/C++. While the coding of trace analysis programs in C/C++ should in general be avoided for code readability, verifiability, and portability reasons, using C/C++ might be the only option in dealing with very large traces efficiently.

Any results produced by analyzing a trace must be interpreted in the context of the trace. The nature of the network, the attachment point used to collect the trace, the nature of the applications generating SNMP traffic, or the events that happened while the trace was collected clearly influence the result. It is therefore important to be careful when drawing general conclusions based on a potentially (too) limited data set.



### 3. Analysis of Traffic Traces

This section discusses several questions that can be answered by analyzing SNMP traffic traces. The questions raised in the following subsections are meant to be illustrative and no attempt has been made to provide a complete list.

#### 3.1. Basic Statistics

Basic statistics cover things such as:

- o protocol version used,
- o protocol operations used,
- o message size distribution,
- o error message type frequency, or
- o usage of authentication and encryption mechanisms.

The Object Identifier (OID) names of the objects manipulated can be categorized into OID subtrees, for example, to identify 'standardized', 'proprietary', and 'experimental' objects.

#### 3.2. Periodic versus Aperiodic Traffic

SNMP is used to periodically poll devices as well as to retrieve information at the request of an operator or application. The periodic polling leads to periodic traffic patterns while on-demand information retrieval causes more aperiodic traffic patterns. It is worthwhile to understand what the relationship is between the amount of periodic and aperiodic traffic. It will be interesting to understand whether there are multiple levels of periodicity at different time scales.

Periodic polling behavior may be dependent on the application and polling engine it uses. For example, some management platforms allow applications to specify how long polled values may be kept in a cache before they are polled again. Such optimizations need to be considered when analyzing traces for periodic and aperiodic traffic.

#### 3.3. Message Size and Latency Distributions

SNMP messages are size constrained by the transport mappings used and the buffers provided by the SNMP engines. For the further evolution of the SNMP framework, it would be useful to know what the actual message size distributions are. It would be useful to understand the

latency distributions, especially the distribution of the processing times by SNMP command responders. Some SNMP implementations approximate networking delays by measuring request-response times, and it would be useful to understand to what extent this is a viable approach.

Some SNMP implementations update their counters from the underlying instrumentation following adaptive algorithms, not necessarily periodically, and not necessarily on-demand. The granularity of internal counter updates may impact latency measurements and should be taken into account.

### 3.4. Concurrency Levels

SNMP allows management stations to retrieve information from multiple agents concurrently. It will be interesting to identify what the typical concurrency level is that can be observed on production networks or whether management applications prefer more sequential ways of retrieving data.

Furthermore, it will be interesting to analyze how many redundant requests coming from applications are processed almost simultaneously by a device. The concurrency level and the amount of redundant requests has implications on caching strategies employed by SNMP agents.

### 3.5. Table Retrieval Approaches

Tables can be read in several different ways. The simplest and most inefficient approach is to retrieve tables object-by-object in column-by-column order. More advanced approaches try to read tables row-by-row or even multiple-rows-by-multiple-rows. The retrieval of index elements can be suppressed in most cases or only a subset of columns of a table are retrieved. It will be useful to know which of these approaches are used on production networks since this has a direct implication on agent implementation techniques and caching strategies.

### 3.6. Trap-Directed Polling - Myths or Reality?

SNMP is built around a concept called trap-directed polling. Management applications are responsible to periodically poll SNMP agents to determine their status. In addition, SNMP agents can send traps to notify SNMP managers about events so that SNMP managers can adapt their polling strategy and basically react faster than normal polling would allow.

Analysis of SNMP traffic traces can identify whether trap-directed polling is actually deployed. In particular, the question that should be addressed is whether SNMP notifications lead to changes in the short-term polling behavior of management stations. In particular, it should be investigated to what extent SNMP managers use automated procedures to track down the meaning of the event conveyed by an SNMP notification.

### 3.7. Popular MIB Definitions

An analysis of object identifier prefixes can identify the most popular MIB modules and the most important object types or notification types defined by these modules. Such information would be very valuable for the further maintenance and development of these and related MIB modules.

### 3.8. Usage of Obsolete Objects

Several objects from the early days have been obsoleted because they cannot properly represent today's networks. A typical example is the `ipRouteTable` that was obsoleted because it was not able to represent classless routing, introduced and deployed on the Internet in 1993. Some of these obsolete objects are still mentioned in popular publications as well as research papers. It will be interesting to find out whether they are also still used by management applications or whether management applications have been updated to use the replacement objects.

Depending on the data recorded in a trace, it might be possible to determine the age of devices by looking at the values of objects such as `sysObjectID` and `sysDecr` [RFC3418]. The age of a device can then be taken into consideration when analyzing the use of obsolete and deprecated objects.

### 3.9. Encoding Length Distributions

It will be useful to understand the encoding length distributions for various data types. Assumptions about encoding length distributions are sometimes used to estimate SNMP message sizes in order to meet transport and buffer size constraints.

### 3.10. Counters and Discontinuities

Counters can experience discontinuities [RFC2578]. A widely used discontinuity indicator is the `sysUpTime` scalar of the SNMPv2-MIB [RFC3418], which can be reset through a warm start to indicate counter discontinuities. Some MIB modules introduce more specific discontinuity indicators, e.g., the `ifCounterDiscontinuityTime` of the

IF-MIB [RFC2863]. It will be interesting to study to what extent these objects are actually used by management applications to handle discontinuity events.

### 3.11. Spin Locks

Cooperating command generators can use advisory locks to coordinate their usage of SNMP write operations. The `snmpSetSerialNo` scalar of the SNMPv2-MIB [RFC3418] is the default coarse-grain coordination object. It will be interesting to find out whether there are command generators that coordinate themselves using these spin locks.

### 3.12. Row Creation

Row creation is an operation not natively supported by the protocol operations. Instead, conceptual tables supporting row creation typically provide a control column that uses the RowStatus textual convention defined in the SNMPv2-TC [RFC2579] module. The RowStatus itself supports different row creation modes, namely `createAndWait` (dribble-mode) and `createAndGo` (one-shot mode). Different approaches can be used to derive the instance identifier if it does not have special semantics associated with it. It will be useful to study which of the various row creation approaches are actually used by management applications on production networks.

## 4. Trace Exchange Formats

### 4.1. XML Representation

The XML format has been designed to keep all information associated with SNMP messages. The format is specified in RELAX NG compact notation [OASISRNC]. Freely available tools such as `trang` [8] can be used to convert RELAX NG compact syntax to other XML schema notations.

The XML format can represent SNMPv1, SNMPv2c, and SNMPv3 messages. In case a new version of SNMP is introduced in the future or existing SNMP versions are extended in ways that require changes to the XML format, a new XML format with a different namespace needs to be defined (e.g., by incrementing the version number included in the namespace URI).

# Relax NG grammar for the XML SNMP trace format.

#

# Published as part of RFC 5345.

```
default namespace = "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:snmp-trace-1.0"
```

```
start =
```

```
  element snmptrace {  
    packet.elem*  
  }
```

```
packet.elem =
```

```
  element packet {  
    element time-sec { xsd:unsignedInt },  
    element time-usec { xsd:unsignedInt },  
    element src-ip { ipAddress.type },  
    element src-port { xsd:unsignedInt },  
    element dst-ip { ipAddress.type },  
    element dst-port { xsd:unsignedInt },  
    snmp.elem  
  }
```

```
snmp.elem =
```

```
  element snmp {  
    length.attrs?,  
    message.elem  
  }
```

```
message.elem =
```

```
  element version { length.attrs, xsd:int },  
  element community { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary },  
  pdu.elem
```

```
message.elem |=
```

```
  element version { length.attrs, xsd:int },  
  element message {  
    length.attrs,  
    element msg-id { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt },  
    element max-size { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt },  
    element flags { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary },  
    element security-model { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt }  
  },  
  usm.elem?,  
  element scoped-pdu {  
    length.attrs,  
    element context-engine-id { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary },  
    element context-name { length.attrs, xsd:string },  
    pdu.elem  
  }
```

```
usm.elem =
```

```
  element usm {
```

```

    length.attrs,
    element auth-engine-id      { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary },
    element auth-engine-boots   { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt },
    element auth-engine-time    { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt },
    element user                 { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary },
    element auth-params         { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary },
    element priv-params         { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary }
}

pdu.elem =
  element trap {
    length.attrs,
    element enterprise      { length.attrs, oid.type },
    element agent-addr      { length.attrs, ipv4address.type },
    element generic-trap    { length.attrs, xsd:int },
    element specific-trap   { length.attrs, xsd:int },
    element time-stamp      { length.attrs, xsd:int },
    element variable-bindings { length.attrs, varbind.elem* }
  }

pdu.elem |=
  element (get-request | get-next-request | get-bulk-request |
    set-request | inform-request | snmpV2-trap |
    response | report) {
    length.attrs,
    element request-id      { length.attrs, xsd:int },
    element error-status     { length.attrs, xsd:int },
    element error-index      { length.attrs, xsd:int },
    element variable-bindings { length.attrs, varbind.elem* }
  }

varbind.elem =
  element varbind { length.attrs, name.elem, value.elem }

name.elem =
  element name { length.attrs, oid.type }

value.elem =
  element null          { length.attrs, empty } |
  element integer32     { length.attrs, xsd:int } |
  element unsigned32    { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt } |
  element counter32     { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt } |
  element counter64     { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedLong } |
  element timeticks     { length.attrs, xsd:unsignedInt } |
  element ipaddress     { length.attrs, ipv4address.type } |
  element octet-string  { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary } |
  element object-identifier { length.attrs, oid.type } |
  element opaque        { length.attrs, xsd:hexBinary } |

```

```

element no-such-object      { length.attrs, empty } |
element no-such-instance   { length.attrs, empty } |
element end-of-mib-view    { length.attrs, empty }

```

# The blen attribute indicates the number of octets used by the BER  
 # encoded tag / length / value triple. The vlen attribute indicates  
 # the number of octets used by the BER encoded value alone.

```

length.attrs =
  ( attribute blen { xsd:unsignedShort },
    attribute vlen { xsd:unsignedShort } )?

oid.type =
  xsd:string {
    pattern =
      "([0-1](\.[1-3]?[0-9]))|(2.(0|([1-9]\d*)))" ~
      "(\.(0|([1-9]\d*)))" {0,126}
  }

```

# The types below are for IP addresses. Note that SNMP's buildin  
 # IPAddress type only supports IPv4 addresses; IPv6 addresses are only  
 # introduced to cover SNMP over IPv6 endpoints.

```

ipv4address.type =
  xsd:string {
    pattern =
      "((0|(1[0-9]{0,2})) ~
      "|(2((([0-4][0-9]?)|(5[0-5]?)|([6-9]?))|([3-9][0-9]?))\.)}{3}" ~
      "(0|(1[0-9]{0,2}))" ~
      "|(2((([0-4][0-9]?)|(5[0-5]?)|([6-9]?))|([3-9][0-9]?))"
  }

ipv6address.type =
  xsd:string {
    pattern =
      "([0-9a-fA-F]{4:}){7}[0-9a-fA-F]{1:}" ~
      "([0-9a-fA-F]{4:})*[0-9a-fA-F]{1:}?:([0-9a-fA-F]{4:})*[0-9a-fA-F]{1:}?"
  }

ipaddress.type = ipv4address.type | ipv6address.type

```

The following example shows an SNMP trace file in XML format  
 containing an SNMPv1 get-next-request message for the OID  
 1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3 (sysUpTime) and the response message returned by the  
 agent.

```
<snmptrace xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:snmp-trace-1.0">
  <packet>
    <time-sec>1147212206</time-sec>
    <time-usec>739609</time-usec>
    <src-ip>192.0.2.1</src-ip>
    <src-port>60371</src-port>
    <dst-ip>192.0.2.2</dst-ip>
    <dst-port>12345</dst-port>
    <snmp blen="42" vlen="40">
      <version blen="3" vlen="1">1</version>
      <community blen="8" vlen="6">7075626c6963</community>
      <get-next-request blen="29" vlen="27">
        <request-id blen="6" vlen="4">1804289383</request-id>
        <error-status blen="3" vlen="1">0</error-status>
        <error-index blen="3" vlen="1">0</error-index>
        <variable-bindings blen="15" vlen="13">
          <varbind blen="13" vlen="11">
            <name blen="9" vlen="7">1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3</name>
            <null blen="2" vlen="0"/>
          </varbind>
        </variable-bindings>
      </get-next-request>
    </snmp>
  </packet>
  <packet>
    <time-sec>1147212206</time-sec>
    <time-usec>762891</time-usec>
    <src-ip>192.0.2.2</src-ip>
    <src-port>12345</src-port>
    <dst-ip>192.0.2.1</dst-ip>
    <dst-port>60371</dst-port>
    <snmp blen="47" vlen="45">
      <version blen="3" vlen="1">1</version>
      <community blen="8" vlen="6">7075626c6963</community>
      <response blen="34" vlen="32">
        <request-id blen="6" vlen="4">1804289383</request-id>
        <error-status blen="3" vlen="1">0</error-status>
        <error-index blen="3" vlen="1">0</error-index>
        <variable-bindings blen="20" vlen="18">
          <varbind blen="18" vlen="16">
            <name blen="10" vlen="8">1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3.0</name>
            <unsigned32 blen="6" vlen="4">26842224</unsigned32>
          </varbind>
        </variable-bindings>
      </response>
    </snmp>
  </packet>
</snmptrace>
```



#### 4.2. CSV Representation

The comma-separated values (CSV) format has been designed to capture only the most relevant information about an SNMP message. In situations where all information about an SNMP message must be captured, the XML format defined above must be used. The CSV format uses the following fields:

1. Timestamp in the format seconds.microseconds since 1970, for example, "1137764769.425484".
2. Source IP address in dotted quad notation (IPv4), for example, "192.0.2.1", or compact hexadecimal notation (IPv6), for example, "2001:DB8::1".
3. Source port number represented as a decimal number, for example, "4242".
4. Destination IP address in dotted quad notation (IPv4), for example, "192.0.2.1", or compact hexadecimal notation (IPv6), for example, "2001:DB8::1".
5. Destination port number represented as a decimal number, for example, "161".
6. Size of the SNMP message (a decimal number) counted in octets, for example, "123". The size excludes all transport, network, and link-layer headers.
7. SNMP message version represented as a decimal number. The version 0 stands for SNMPv1, 1 for SNMPv2c, and 3 for SNMPv3, for example, "3".
8. SNMP protocol operation indicated by one of the keywords get-request, get-next-request, get-bulk-request, set-request, trap, snmpV2-trap, inform-request, response, report.
9. SNMP request-id in decimal notation, for example, "1511411010".
10. SNMP error-status in decimal notation, for example, "0".
11. SNMP error-index in decimal notation, for example, "0".
12. Number of variable-bindings contained in the varbind-list in decimal notation, for example, "5".
13. For each varbind in the varbind list, three output elements are generated:

1. Object name given as object identifier in dotted decimal notation, for example, "1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3.0".
2. Object base type name or exception name, that is one of the following: null, integer32, unsigned32, counter32, counter64, timeticks, ipaddress, octet-string, object-identifier, opaque, no-such-object, no-such-instance, and end-of-mib-view.
3. Object value is printed as a number if the underlying base type is numeric. An IPv4 addresses is rendered in the dotted quad notation and an IPv6 address is rendered in the usual hexadecimal notation. An octet string value is printed in hexadecimal format while an object identifier value is printed in dotted decimal notation. In case of an exception, the object value is empty.

Note that the format does not preserve the information needed to understand SNMPv1 traps. It is therefore recommended that implementations be able to convert the SNMPv1 trap format into the trap format used by SNMPv2c and SNMPv3, according to the rules defined in [RFC3584]. The activation of trap format conversion should be the user's choice.

The following example shows an SNMP trace file in CSV format containing an SNMPv1 get-next-request message for the OID 1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3 (sysUpTime) and the response message returned by the agent. (Note that the example uses backslash line continuation marks in order to fit the example into the RFC format. Backslash line continuations are not part of the CSV format.)

```
1147212206.739609,192.0.2.1,60371,192.0.2.2,12345,42,1,\
  get-next-request,1804289383,0,0,1,1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3,null,
1147212206.762891,192.0.2.2,12345,192.0.2.1,60371,47,1,\
  response,1804289383,0,0,1,1.3.6.1.2.1.1.3.0,timeticks,26842224
```

## 5. Security Considerations

SNMP traffic traces usually contain sensitive information. It is therefore necessary to (a) remove unwanted information and (b) to anonymize the remaining necessary information before traces are made available for analysis. It is recommended to encrypt traces when they are archived.

Implementations that generate CSV or XML traces from raw pcap files should have an option to suppress or anonymize values. Note that instance identifiers of tables also include values, and it might therefore be necessary to suppress or anonymize (parts of) the

instance identifiers. Similarly, the packet and message headers typically contain sensitive information about the source and destination of SNMP messages as well as authentication information (community strings or user names).

Anonymization techniques can be applied to keep information in traces that could otherwise reveal sensitive information. When using anonymization, values should only be kept when the underlying data type is known and an appropriate anonymization transformation is available (filter-in principle). For values appearing in instance identifiers, it is usually desirable to maintain the lexicographic order. Special anonymization transformations that preserve this property have been developed, although their anonymization strength is usually reduced compared to transformations that do not preserve lexicographic ordering [HS06].

The meta data associated with traces and in particular information about the organization owning a network and the description of the measurement point in the network topology where a trace was collected may be misused to decide/pinpoint where and how to attack a network. Meta data therefore needs to be properly protected.

## 6. IANA Considerations

Per this document, IANA has registered a URI for the SNMP XML trace format namespace in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration has been made:

URI: "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:snmp-trace-1.0"

Registrant Contact: The NMRG of the IRTF.

XML: N/A, the URI is an XML namespace.

## 7. Acknowledgements

This document was influenced by discussions within the Network Management Research Group (NMRG). Special thanks to Remco van de Meent for writing the initial Perl script that lead to the development of the snmpdump software package and Matus Harvan for his work on lexicographic order preserving anonymization transformations. Aiko Pras contributed ideas to Section 3 while David Harrington helped to improve the readability of this document.

Last call reviews have been received from Bert Wijnen, Aiko Pras, Frank Strauss, Remco van de Meent, Giorgio Nunzi, Wes Hardacker, Liam Fallon, Sharon Chisholm, David Perkins, Deep Medhi, Randy Bush, David Harrington, Dan Romascanu, Luca Deri, and Marc Burgess. Karen R.

Sollins reviewed the document for the Internet Research Steering Group (IRSG). Jari Arkko, Pasi Eronen, Chris Newman, and Tim Polk provided helpful comments during the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG) review.

Part of this work was funded by the European Commission under grant FP6-2004-IST-4-EMANICS-026854-NOE.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., and J. Schoenwaelder, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [OASISRNG] Clark, J. and M. Makoto, "RELAX NG Specification", OASIS Committee Specification, December 2001.
- [OASISRNC] Clark, J., "RELAX NG Compact Syntax", OASIS Committee Specification, November 2002.
- [RFC3584] Frye, R., Levi, D., Routhier, S., and B. Wijnen, "Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", BCP 74, RFC 3584, August 2003.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [RFC1052] Cerf, V., "IAB Recommendations for the development of Internet network management standards", RFC 1052, April 1998.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., and J. Schoenwaelder, "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.
- [RFC3418] Presuhn, R., Ed., "Management Information Base (MIB) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 62, RFC 3418, December 2002.
- [RFC2863] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.

- [RFC3410] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart, "Introduction and Applicability Statements for Internet-Standard Management Framework", RFC 3410, December 2002.
- [RFC4022] Raghunarayan, R., "Management Information Base for the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)", RFC 4022, March 2005.
- [PDMQ04] Pras, A., Drevers, T., van de Meent, R., and D. Quartel, "Comparing the Performance of SNMP and Web Services based Management", IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management 1(2), November 2004.
- [Pat01] Pattinson, C., "A Study of the Behaviour of the Simple Network Management Protocol", Proc. 12th IFIP/IEEE Workshop on Distributed Systems: Operations and Management , October 2001.
- [DSR01] Du, X., Shayman, M., and M. Rozenblit, "Implementation and Performance Analysis of SNMP on a TLS/TCP Base", Proc. 7th IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management , May 2001.
- [CT04] Corrente, A. and L. Tura, "Security Performance Analysis of SNMPv3 with Respect to SNMPv2c", Proc. 2004 IEEE/IFIP Network Operations and Management Symposium , April 2004.
- [PFGL04] Pavlou, G., Flegkas, P., Gouveris, S., and A. Liotta, "On Management Technologies and the Potential of Web Services", IEEE Communications Magazine 42(7), July 2004.
- [SM99] Sprenkels, R. and J. Martin-Flatin, "Bulk Transfers of MIB Data", Simple Times 7(1), March 1999.
- [Mal02] Malowidzki, M., "GetBulk Worth Fixing", Simple Times 10(1), December 2002.
- [HS06] Harvan, M. and J. Schoenwaelder, "Prefix- and Lexicographical-order-preserving IP Address Anonymization", IEEE/IFIP Network Operations and Management Symposium NOMS 2006, April 2006.
- [XFA02] Xu, J., Fan, J., and M. Ammar, "Prefix-Preserving IP Address Anonymization: Measurement-based Security Evaluation and a New Cryptography-based Scheme", 10th IEEE International Conference on Network Protocols ICNP'02, November 2002.

- [FXAM04] Fan, J., Xu, J., Ammar, M., and S. Moon, "Prefix-Preserving IP Address Anonymization", Computer Networks 46(2), October 2004.
- [PAPL06] Pang, R., Allman, M., Paxson, V., and J. Lee, "The Devil and Packet Trace Anonymization", Computer Communication Review 36(1), January 2006.
- [RW07] Ramaswamy, R. and T. Wolf, "High-Speed Prefix-Preserving IP Address Anonymization for Passive Measurement Systems", IEEE Transactions on Networking 15(1), February 2007.

#### URIs

- [1] <<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pcap>>
- [2] <<http://www.tcpdump.org/>>
- [3] <<http://www.wireshark.org/>>
- [4] <<http://www.datcat.org/>>
- [5] <<https://svn.eecs.jacobs-university.de/svn/schoenw/src/snmpdump>>
- [6] <<http://xmlsoft.org/XSLT/>>
- [7] <<http://perl-xml.sourceforge.net/faq/>>
- [8] <<http://www.relaxng.org/>>

#### Author's Address

Juergen Schoenwaelder  
Jacobs University Bremen  
Campus Ring 1  
28725 Bremen  
Germany

Phone: +49 421 200-3587  
EMail: [j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de](mailto:j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de)

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78 and at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/copyright.html>, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

