

RSA Key Exchange for the Secure Shell (SSH)
Transport Layer Protocol

Status of This Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

This memo describes a key-exchange method for the Secure Shell (SSH) protocol based on Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) public-key encryption. It uses much less client CPU time than the Diffie-Hellman algorithm specified as part of the core protocol, and hence is particularly suitable for slow client systems.

1. Introduction

Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC4251] is a secure remote-login protocol. The core protocol uses Diffie-Hellman key exchange. On slow CPUs, this key exchange can take tens of seconds to complete, which can be irritating for the user. A previous version of the SSH protocol, described in [SSH1], uses a key-exchange method based on Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) public-key encryption, which consumes an order of magnitude less CPU time on the client, and hence is particularly suitable for slow client systems such as mobile devices. This memo describes a key-exchange mechanism for the version of SSH described in [RFC4251] that is similar to that used by the older version, and about as fast, while retaining the security advantages of the newer protocol.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST" and "SHOULD" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The data types "byte", "string", and "mpint" are defined in Section 5 of [RFC4251].

Other terminology and symbols have the same meaning as in [RFC4253].

3. Overview

The RSA key-exchange method consists of three messages. The server sends to the client an RSA public key, K_T , to which the server holds the private key. This may be a transient key generated solely for this SSH connection, or it may be re-used for several connections. The client generates a string of random bytes, K , encrypts it using K_T , and sends the result back to the server, which decrypts it. The client and server each hash K , K_T , and the various key-exchange parameters to generate the exchange hash, H , which is used to generate the encryption keys for the session, and the server signs H with its host key and sends the signature to the client. The client then verifies the host key as described in Section 8 of [RFC4253].

This method provides explicit server identification as defined in Section 7 of [RFC4253]. It requires a signature-capable host key.

4. Details

The RSA key-exchange method has the following parameters:

HASH	hash algorithm for calculating exchange hash, etc.
HLEN	output length of HASH in bits
MINKLEN	minimum transient RSA modulus length in bits

Their values are defined in Section 5 and Section 6 for the two methods defined by this document.

The method uses the following messages.

First, the server sends:

byte	SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_PUBKEY
string	server public host key and certificates (K_S)
string	K_T , transient RSA public key

The key K_T is encoded according to the "ssh-rsa" scheme described in Section 6.6 of [RFC4253]. Note that unlike an "ssh-rsa" host key, K_T is used only for encryption, and not for signature. The modulus of K_T MUST be at least MINKLEN bits long.

The client generates a random integer, K , in the range $0 \leq K < 2^{(KLEN-2*HLEN-49)}$, where KLEN is the length of the modulus of K_T , in bits. The client then uses K_T to encrypt:

```
mpint      K, the shared secret
```

The encryption is performed according to the RSAES-OAEP scheme of [RFC3447], with a mask generation function of MGF1-with-HASH, a hash of HASH, and an empty label. See Appendix A for a proof that the encoding of K is always short enough to be thus encrypted. Having performed the encryption, the client sends:

```
byte      SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_SECRET
string    RSAES-OAEP-ENCRYPT(K_T, K)
```

Note that the last stage of RSAES-OAEP-ENCRYPT is to encode an integer as an octet string using the I2OSP primitive of [RFC3447]. This, combined with encoding the result as an SSH "string", gives a result that is similar, but not identical, to the SSH "mpint" encoding applied to that integer. This is the same encoding as is used by "ssh-rsa" signatures in [RFC4253].

The server decrypts K . If a decryption error occurs, the server SHOULD send SSH_MESSAGE_DISCONNECT with a reason code of SSH_DISCONNECT_KEY_EXCHANGE_FAILED and MUST disconnect. Otherwise, the server responds with:

```
byte      SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_DONE
string    signature of H with host key
```

The hash H is computed as the HASH hash of the concatenation of the following:

```
string    V_C, the client's identification string
           (CR and LF excluded)
string    V_S, the server's identification string
           (CR and LF excluded)
string    I_C, the payload of the client's SSH_MSG_KEXINIT
string    I_S, the payload of the server's SSH_MSG_KEXINIT
string    K_S, the host key
string    K_T, the transient RSA key
string    RSAES-OAEP-ENCRYPT(K_T, K), the encrypted secret
mpint     K, the shared secret
```

This value is called the exchange hash, and it is used to authenticate the key exchange. The exchange hash SHOULD be kept secret.

The signature algorithm MUST be applied over H, not the original data. Most signature algorithms include hashing and additional padding. For example, "ssh-dss" specifies SHA-1 hashing. In such cases, the data is first hashed with HASH to compute H, and H is then hashed again as part of the signing operation.

5. rsa1024-sha1

The "rsa1024-sha1" method specifies RSA key exchange as described above with the following parameters:

HASH	SHA-1, as defined in [RFC3174]
HLEN	160
MINKLEN	1024

6. rsa2048-sha256

The "rsa2048-sha256" method specifies RSA key exchange as described above with the following parameters:

HASH	SHA-256, as defined in [FIPS-180-2]
HLEN	256
MINKLEN	2048

7. Message Numbers

The following message numbers are defined:

SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_PUBKEY	30
SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_SECRET	31
SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_DONE	32

8. Security Considerations

The security considerations in [RFC4251] apply.

If the RSA private key generated by the server is revealed, then the session key is revealed. The server should thus arrange to erase this from memory as soon as it is no longer required. If the same RSA key is used for multiple SSH connections, an attacker who can find the private key (either by factorising the public key or by other means) will gain access to all of the sessions that used that key. As a result, servers SHOULD use each RSA key for as few key exchanges as possible.

[RFC3447] recommends that RSA keys used with RSAES-OAEP not be used with other schemes, or with RSAES-OAEP using a different hash function. In particular, this means that K_T should not be used as a host key, or as a server key in earlier versions of the SSH protocol.

Like all key-exchange mechanisms, this one depends for its security on the randomness of the secrets generated by the client (the random number K) and the server (the transient RSA private key). In particular, it is essential that the client use a high-quality cryptographic pseudo-random number generator to generate K. Using a bad random number generator will allow an attacker to break all the encryption and integrity protection of the Secure Shell transport layer. See [RFC4086] for recommendations on random number generation.

The size of transient key used should be sufficient to protect the encryption and integrity keys generated by the key-exchange method. For recommendations on this, see [RFC3766]. The strength of RSAES-OAEP is in part dependent on the hash function it uses. [RFC3447] suggests using a hash with an output length of twice the security level required, so SHA-1 is appropriate for applications requiring up to 80 bits of security, and SHA-256 for those requiring up to 128 bits.

Unlike the Diffie-Hellman key-exchange method defined by [RFC4253], this method allows the client to fully determine the shared secret, K. This is believed not to be significant, since K is only ever used when hashed with data provided in part by the server (usually in the form of the exchange hash, H). If an extension to SSH were to use K directly and to assume that it had been generated by Diffie-Hellman key exchange, this could produce a security weakness. Protocol extensions using K directly should be viewed with extreme suspicion.

This key-exchange method is designed to be resistant to collision attacks on the exchange hash, by ensuring that neither side is able to freely choose its input to the hash after seeing all of the other side's input. The server's last input is in SSH_MSG_KEXRSA_PUBKEY, before it has seen the client's choice of K. The client's last input is K and its RSA encryption, and the one-way nature of RSA encryption should ensure that the client cannot choose K so as to cause a collision.

9. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned the names "rsa1024-sha1" and "rsa2048-sha256" as Key Exchange Method Names in accordance with [RFC4250].

10. Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the assistance of Simon Tatham with the design of this key exchange method.

The text of this document is derived in part from [RFC4253].

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC3174] Eastlake, D. and P. Jones, "US Secure Hash Algorithm 1 (SHA1)", RFC 3174, September 2001.
- [RFC3447] Jonsson, J. and B. Kaliski, "Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1", RFC 3447, February 2003.
- [RFC4251] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, "The Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Architecture", RFC 4251, January 2006.
- [RFC4253] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, "The Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol", RFC 4253, January 2006.
- [RFC4250] Lehtinen, S. and C. Lonvick, "The Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Assigned Numbers", RFC 4250, January 2006.
- [FIPS-180-2] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", FIPS PUB 180-2, August 2002.

11.2. Informative References

- [SSH1] Ylonen, T., "SSH -- Secure Login Connections over the Internet", 6th USENIX Security Symposium, pp. 37-42, July 1996.
- [RFC3766] Orman, H. and P. Hoffman, "Determining Strengths For Public Keys Used For Exchanging Symmetric Keys", BCP 86, RFC 3766, April 2004.
- [RFC4086] Eastlake, D., Schiller, J., and S. Crocker, "Randomness Requirements for Security", BCP 106, RFC 4086, June 2005.

Appendix A. On the Size of K

The requirements on the size of K are intended to ensure that it is always possible to encrypt it under K_T. The mpint encoding of K requires a leading zero bit, padding to a whole number of bytes, and a four-byte length field, giving a maximum length in bytes,
$$B = (KLEN - 2 * HLEN - 49 + 1 + 7) / 8 + 4 = (KLEN - 2 * HLEN - 9) / 8$$
(where "/" denotes integer division rounding down).

The maximum length of message that can be encrypted using RSAEP-OAEP is defined by [RFC3447] in terms of the key length in bytes, which is $(KLEN + 7) / 8$. The maximum length is thus $L = (KLEN + 7 - 2 * HLEN - 16) / 8 = (KLEN - 2 * HLEN - 9) / 8$. Thus, the encoded version of K is always small enough to be encrypted under K_T.

Author's Address

Ben Harris
2a Eachard Road
CAMBRIDGE
CB4 1XA
UNITED KINGDOM

EMail: bjh21@bjh21.me.uk

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

