

Network Working Group
Request for Comments: 2427
STD: 55
Obsoletes: 1490, 1294
Category: Standards Track

C. Brown
Consultant
A. Malis
Ascend Communications, Inc.
September 1998

Multiprotocol Interconnect over Frame Relay

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This memo describes an encapsulation method for carrying network interconnect traffic over a Frame Relay backbone. It covers aspects of both Bridging and Routing.

Systems with the ability to transfer both the encapsulation method described in this document, and others must have a priori knowledge of which virtual circuits will carry which encapsulation method and this encapsulation must only be used over virtual circuits that have been explicitly configured for its use.

Acknowledgments

This document could not have been completed without the support of Terry Bradley of Avici Systems, Inc.. Comments and contributions from many sources, especially those from Ray Samora of Proteon, Ken Rehbehn of Visual Networks, Fred Baker and Charles Carvalho of Cisco Systems, and Mostafa Sherif of AT&T have been incorporated into this document. Special thanks to Dory Leifer of University of Michigan for his contributions to the resolution of fragmentation issues (though it was deleted in the final version) and Floyd Backes and Laura Bridge of 3Com for their contributions to the bridging descriptions. This document could not have been completed without the expertise of the IP over Large Public Data Networks and the IP over NBMA working groups of the IETF.

1. Conventions and Acronyms

The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in [16].

All drawings in this document are drawn with the left-most bit as the high order bit for transmission. For example, the drawings might be labeled as:

```

0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7 bits
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|-----+-----+-----+-----+
|   flag (7E hexadecimal)   |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|   Q.922 Address*         |
+---+-----+-----+-----+
|                           |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
:                           :
:                           :
+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

Drawings that would be too large to fit onto one page if each octet were presented on a single line are drawn with two octets per line. These are also drawn with the left-most bit as the high order bit for transmission. There will be a "+" to distinguish between octets as in the following example.

```

|--- octet one ---|--- octet two ---|
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|-----+-----+-----+-----+
| Organizational Unique |
+---+-----+-----+-----+
| Identifier | Protocol |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| Identifier |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

The following are common acronyms used throughout this document.

BECN - Backward Explicit Congestion Notification
 BPDU - Bridge Protocol Data Unit
 C/R - Command/Response bit
 DCE - Data Communication Equipment

- DE - Discard Eligibility bit
- DTE - Data Terminal Equipment
- FECN - Forward Explicit Congestion Notification
- PDU - Protocol Data Unit
- PTT - Postal Telephone & Telegraph
- SNAP - Subnetwork Access Protocol

2. Introduction


The following discussion applies to those devices which serve as end stations (DTEs) on a public or private Frame Relay network (for example, provided by a common carrier or PTT. It will not discuss the behavior of those stations that are considered a part of the Frame Relay network (DCEs) other than to explain situations in which the DTE must react.

The Frame Relay network provides a number of virtual circuits that form the basis for connections between stations attached to the same Frame Relay network. The resulting set of interconnected devices forms a private Frame Relay group which may be either fully interconnected with a complete "mesh" of virtual circuits, or only partially interconnected. In either case, each virtual circuit is uniquely identified at each Frame Relay interface by a Data Link Connection Identifier (DLCI). In most circumstances, DLCIs have strictly local significance at each Frame Relay interface.

The specifications in this document are intended to apply to both switched and permanent virtual circuits.

3. Frame Format

All protocols must encapsulate their packets within a Q.922 Annex A frame [1]. Additionally, frames shall contain information necessary to identify the protocol carried within the protocol data unit (PDU), thus allowing the receiver to properly process the incoming packet. The format shall be as follows:



* Q.922 addresses, as presently defined, are two octets and contain a 10-bit DLCI. In some networks Q.922 addresses may optionally be increased to three or four octets.

The control field is the Q.922 control field. The UI (0x03) value is used unless it is negotiated otherwise. The use of XID (0xAF or 0xBF) is permitted and is discussed later.

The pad field is used to align the data portion (beyond the encapsulation header) of the frame to a two octet boundary. If present, the pad is a single octet and must have a value of zero. Explicit directions of when to use the pad field are discussed later in this document.

The Network Level Protocol ID (NLPID) field is administered by ISO and the ITU. It contains values for many different protocols including IP, CLNP, and IEEE Subnetwork Access Protocol (SNAP)[10]. This field tells the receiver what encapsulation or what protocol follows. Values for this field are defined in ISO/IEC TR 9577 [3]. A NLPID value of 0x00 is defined within ISO/IEC TR 9577 as the Null Network Layer or Inactive Set. Since it cannot be distinguished from

a pad field, and because it has no significance within the context of this encapsulation scheme, a NLPID value of 0x00 is invalid under the Frame Relay encapsulation. Appendix A contains a list of some of the more commonly used NLPID values.

There is no commonly implemented minimum maximum frame size for Frame Relay. A network must, however, support at least a 262 octet maximum. Generally, the maximum will be greater than or equal to 1600 octets, but each Frame Relay provider will specify an appropriate value for its network. A Frame Relay DTE, therefore, must allow the maximum acceptable frame size to be configurable.

The minimum frame size allowed for Frame Relay is five octets between the opening and closing flags assuming a two octet Q.922 address field. This minimum increases to six octets for three octet Q.922 address and seven octets for the four octet Q.922 address format.

4. Interconnect Issues

There are two basic types of data packets that travel within the Frame Relay network: routed packets and bridged packets. These packets have distinct formats and therefore, must contain an indicator that the destination may use to correctly interpret the contents of the frame. This indicator is embedded within the NLPID and SNAP header information.

For those protocols that do not have a NLPID already assigned, it is necessary to provide a mechanism to allow easy protocol identification. There is a NLPID value defined indicating the presence of a SNAP header.

A SNAP header is of the form:

```

+-----+
| Organizationally Unique |
+---+-----+
| Identifier | Protocol |
+---+-----+
| Identifier |
+-----+


```

The three-octet Organizationally Unique Identifier (OUI) identifies an organization which administers the meaning of the Protocol Identifier (PID) which follows. Together they identify a distinct protocol. Note that OUI 0x00-00-00 specifies that the following PID is an Ethertype.


4.1. Routed Frames

Some protocols will have an assigned NLPID, but because the NLPID numbering space is limited, not all protocols have specific NLPID values assigned to them. When packets of such protocols are routed over Frame Relay networks, they are sent using the NLPID 0x80 (which indicates the presence of a SNAP header) followed by SNAP. If the protocol has an Ethertype assigned, the OUI is 0x00-00-00 (which indicates an Ethertype follows), and PID is the Ethertype of the protocol in use.

When a SNAP header is present as described above, a one octet pad is used to align the protocol data on a two octet boundary as shown below.




In the few cases when a protocol has an assigned NLPID (see Appendix A), 48 bits can be saved using the format below:



When using the NLPID encapsulation format as described above, the pad octet is not used.

In the case of ISO protocols, the NLPID is considered to be the first octet of the protocol data. It is unnecessary to repeat the NLPID in this case. The single octet serves both as the demultiplexing value and as part of the protocol data (refer to "Other Protocols over Frame Relay for more details). Other protocols, such as IP, have a NLPID defined (0xCC), but it is not part of the protocol itself.



4.2. Bridged Frames

The second type of Frame Relay traffic is bridged packets. These packets are encapsulated using the NLPID value of 0x80 indicating SNAP. As with other SNAP encapsulated protocols, there will be one pad octet to align the data portion of the encapsulated frame. The SNAP header which follows the NLPID identifies the format of the bridged packet. The OUI value used for this encapsulation is the 802.1 organization code 0x00-80-C2. The PID portion of the SNAP header (the two bytes immediately following the OUI) specifies the form of the MAC header, which immediately follows the SNAP header. Additionally, the PID indicates whether the original FCS is preserved within the bridged frame.

Following the precedent in RFC 1638 [4], non-canonical MAC destination addresses are used for encapsulated IEEE 802.5 and FDDI frames, and canonical MAC destination addresses are used for the remaining encapsulations defined in this section.

The 802.1 organization has reserved the following values to be used with Frame Relay:

PID Values for OUI 0x00-80-C2

with preserved FCS	w/o preserved FCS	Media
-----	-----	-----
0x00-01	0x00-07	802.3/Ethernet
0x00-02	0x00-08	802.4
0x00-03	0x00-09	802.5
0x00-04	0x00-0A	FDDI
	0x00-0B	802.6

In addition, the PID value 0x00-0E, when used with OUI 0x00-80-C2, identifies Bridge Protocol Data Units (BPDUs) as defined by 802.1(d) or 802.1(g) [12], and the PID value 0x00-0F identifies Source Routing BPDUs.

A packet bridged over Frame Relay will, therefore, have one of the following formats:

Format of Bridged Ethernet/802.3 Frame

-----+-----
Q.922 Address
+-----+-----
Control 0x03 pad 0x00
+-----+-----
NLPID 0x80 OUI 0x00
+-----+-----
OUI 0x80-C2
+-----+-----
PID 0x00-01 or 0x00-07
+-----+-----
MAC destination address
:
+-----+-----
(remainder of MAC frame)
+-----+-----
LAN FCS (if PID is 0x00-01)
+-----+-----
FCS
+-----+-----

Format of Bridged 802.4 Frame

	Q.922 Address		

	Control	0x03	pad 0x00

	NLPID	0x80	OUI 0x00

	OUI		0x80-C2

	PID 0x00-02 or 0x00-08		

	pad	0x00	Frame Control

	MAC destination address		
	:		

	(remainder of MAC frame)		

	LAN FCS (if PID is 0x00-02)		


	FCS		

Format of Bridged 802.5 Frame

Q.922 Address			
Control	0x03	pad	0x00
NLPID	0x80	OUI	0x00
OUI		0x80-C2	
PID 0x00-03 or 0x00-09			
pad	0x00	Frame Control	
MAC destination address			
:			:
(remainder of MAC frame)			
LAN FCS (if PID is 0x00-03)			
FCS			

Format of Bridged FDDI Frame

Q.922 Address			
Control	0x03	pad	0x00
NLPID	0x80	OUI	0x00
OUI		0x80-C2	
PID 0x00-04 or 0x00-0A			
pad	0x00	Frame Control	
MAC destination address			
:		:	
(remainder of MAC frame)			
LAN FCS (if PID is 0x00-04)			
FCS			



Note that in bridge 802.6 PDUs, there is only one choice for the PID value, since the presence of a CRC-32 is indicated by the CIB bit in the header of the MAC frame.

The Common Protocol Data Unit (CPDU) Header and Trailer are conveyed to allow pipelining at the egress bridge to an 802.6 subnetwork. Specifically, the CPDU Header contains the BAsize field, which contains the length of the PDU. If this field is not available to the egress 802.6 bridge, then that bridge cannot begin to transmit the segmented PDU until it has received the entire PDU, calculated the length, and inserted the length into the BAsize field. If the field is available, the egress 802.6 bridge can extract the length from the BAsize field of the Common PDU Header, insert it into the corresponding field of the first segment, and immediately transmit the segment onto the 802.6 subnetwork. Thus, the bridge can begin transmitting the 802.6 PDU before it has received the complete PDU.

One should note that the Common PDU Header and Trailer of the encapsulated frame should not be simply copied to the outgoing 802.6 subnetwork because the encapsulated BTag value may conflict with the previous BTag value transmitted by that bridge.

Format of BPDU Frame

Q.922 Address
Control 0x03
PAD 0x00
NLPID 0x80
OUI 0x00-80-C2
PID 0x00-0E
BPDU as defined by 802.1(d) or 802.1(g)[12]
FCS

Format of Source Routing BPDU Frame

Q.922 Address
Control 0x03
PAD 0x00
NLPID 0x80
OUI 0x00-80-C2
PID 0x00-0F
Source Routing BPDU
FCS

5. Data Link Layer Parameter Negotiation

Frame Relay stations may choose to support the Exchange Identification (XID) specified in Appendix III of Q.922 [1]. This XID exchange allows the following parameters to be negotiated at the initialization of a Frame Relay circuit: maximum frame size N201, retransmission timer T200, and the maximum number of outstanding Information (I) frames K.

A station may indicate its unwillingness to support acknowledged mode multiple frame operation by specifying a value of zero for the maximum window size, K.

If this exchange is not used, these values must be statically configured by mutual agreement of Data Link Connection (DLC) endpoints, or must be defaulted to the values specified in Section 5.9 of Q.922:

N201: 260 octets

K: 3 for a 16 Kbps link,
7 for a 64 Kbps link,
32 for a 384 Kbps link,
40 for a 1.536 Mbps or above link

T200: 1.5 seconds [see Q.922 for further details]

If a station supporting XID receives an XID frame, it shall respond with an XID response. In processing an XID, if the remote maximum frame size is smaller than the local maximum, the local system shall reduce the maximum size it uses over this DLC to the remotely specified value. Note that this shall be done before generating a response XID.

The following diagram describes the use of XID to specify non-use of acknowledged mode multiple frame operation.


Non-use of Acknowledged Mode Multiple Frame Operation

+-----+		
	Address	(2,3 or 4 octets)
+-----+		
	Control 0xAF	
+-----+		
	format 0x82	
+-----+		
	Group ID 0x80	
+-----+		
	Group Length	(2 octets)
	0x00-0E	
+-----+		
	0x05	PI = Frame Size (transmit)
+-----+		
	0x02	PL = 2
+-----+		
	Maximum	(2 octets)
	Frame Size	
+-----+		
	0x06	PI = Frame Size (receive)
+-----+		
	0x02	PL = 2
+-----+		
	Maximum	(2 octets)
	Frame Size	
+-----+		
	0x07	PI = Window Size
+-----+		
	0x01	PL = 1
+-----+		
	0x00	
+-----+		
	0x09	PI = Retransmission Timer
+-----+		
	0x01	PL = 1
+-----+		
	0x00	
+-----+		
	FCS	(2 octets)
+-----+		

6. Address Resolution for PVCs

This document only describes address resolution as it applies to PVCs. SVC operation will be discussed in future documents.

There are situations in which a Frame Relay station may wish to dynamically resolve a protocol address over PVCs. This may be accomplished using the standard Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) [6] encapsulated within a SNAP encoded Frame Relay packet as follows:



Where the ARP packet has the following format and values:

Data:

ar\$hrd	16 bits	Hardware type
ar\$pro	16 bits	Protocol type
ar\$hln	8 bits	Octet length of hardware address (n)
ar\$pln	8 bits	Octet length of protocol address (m)
ar\$op	16 bits	Operation code (request or reply)
ar\$sha	noctets	source hardware address
ar\$spa	moctets	source protocol address
ar\$tha	noctets	target hardware address
ar\$tpa	moctets	target protocol address

ar\$hrd - assigned to Frame Relay is 15 decimal (0x000F) [7].

ar\$pro - see assigned numbers for protocol ID number for the protocol using ARP. (IP is 0x0800).

ar\$hln - length in bytes of the address field (2, 3, or 4)

ar\$pln - protocol address length is dependent on the protocol (ar\$pro) (for IP ar\$pln is 4).

ar\$op - 1 for request and 2 for reply.

ar\$sha - Q.922 source hardware address, with C/R, FECN, BECN, and DE set to zero.

ar\$tha - Q.922 target hardware address, with C/R, FECN, BECN, and DE set to zero.

Because DLCIs within most Frame Relay networks have only local significance, an end station will not have a specific DLCI assigned to itself. Therefore, such a station does not have an address to put into the ARP request or reply. Fortunately, the Frame Relay network does provide a method for obtaining the correct DLCIs. The solution proposed for the locally addressed Frame Relay network below will work equally well for a network where DLCIs have global significance.

The DLCI carried within the Frame Relay header is modified as it traverses the network. When the packet arrives at its destination, the DLCI has been set to the value that, from the standpoint of the receiving station, corresponds to the sending station. For example, in figure 1 below, if station A were to send a message to station B, it would place DLCI 50 in the Frame Relay header. When station B received this message, however, the DLCI would have been modified by the network and would appear to B as DLCI 70.




Figure 1

Lines between stations represent data link connections (DLCs). The numbers indicate the local DLCI associated with each connection.

DLCI to Q.922 Address Table for Figure 1

DLCI (decimal)	Q.922 address (hex)
50	0x0C21
60	0x0CC1
70	0x1061
80	0x1401

For authoritative description of the correlation between DLCI and Q.922 [1] addresses, the reader should consult that specification. A summary of the correlation is included here for convenience. The translation between DLCI and Q.922 address is based on a two byte address length using the Q.922 encoding format. The format is:

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+						+-----+	
DLCI (high order)						C/R EA	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+						+-----+	
DLCI (lower)				FECN BECN DE		EA	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+						+-----+	

For ARP and its variants, the FECN, BECN, C/R and DE bits are assumed to be 0.

When an ARP message reaches a destination, all hardware addresses will be invalid. The address found in the frame header will, however, be correct. Though it does violate the purity of layering, Frame Relay may use the address in the header as the sender hardware address. It should also be noted that the target hardware address, in both ARP request and reply, will also be invalid. This should not cause problems since ARP does not rely on these fields and in fact, an implementation may zero fill or ignore the target hardware address field entirely.

As an example of how this address replacement scheme may work, refer to figure 1. If station A (protocol address pA) wished to resolve the address of station B (protocol address pB), it would format an ARP request with the following values:

```

ARP request from A
ar$op      1 (request)
ar$sha     unknown
ar$spa     pA
ar$tha     undefined
ar$tpa     pB

```

Because station A will not have a source address associated with it, the source hardware address field is not valid. Therefore, when the ARP packet is received, it must extract the correct address from the Frame Relay header and place it in the source hardware address field. This way, the ARP request from A will become:

```
ARP request from A as modified by B
ar$op      1 (request)
ar$sha     0x1061 (DLCI 70) from Frame Relay header
ar$spa     pA
ar$tha     undefined
ar$tpa     pB
```

Station B's ARP will then be able to store station A's protocol address and Q.922 address association correctly. Next, station B will form a reply message. Many implementations simply place the source addresses from the ARP request into the target addresses and then fills in the source addresses with its addresses. In this case, the ARP response would be:

```
ARP response from B
ar$op      2 (response)
ar$sha     unknown
ar$spa     pB
ar$tha     0x1061 (DLCI 70)
ar$tpa     pA
```

Again, the source hardware address is unknown and when the response is received, station A will extract the address from the Frame Relay header and place it in the source hardware address field. Therefore, the response will become:

```
ARP response from B as modified by A
ar$op      2 (response)
ar$sha     0x0C21 (DLCI 50)
ar$spa     pB
ar$tha     0x1061 (DLCI 70)
ar$tpa     pA
```

Station A will now correctly recognize station B having protocol address pB associated with Q.922 address 0x0C21 (DLCI 50).

Reverse ARP (RARP) [8] works in exactly the same way. Still using figure 1, if we assume station C is an address server, the following RARP exchanges will occur:

RARP request from A ar\$op 3 (RARP request) ar\$sha unknown ar\$spa undefined ar\$tha 0x0CC1 (DLCI 60) ar\$tpa pC	RARP request as modified by C ar\$op 3 (RARP request) ar\$sha 0x1401 (DLCI 80) ar\$spa undefined ar\$tha 0x0CC1 (DLCI 60) ar\$tpa pC
--	---

Station C will then look up the protocol address corresponding to Q.922 address 0x1401 (DLCI 80) and send the RARP response.

RARP response from C ar\$op 4 (RARP response) ar\$sha unknown ar\$spa pC ar\$tha 0x1401 (DLCI 80) ar\$tpa pA	RARP response as modified by A ar\$op 4 (RARP response) ar\$sha 0x0CC1 (DLCI 60) ar\$spa pC ar\$tha 0x1401 (DLCI 80) ar\$tpa pA
---	--

This means that the Frame Relay interface must only intervene in the processing of incoming packets.

In the absence of suitable multicast, ARP may still be implemented. To do this, the end station simply sends a copy of the ARP request through each relevant DLC, thereby simulating a broadcast.

The use of multicast addresses in a Frame Relay environment, as specified by [19], is presently being considered by Frame Relay providers. In time, multicast addressing may become useful in sending ARP requests and other "broadcast" messages.

Because of the inefficiencies of emulating broadcasting in a Frame Relay environment, a new address resolution variation was developed. It is called Inverse ARP [11] and describes a method for resolving a protocol address when the hardware address is already known. In Frame Relay's case, the known hardware address is the DLCI. Support for Inverse ARP is not required to implement this specification, but it has proven useful for Frame Relay interface autoconfiguration. See [11] for its description and an example of its use with Frame Relay.


Stations must be able to map more than one IP address in the same IP subnet (CIDR address prefix) to a particular DLCI on a Frame Relay interface. This need arises from applications such as remote access, where servers must act as ARP proxies for many dial-in clients, each assigned a unique IP address while sharing bandwidth on the same DLC. The dynamic nature of such applications result in frequent address association changes with no affect on the DLC's status as reported by Frame Relay PVC Status Signaling.

As with any other interface that utilizes ARP, stations may learn the associations between IP addresses and DLCIs by processing unsolicited ("gratuitous") ARP requests that arrive on the DLC. If one station (perhaps a terminal server or remote access server) wishes to inform its peer station on the other end of a Frame Relay DLC of a new association between an IP address and that PVC, it should send an unsolicited ARP request with the source IP address equal to the destination IP address, and both set to the new IP address being used on the DLC. This allows a station to "announce" new client connections on a particular DLCI. The receiving station must store the new association, and remove any old existing association, if necessary, from any other DLCI on the interface.


7. IP over Frame Relay

Internet Protocol [9] (IP) datagrams sent over a Frame Relay network conform to the encapsulation described previously. Within this context, IP could be encapsulated in two different ways.

1. NLPID value indicating IP



2. NLPID value indicating SNAP




Although both of these encapsulations are supported under the given definitions, it is advantageous to select only one method as the appropriate mechanism for encapsulating IP data. Therefore, IP data shall be encapsulated using the NLPID value of 0xCC indicating IP as shown in option 1 above. This (option 1) is more efficient in transmission (48 fewer bits), and is consistent with the encapsulation of IP in X.25.

8. Other Protocols over Frame Relay


As with IP encapsulation, there are alternate ways to transmit various protocols within the scope of this definition. To eliminate the conflicts, the SNAP encapsulation is only used if no NLPID value is defined for the given protocol.

As an example of how this works, ISO CLNP has a NLPID defined (0x81). Therefore, the NLPID field will indicate ISO CLNP and the data packet will follow immediately. The frame would be as follows:



In this example, the NLPID is used to identify the data packet as CLNP. It is also considered part of the CLNP packet and as such, the NLPID should not be removed before being sent to the upper layers for processing. The NLPID is not duplicated.

Other protocols, such as IPX, do not have a NLPID value defined. As mentioned above, IPX would be encapsulated using the SNAP header. In this case, the frame would be as follows:



9. Bridging Model for Frame Relay

The model for bridging in a Frame Relay network is identical to the model for remote bridging as described in IEEE P802.1g "Remote MAC Bridging" [13] and supports the concept of "Virtual Ports". Remote bridges with LAN ports receive and transmit MAC frames to and from the LANs to which they are attached. They may also receive and transmit MAC frames through virtual ports to and from other remote bridges. A virtual port may represent an abstraction of a remote bridge's point of access to one, two or more other remote bridges.

Remote Bridges are statically configured as members of a remote bridge group by management. All members of a remote bridge group are connected by one or more virtual ports. The set of remote MAC bridges in a remote bridge group provides actual or *potential* MAC layer interconnection between a set of LANs and other remote bridge groups to which the remote bridges attach.

In a Frame Relay network there must be a full mesh of Frame Relay VCs between bridges of a remote bridge group. If the frame relay network is not a full mesh, then the bridge network must be divided into multiple remote bridge groups.

The frame relay VCs that interconnect the bridges of a remote bridge group may be combined or used individually to form one or more virtual bridge ports. This gives flexibility to treat the Frame Relay interface either as a single virtual bridge port, with all VCs in a group, or as a collection of bridge ports (individual or grouped VCs).

When a single virtual bridge port provides the interconnectivity for all bridges of a given remote bridge group (i.e. all VCs are combined into a single virtual port), the standard Spanning Tree Algorithm may be used to determine the state of the virtual port. When more than one virtual port is configured within a given remote bridge group then an "extended" Spanning Tree Algorithm is required. Such an extended algorithm is defined in IEEE 802.1g [13]. The operation of this algorithm is such that a virtual port is only put into backup if there is a loop in the network external to the remote bridge group.


The simplest bridge configuration for a Frame Relay network is the LAN view where all VCs are combined into a single virtual port. Frames, such as BPDUs, which would be broadcast on a LAN, must be flooded to each VC (or multicast if the service is developed for Frame Relay services). Flooding is performed by sending the packet to each relevant DLC associated with the Frame Relay interface. The VCs in this environment are generally invisible to the bridge. That is, the bridge sends a flooded frame to the frame relay interface and does not "see" that the frame is being forwarded to each VC individually. If all participating bridges are fully connected (full mesh) the standard Spanning Tree Algorithm will suffice in this configuration.

Typically LAN bridges learn which interface a particular end station may be reached on by associating a MAC address with a bridge port. In a Frame Relay network configured for the LAN-like single bridge port (or any set of VCs grouped together to form a single bridge port), however, the bridge must not only associated a MAC address with a bridge port, but it must also associate it with a connection identifier. For Frame Relay networks, this connection identifier is a DLCI. It is unreasonable and perhaps impossible to require bridges to statically configure an association of every possible destination MAC address with a DLC. Therefore, Frame Relay LAN-modeled bridges must provide a mechanism to allow the Frame Relay bridge port to dynamically learn the associations. To accomplish this dynamic learning, a bridged packet shall conform to the encapsulation described within section 4.2. In this way, the receiving Frame Relay interface will know to look into the bridged packet to gather the appropriate information.

A second Frame Relay bridging approach, the point-to-point view, treats each Frame Relay VC as a separate bridge port. Flooding and forwarding packets are significantly less complicated using the point-to-point approach because each bridge port has only one destination. There is no need to perform artificial flooding or to associate DLCIs with destination MAC addresses. Depending upon the interconnection of the VCs, an extended Spanning Tree algorithm may be required to permit all virtual ports to remain active as long as there are no true loops in the topology external to the remote bridge group.

It is also possible to combine the LAN view and the point-to-point view on a single Frame Relay interface. To do this, certain VCs are combined to form a single virtual bridge port while other VCs are independent bridge ports.

The following drawing illustrates the different possible bridging configurations. The dashed lines between boxes represent virtual circuits.



Since there is less than a full mesh of VCs between the bridges in this example, the network must be divided into more than one remote bridge group. A reasonable configuration is to have bridges A, B, and C in one group, and have bridges A and D in a second.

Configuration of the first bridge group combines the VCs interconnection the three bridges (A, B, and C) into a single virtual port. This is an example of the LAN view configuration. The second group would also be a single virtual port which simply connects bridges A and D. In this configuration the standard Spanning Tree Algorithm is sufficient to detect loops.

An alternative configuration has three individual virtual ports in the first group corresponding to the VCs interconnecting bridges A, B and C. Since the application of the standard Spanning Tree Algorithm to this configuration would detect a loop in the topology, an extended Spanning Tree Algorithm would have to be used in order for all virtual ports to be kept active. Note that the second group would still consist of a single virtual port and the standard Spanning Tree Algorithm could be used in this group.

Using the same drawing, one could construct a remote bridge scenario with three bridge groups. This would be an example of the point-to-point case. Here, the VC connecting A and B, the VC connecting A and C, and the VC connecting A and D are all bridge groups with a single virtual port.

10. Security Considerations

This document defines mechanisms for identifying the multiprotocol encapsulation of datagrams over Frame Relay. There is obviously an element in trust in any encapsulation protocol - a receiver must trust that the sender has correctly identified the protocol being encapsulated. In general, there is no way for a receiver to try to ascertain that the sender did indeed use the proper protocol identification, nor would this be desired functionality.

It also specifies the use of ARP and RARP with Frame Relay, and is subject to the same security constraints that affect ARP and similar address resolution protocols. Because authentication is not a part of ARP, there are known security issues relating to its use (e.g., host impersonation). No additional security mechanisms have been added to ARP or RARP for use with Frame Relay networks.

11. Appendix A - NLPIDS and PIDs

List of Commonly Used NLPIDs

0x00	Null Network Layer or Inactive Set (not used with Frame Relay)
0x08	Q.933 [2]
0x80	SNAP
0x81	ISO CLNP
0x82	ISO ESIS
0x83	ISO ISIS
0x8E	IPv6
0xB0	FRF.9 Data Compression [14]
0xB1	FRF.12 Fragmentation [18]
0xCC	IPv4
0xCF	PPP in Frame Relay [17]

List of PIDs of OUI 00-80-C2

with preserved FCS	w/o preserved FCS	Media
-----	-----	-----
0x00-01	0x00-07	802.3/Ethernet
0x00-02	0x00-08	802.4
0x00-03	0x00-09	802.5
0x00-04	0x00-0A	FDDI
	0x00-0B	802.6
	0x00-0D	Fragments
	0x00-0E	BPDUs as defined by 802.1(d) or 802.1(g)[12].
	0x00-0F	Source Routing BPDUs


12. Appendix B - Connection Oriented Procedures

This Appendix contains additional information and instructions for using ITU Recommendation Q.933 [2] and other ITU standards for encapsulating data over frame relay. The information contained here is similar (and in some cases identical) to that found in Annex E to ITU Q.933. The authoritative source for this information is in Annex E and is repeated here only for convenience.

The Network Level Protocol ID (NLPID) field is administered by ISO and the ITU. It contains values for many different protocols including IP, CLNP (ISO 8473), ITU Q.933, and ISO 8208. A figure summarizing a generic encapsulation technique over frame relay networks follows. The scheme's flexibility consists in the identification of multiple alternative to identify different protocols used either by

- end-to-end systems or
- LAN to LAN bridge and routers or
- a combination of the above.

over frame relay networks.



For those protocols which do not have a NLPID assigned or do not have a SNAP encapsulation, the NLPID value of 0x08, indicating ITU Recommendation Q.933 should be used. The four octets following the NLPID include both layer 2 and layer 3 protocol identification. The code points for most protocols are currently defined in ITU Q.933 low layer compatibility information element. The code points for "User Specified" are described in Frame Relay Forum FRF.3.1 [15]. There is also an escape for defining non-standard protocols.

Format of Other Protocols
using Q.933 NLPID

+-----+			
	Q.922 Address		
+-----+			
	Control	0x03	NLPID 0x08
+-----+			
	L2 Protocol ID		
	octet 1		octet 2
+-----+			
	L3 Protocol ID		
	octet 1		octet 2
+-----+			
	Protocol Data		
+-----+			
	FCS		
+-----+			

ISO 8802/2 with user specified
layer 3

+-----+			
	Q.922 Address		
+-----+			
	Control 0x03	NLPID 0x08	
+-----+			
	802/2 0x4C	0x80	
+-----+			
	User Spec. 0x70	Note 1	
+-----+			
	DSAP	SSAP	
+-----+			
	Control (Note 2)		
+-----+			
	Remainder of PDU		
+-----+			
	FCS		
+-----+			


Note 1: Indicates the code point for user specified layer 3 protocol.

Note 2: Control field is two octets for I-format and S-format frames (see 88002/2)

Encapsulations using I frame (layer 2)


The Q.922 I frame is for supporting layer 3 protocols which require acknowledged data link layer (e.g., ISO 8208). The C/R bit will be used for command and response indications.

Format of ISO 8208 frame
Modulo 8



Note 3: First octet of 8208 packet also identifies the NLPID which is "..01....".

Format of ISO 8208 frame
Modulo 128



Note 4: First octet of 8208 packet also identifies the NLPID which is "..10....".

13. Appendix C - Modifications from RFC 1490

RFC 1490 has been widely implemented and used, and has been adopted by the Frame Relay Forum in FRF.3.1 [15] and by the ITU in Q.933 [2]. This section describes updates to RFC 1490 that have been made as a result of this implementation and interoperability experience, and which reflect current implementation practice.

Some language changes were necessary to clarify RFC 1490. None of these changes impacted the technical aspects of this document, but were required to keep diagrams and language specific and consistent. Specifics of these changes will not be listed here. Below are listed those changes which were significant.

- a) The requirement for stations to accept SNAP encapsulated protocols for which a NLPID was available, was removed. RFC 1490 indicated that, if a protocol, such as IP, had a designated NLPID value, it must be used. Later the document required stations to accept a SNAP encapsulated version of this same protocol. This is clearly inconsistent. A compliant station must send and accept the NLPID encapsulated version of such a protocol. It MAY accept the SNAP encapsulation but should not be required to do so as these frames are noncompliant.
- b) Fragmentation was removed. To date there are no interoperable implementations of the fragmentation algorithm presented in RFC 1490. Additionally, there have been several suggestions that the proposed mechanisms are insufficient for some frame relay applications. To this end, fragmentation was removed from this document, and has been replaced by the fragmentation specified in FRF.12 [18].
- c) The address resolution presented in RFC 1490 referred only to PVC environments and is insufficient for SVC environments. Therefore the section title was changed to reflect this. Further work on SVC address resolution will take place in the ION working group.
- d) The encapsulation for Source Routing BPDUs was added, and the lists in Appendix A were augmented.
- e) The use of canonical and non-canonical MAC destination addresses in the bridging encapsulations was clarified.
- f) The Inverse ARP description was moved to the Inverse ARP specification [11].
- g) A new security section was added.

14. References

- [1] International Telecommunication Union, "ISDN Data Link Layer Specification for Frame Mode Bearer Services", ITU-T Recommendation Q.922, 1992.
- [2] International Telecommunication Union, "Signalling Specifications for Frame Mode Switched and Permanent Virtual Connection Control and Status Monitoring", ITU-T Recommendation Q.933, 1995.
- [3] Information technology - Telecommunications and Information Exchange between systems - Protocol Identification in the Network Layer, ISO/IEC TR 9577: 1992.
- [4] Baker, F., and R. Bowen, "PPP Bridging Control Protocol (BCP)", RFC 1638, June 1994.
- [5] International Standard, Information Processing Systems - Local Area Networks - Logical Link Control, ISO 8802-2, ANSI/IEEE, Second Edition, 1994-12-30.
- [6] Plummer, D., "An Ethernet Address Resolution Protocol - or - Converting Network Protocol Addresses to 48.bit Ethernet Address for Transmission on Ethernet Hardware", STD 37, RFC 826, November 1982.
- [7] Reynolds, J., and J. Postel, "Assigned Numbers", STD 2, RFC 1700, October 1994. See also: <http://www.iana.org/numbers.html>
- [8] Finlayson, R., Mann, R., Mogul, J., and M. Theimer, "A Reverse Address Resolution Protocol", STD 38, RFC 903, June 1984.
- [9] Postel, J., and J. Reynolds, "A Standard for the Transmission of IP Datagrams over IEEE 802 Networks", RFC 1042, February 1988.
- [10] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Overview and architecture", IEEE Standard 802-1990.
- [11] Bradley, T., Brown, C., and A. Malis, "Inverse Address Resolution Protocol", RFC 2390, September 1998.
- [12] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Networks: Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges", IEEE Standard 802.1D-1990.
- [13] ISO/IEC 15802-5 : 1998 (IEEE Standard 802.1G), Remote Media Access Control (MAC) Bridging, March 12, 1997.

- [14] Frame Relay Forum, "Data Compression Over Frame Relay Implementation Agreement", FRF.9, January 22, 1996.
- [15] Frame Relay Forum, "Multiprotocol Encapsulation Implementation Agreement", FRF.3.1, June 22, 1995.
- [16] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [17] Simpson, W., "PPP in Frame Relay", RFC 1973, June 1996.
- [18] Frame Relay Forum, "Frame Relay Fragmentation Implementation Agreement", FRF.12, December 1997.
- [19] Frame Relay Forum, "Frame Relay PVC Multicast Service and Protocol Implementation Agreement", FRF.7, October 21, 1994.

15. Authors' Addresses

Caralyn Brown
Consultant

EMail: cbrown@juno.com

Andrew Malis
Ascend Communications, Inc.
1 Robbins Road
Westford, MA 01886

Phone: (978) 952-7414
EMail: malis@ascend.com

16. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

