6lo Working Group C. Gomez
Internet-Draft UPC
Updates: 8138, 8724, 9008 (if approved) A. Minaburo
Intended status: Standards Track Consultant
Expires: 24 August 2026 February 2026

Transmission of SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 networks
draft-ietf-6lo-schc-15dot4-12

Abstract

A framework called Static Context Header Compression and fragmentation (SCHC) has been designed with the primary goal of supporting IPv6 over Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) technologies [RFC8724]. One of the SCHC components is a header compression mechanism. If used properly, SCHC header compression allows a greater compression ratio than that achievable with traditional 6LoWPAN header compression [RFC6282]. For this reason, it may make sense to use SCHC header compression in some 6LoWPAN environments, including IEEE 802.15.4 networks. This document specifies how a SCHC-compressed packet can be carried over IEEE 802.15.4 networks. The document also enables the transmission of SCHC-compressed UDP/ CoAP headers over 6LoWPAN-compressed IPv6 packets.

Status of This Memo

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

RFC 6282 is the main specification for IPv6 over Low power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) IPv6 header compression [RFC6282]. That RFC was designed assuming IEEE 802.15.4 as the layer below the 6LoWPAN adaptation layer, and it has also been reused by the IPv6 over Networks of Resource-constrained Nodes (6lo) working group (with proper adaptations) for IPv6 header compression over many other technologies relatively similar to IEEE 802.15.4 in terms of characteristics such as physical layer bit rate, layer 2 maximum payload size, etc. Examples of such technologies comprise BLE, DECT-ULE, ITU G.9959, MS/TP, NFC, and PLC. RFC 6282 provides additional functionality, such as a mechanism for UDP header compression.

In the best cases, RFC 6282 allows to compress a 40-byte IPv6 header down to a 2-byte compressed header (for link-local interactions) or a 3-byte compressed header (when global IPv6 addresses are used). On the other hand, RFC 6282 typically compresses a UDP header to a size of 2 to 4 bytes. Therefore, in advantageous conditions, a 48-byte uncompressed IPv6/UDP header may be compressed down to a 4- to 6-byte format (when using link-local addresses) or a 5- to 7-byte format (for global interactions) by using RFC 6282.

Recently, a framework called Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) has been designed with the primary goal of supporting IPv6 over Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) technologies [RFC8724]. SCHC comprises header compression and decompression (C/D) and fragmentation and reassembly (F/R) functionality tailored to the extraordinary constraints of LPWAN technologies, which are more severe than those exhibited by IEEE 802.15.4 or other relatively similar technologies. SCHC header compression allows a greater compression ratio than that of RFC 6282. If used properly, SCHC allows to compress an IPv6/UDP header down to e.g. a single byte. In addition, SCHC can be used to compress Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) headers [RFC7252][RFC8824], which further increases the achievable performance improvement of using SCHC header compression, since there is no 6LoWPAN header compression mechanism defined for CoAP. Therefore, it may make sense to use SCHC header compression in some 6LoWPAN environments, including IEEE 802.15.4 networks, considering its greater efficiency.

This document specifies how a SCHC-compressed packet can be carried over IEEE 802.15.4 networks. In order to ease a transition from existing 6LoWPAN/6Lo implementations to support SCHC header compression, the document also enables the transmission of SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP headers over 6LoWPAN-compressed IPv6 packets. Further transition approaches are also described.

The mechanism to be used to provide the SCHC header compression context to the nodes in an IEEE 802.15.4 network is out of the scope of this document. Techniques intended to allow communication between nodes that only use 6LoWPAN for header compression and nodes that only use SCHC for header compression are out of the scope of this document.

Note that, as per this document, and while SCHC defines fragmentation mechanisms as well, 6LoWPAN/6lo fragmentation is used when necessary to transport SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 networks [RFC4944][RFC8930][RFC8931].

In order to properly adapt to the requirements of supporting SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 networks, this specification updates RFC 8138, RFC 8724, and RFC 9008.

2. Terminology

2.1. Requirements language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP14 [RFC2119], [RFC8174], when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2.2. Background on previous specifications

The reader is expected to be familiar with the terms and concepts defined in specifications of 6LoWPAN frame formats [RFC4944], Neighbor Discovery for 6LoWPANs [RFC6775][RFC8505], RPL [RFC6550] and companion documents [RFC6553][RFC6554][RFC9008], 6LoWPAN Routing Header [RFC8138], SCHC [RFC8724], SCHC for CoAP [RFC8824], and SCHC architecture [I-D.ietf-schc-architecture].

RFC 8724 defines the Rule concept, whereby a Rule may be used to support header compression or fragmentation functionality. In the present document, Rules are only used for header compression.

2.3. New term

SCHC-Lo network: a 6LoWPAN network where SCHC is used for header compression/decompression. Note: "SCHC-Lo" is pronounced as "sheek-low", since it inherits the pronunciation of "SCHC" as "sheek" in English (see RFC 8724).

3. Architecture

3.1. Protocol stacks

3.1.1. Main protocol stack

The traditional 6LoWPAN-based protocol stack for constrained devices (Figure 1, left) places the 6LoWPAN adaptation layer between IPv6 and an underlying technology such as IEEE 802.15.4. Suitable upper layer protocols include CoAP [RFC7252] and UDP. (Note that, while CoAP has also been specified over TCP, and TCP may play a significant role in IoT environments [RFC9006], 6LoWPAN header compression has not been defined for TCP, as of the writing.)

6LoWPAN can be envisioned as a set of two main sublayers, where the upper one provides header compression, while the lower one offers fragmentation.

This document defines an alternative approach for packet header compression over IEEE 802.15.4, which leads to a modified protocol stack (Figure 1, right). Fragmentation functionality remains the one defined by 6LoWPAN [RFC4944] and 6lo [RFC8930][RFC8931].

```
        +------------+          +------------+
        | CoAP, other|          | CoAP, other|
        +------------+          +------------+
        | UDP, other |          | UDP, other |
        +------------+          +------------+
        |    IPv6    |          |    IPv6    |
        +------------+          +------------+
        | 6LoWPAN HC |          |  SCHC HC   |  <-- NEW
        +------------+          +------------+
        |6LoWPAN Frag|          |6LoWPAN Frag|
        +------------+          +------------+
        |  802.15.4  |          |  802.15.4  |
        +------------+          +------------+
```

Figure 1: Traditional 6LoWPAN-based protocol stack over IEEE 802.15.4 (left) and alternative protocol stack using SCHC for header compression (right). HC and Frag stand for Header Compression and Fragmentation, respectively.

SCHC header compression may be applied to the headers of different protocols or sets of protocols. Some examples include: i) IPv6 packet headers, ii) joint IPv6 and UDP packet headers, iii) joint IPv6, UDP and CoAP packet headers, etc.

SCHC header compression can also be used at various layers of a protocol stack [draft-ietf-schc-architecture]. For example, when CoAP is used at the application layer, CoAP headers can be compressed by means of SCHC [RFC8824][draft-ietf-schc-8824-update]. Figure 2 illustrates the corresponding protocol stacks when SCHC is used to compress IPv6/UDP headers, and separate SCHC Strata [draft-ietf-schc-arch] are also used to compress CoAP headers, when CoAP is secured by means of Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) [RFC9147] (Figure 2, left) or Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE) [RFC8613] (Figure 2, right) [RFC8824]. Note that, when OSCORE is used to protect CoAP, both the CoAP inner and outer headers can be compressed by means of SCHC, which requires one SCHC Stratum for the CoAP inner header and another one for the CoAP outer header.

```
                          +------------+
                          | CoAP inner |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |    CoAP    |          |   SCHC HC  |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |   SCHC HC  |          | CoAP outer |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |    DTLS    |          |   SCHC HC  |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |     UDP    |          |     UDP    |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |    IPv6    |          |    IPv6    |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |   SCHC HC  |          |   SCHC HC  |
  +------------+          +------------+
  |6LoWPAN Frag|          |6LoWPAN Frag|
  +------------+          +------------+
  |  802.15.4  |          |  802.15.4  |
  +------------+          +------------+
```

Figure 2: 6LoWPAN-based protocol stack over IEEE 802.15.4 using a SCHC Stratum for header compression of IPv6/UDP, and also separate SCHC Strata for CoAP header compression, when CoAP is secured by means of DTLS (left) and OSCORE (right). HC and Frag stand for Header Compression and Fragmentation, respectively.

Figures 3, 4 and 5 illustrate the SCHC-Lo network scenarios corresponding to a 6LN communicating with an external host on the Internet, and the protocol stacks corresponding to each relevant node (6LN, 6LBR, and external host). SCHC Context at different SCHC Strata may come from different provisioning domains.

```
            6LN                6LBR                       External host

         +--------+                                        +--------+
         |  CoAP  |                                        |  CoAP  |
         +--------+                                        +--------+
         |   UDP  |                                        |   UDP  |
         +--------+     +-----------------+                +--------+
         |  IPv6  |     |      IPv6       |                |  IPv6  |
         +--------+     +--------+--------+                +--------+
         |SCHC HC |     |SCHC HC |        |                |        |
         +--------+     +--------+        +                +        +
         |6Lo Frag|     |6Lo Frag|        |                |        |
         +--------+     +--------+        +                +        +
         |802.15.4|     |802.15.4|        |                |        |
         +--------+     +--------+--------+                +--------+
             |               |        |                         |
             +---------------+        +-------------------------+
              SCHC-Lo network                  Internet
```

Figure 3: Scenario and protocol stacks for end-to-end
communication between a 6LN in a SCHC-Lo network and an external
host on the Internet, without end-to-end security for CoAP.
(Note: the figure has been adapted from RFC 8824.)

```
            6LN                6LBR                      External host

         +--------+                                        +--------+
         |  CoAP  |                                        |  CoAP  |
         +--------+                                        +--------+
         |  SCHC  |                                        |  SCHC  |
         +--------+                                        +--------+
         |  DTLS  |                                        |  DTLS  |
         +--------+                                        +--------+
         .  udp   .                                        .  udp   .
         ..........     ..................                 ..........
         .  ipv6  .     .      ipv6      .                 .  ipv6  .
         ..........     ..................                 ..........
         .  schc  .     .  schc  .       .                 .        .
         ..........     ..........       .                 .        .
         .6lo frag.     .6lo frag.       .                 .        .
         ..........     ..........       .                 .        .
         .802.15.4.     .802.15.4.       .                 .        .
         ..........     ..................                 ..........
             |               |        |                         |
             +---------------+        +-------------------------+
              SCHC-Lo network                  Internet
```

Figure 4: Scenario and protocol stacks for end-to-end communication between a 6LN in a SCHC-Lo network and an external host on the Internet, when CoAP is secured with DTLS. (Note: the figure has been adapted from RFC 8824.)

```
         +--------+                                         +--------+
         |  CoAP  |                                         |  CoAP  |
         |  Inner |                                         |  Inner |
         +--------+                                         +--------+
         |  SCHC  |                                         |  SCHC  |
         |  Inner |                                         |  Inner |
         +--------+                                         +--------+
         |  CoAP  |                                         |  CoAP  |
         |  Outer |                                         |  Outer |
         +--------+                                         +--------+
         |  SCHC  |                                         |  SCHC  |
         |  Outer |                                         |  Outer |
         +--------+                                         +--------+
         .  udp   .                                         .  udp   .
         ..........     ..................                 ..........
         .  ipv6  .     .      ipv6      .                 .  ipv6  .
         ..........     ..................                 ..........
         .  schc  .     .  schc  .       .                 .        .
         ..........     ..........       .                 .        .
         .6lo frag.     .6lo frag.       .                 .        .
         ..........     ..........       .                 .        .
         .802.15.4.     .802.15.4.       .                 .        .
         ..........     ..................                 ..........
             |               |        |                        |
             +---------------+        +------------------------+
              SCHC-Lo network                  Internet
```

Figure 5: Scenario and protocol stacks for end-to-end communication between a 6LN in a SCHC-Lo network and an external host on the Internet, when CoAP is secured with OSCORE. (Note: the figure has been adapted from RFC 8824.).

3.1.2. Transition protocol stacks

In order to ease a transition from existing 6LoWPAN implementations to support SCHC header compression, the present document also: i) illustrates protocol stacks where 6LoWPAN header compression is used to compress IPv6/UDP headers while SCHC compresses CoAP headers (see Figure 6), and ii) enables the transmission of SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP headers over 6LoWPAN-compressed IPv6 packets (see Figure 7 and Section 5). Note that the greatest header compression performance can be achieved by using SCHC to also compress the UDP header.

RFC 8824 and draft-ietf-schc-8824-update define how SCHC can be used to compress CoAP headers. On the other hand, it is possible to carry SCHC-compressed CoAP headers over UDP by means of using SCHC UDP ports [I-D.ietf-schc-protocol-numbers]. Figure 6 (left) shows the corresponding protocol stack, where 6LoWPAN header compression is applied to UDP and IPv6. When DTLS is preferred to protect SCHC-compressed CoAP messages, the DTLS layer sits between the SCHC Stratum below CoAP and the UDP layer (Figure 6, middle). Figure 6 (right) shows the protocol stack when OSCORE is used to protect CoAP messages, and SCHC is used to compress both CoAP inner and outer headers.

```
                                          +------------+
                                          | CoAP inner |
                      +------------+      +------------+
                      |    CoAP    |      |  SCHC HC   |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  |    CoAP    |      |    SCHC    |      | CoAP outer |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  |    SCHC    |      |    DTLS    |      |  SCHC HC   |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  |     UDP    |      |     UDP    |      |     UDP    |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  |    IPv6    |      |    IPv6    |      |    IPv6    |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  | 6LoWPAN HC |      | 6LoWPAN HC |      | 6LoWPAN HC |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  |6LoWPAN Frag|      |6LoWPAN Frag|      |6LoWPAN Frag|
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
  |  802.15.4  |      |  802.15.4  |      |  802.15.4  |
  +------------+      +------------+      +------------+
```

Figure 6: Transition protocol stacks where 6LoWPAN header compression is applied to UDP and IPv6: without security for CoAP (left), using DTLS (middle), and using OSCORE (right). HC and Frag stand for Header Compression and Fragmentation, respectively.

Finally, the transition protocol stack (TPS) enabled by this document (Section 5), which allow the transmission of 6LoWPAN-compressed IPv6 packets containing SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP data units, is shown in Figure 7, in three different variants: single SCHC Stratum for joint UDP/CoAP SCHC header compression (left), two SCHC Strata -one below UDP and another one below CoAP- (middle), and three SCHC Strata -one below UDP, one below the CoAP outer layer, and one below the CoAP inner layer- (right). Note that the rightmost protocol stack in Figure 7 corresponds to use of OSCORE-protected CoAP.

```
                                             +------------+
                                             | CoAP inner |
                                             +------------+
                                             |   SCHC HC  |
                        +------------+       +------------+
                        |    CoAP    |       | CoAP outer |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   |    CoAP    |       |   SCHC HC  |       |   SCHC HC  |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   |     UDP    |       |     UDP    |       |     UDP    |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   |   SCHC HC  |       |   SCHC HC  |       |   SCHC HC  |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   |    IPv6    |       |    IPv6    |       |    IPv6    |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   | 6LoWPAN HC |       | 6LoWPAN HC |       | 6LoWPAN HC |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   |6LoWPAN Frag|       |6LoWPAN Frag|       |6LoWPAN Frag|
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
   |  802.15.4  |       |  802.15.4  |       |  802.15.4  |
   +------------+       +------------+       +------------+
```

Figure 7: TPS variants using SCHC for header compression of UDP/ CoAP headers (right): one SCHC Stratum (left), two SCHC Strata (middle), and three SCHC Strata (right). HC and Frag stand for Header Compression and Fragmentation, respectively.

3.2. SCHC architecture concepts

This section describes how SCHC architecture concepts (such as "SCHC Stratum", "Discriminator", "SCHC Control Header end point", "SCHC Data end point", and "Set of Rules" (SoR)) [draft-ietf-schc-architecture] are applied when SCHC is used to compress IPv6 packet headers over IEEE 802.15.4 networks. In addition, the concepts of Single-end point networks and Multiple-end point networks are introduced. Note: in the present document, "Single-end point networks" and "Multiple-end point networks" are used for brevity to refer to "Single-end point SCHC-Lo networks" and "Multiple-end point SCHC-Lo networks".

3.2.1. SCHC Stratum and Discriminator

When SCHC is used to compress IPv6 packets over IEEE 802.15.4 networks, a SCHC Stratum is located on top of layer 2 and below layer 3 (that is, at layer 2.5). Note that the compressed data of the SCHC Stratum may also comprise upper layer packet headers. For example, SCHC may be used to compress IP headers, IP/UDP headers or IP/UDP/ CoAP headers (all at once).

In both Single-end point and Multiple-end point networks, the Discriminator is a 6LoWPAN Dispatch Type set to the SCHC Dispatch or to the SCHC Pointer Dispatch (see Section 4).

3.2.2. Single-end point networks

In Single-end point networks, all network nodes that use SCHC for C/D have a single SCHC Data end point, and thus a single SoR for SCHC Datagram C/D. For this reason, in Single-end point networks, the SCHC Control Header is fully compressed (i.e., the SCHC Control Header requires 0 bits to be transmitted over the air).

In Single-end point networks, all network nodes that use SCHC for C/D have a single SCHC Control Header end point, and therefore a single SoR for SCHC Control Header C/D, which in this case comprises a single, implicit Rule for SCHC Control Header C/D.

3.2.3. Multiple-end point networks

In Multiple-endpoint networks, at least some of the network nodes that use SCHC for C/D have more than one SCHC Data end point, and thus one SoR associated to each SCHC Data end point. Therefore, in Multiple-end point networks, the SCHC Control Header end point cannot generally be fully compressed (i.e., in compressed form, a SCHC Control Header of more than 0 bits is generally required to be transmitted over the air).

In Multiple-end point networks, all network nodes that use SCHC for C/D have a single SCHC Control Header end point, and therefore a single SoR for SCHC Control Header C/D, which may comprise several Rules for SCHC Control Header C/D.

3.3. Network topologies

IEEE 802.15.4 supports two main network topologies: the star topology, and the peer-to-peer (i.e., mesh) topology.

SCHC has been designed for LPWAN technologies, which are typically based on a star topology where constrained devices (e.g., sensors) communicate with a less constrained, central network gateway [RFC 8376]. However, as stated in [draft-ietf-schc-architecture], SCHC is generic and it can also be used in networking environments beyond the ones originally considered for SCHC.

SCHC compression is applicable to both star topology and mesh topology IEEE 802.15.4 networks. The mechanism to be used to provide the SCHC header compression context to the nodes in an IEEE 802.15.4 network is out of the scope of this document.

3.4. Single-hop communication

In order to support the transmission of SCHC-compressed packets between two IEEE 802.15.4 nodes that are single-hop neighbors, both nodes MUST store the Rules intended for the communication between those two endpoints.

The frame format to be used to carry a SCHC-compressed packet in single-hop communication is described in Section 4.1.

3.5. Multihop communication

6LoWPAN defines two approaches for multihop communication: Route-Over and Mesh-Under [RFC6606]. In Route-Over, routing is performed at the IP layer. In Mesh-Under, routing functionality is located at the adaptation layer, below IP. This section describes how SCHC-compressed packets are transmitted over a multihop IEEE 802.15.4 network, for both Route-Over and Mesh-Under. For Route-Over, this section defines three different modes: Straightfoward Route-Over (SRO); Tunneled, RPL-based Route-Over (TRO), and Pointer- based Route-Over (PRO). All nodes that use Route-Over in a SCHC-Lo network MUST use the same Route-Over mode.

Note that there exist hybrid 6LoWPAN-based solutions that combine features from both Route-Over and Mesh-Under. Such solutions MAY use functionality defined in this section as appropriate.

The description of the different modes enabling SCHC-compressed transmission over multihop IEEE 802.15.4 paths is illustrated by means of examples. Note that the examples only show Rules designed for IPv6 (or joint IPv6 and upper-layer) packet header C/D. When additional SCHC Strata are used (i.e., for separate SCHC C/D applied to upper layer protocols), additional Rules will need to be stored by the corresponding endpoints. However, such additional Rules are not shown in the examples, for the sake of clarity. Also for clarity reasons, the examples contain routers that do not generate or receive application-layer messages as hosts. However, in practical scenarios, nodes acting as routers may also generate or receive application-layer messages. Such nodes MUST support the functionality described in this section for hosts, in addition to their routing functionality.

On a related note, routers MAY use SCHC C/D for the transmission of control-plane or management-plane messages. In such case, they need to store Rules as appropriate, and use single-hop or multihop transmission procedures accordingly. As of the writing, SCHC C/D has been defined for some protocols. While there are plans to expand the set of protocols SCHC C/D can be applied to, in some cases it might not be possible to compress all headers of protocols atop IPv6.

3.5.1. Straightforward Route-Over (SRO)

SCHC header compression MAY be used in a Route-Over network in a straightforward approach, whereby all routers (i.e., all 6LRs and 6LBRs) MUST store all the Rules in use by any nodes in the SCHC-Lo network, whereas a host MUST store the Rules defined for its communication with other nodes. This approach is called Straightforward Route-Over (SRO). In this case, 6LoWPAN routers are able to decompress (if needed) received packet headers and compress packet headers before being forwarded. In SRO, in Single-end point networks, a RuleID and the Rule it identifies MUST be unique SCHC-Lo network-wide (note: the means to ensure so are out of the scope of this document). In order to simplify the management of RuleIDs in the SCHC-Lo network, in SRO, all nodes in the SCHC-Lo network MAY share the same SoR. In SRO, in Multiple-endpoint networks, a not fully compressed SCHC Control Header MUST be used.

Figure 8 illustrates an example Single-end point network with the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes in SRO. In this example, RuleID 1 is intended for communication between Host A and Host B, RuleID 2 is intended for communication between Host A and Host C, and RuleID 3 is used for the communication between Host A and an external node called Host E.

```
                                                 Host E
                                                /
                    (RuleID 1)        +--------+
                    (RuleID 2)    --- |Internet|
                    (RuleID 3)   /    +--------+
                   6LBR ---------
                 /      \
                /        \
              6LR         6LR ------------+          Pair of nodes
     (RuleID 1) |         | (RuleID 1)    |  RuleID 1:   A, B
     (RuleID 2) |         | (RuleID 2)    |  RuleID 2:   A, C
     (RuleID 3) |         | (RuleID 3)    |  RuleID 3:   A, E
                |         |               |
             Host A      Host B         Host C
              (RuleID 1)    (RuleID 1)     (RuleID 2)
              (RuleID 2)
              (RuleID 3)
```

Figure 8: Rules stored by each node in an example Single-end point network using SRO.

Figure 9 illustrates an example Multiple-end point network with the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes in SRO. In this example, in addition to the Rules used in Figure 8, which correspond to a SCHC Data end point called E1 in this example, there is a second RuleID 2, which corresponds to communication between A and B, in a second SCHC Data end point (E2). Note that, for simplicity, Figure 9 shows the same end point identifier (e.g., E1 or E2) for two end points that share a Rule.

```
                                                   Host E
                    (RuleID 2, E2)                /
                    (RuleID 1, E1)      +--------+
                    (RuleID 2, E1)  --- |Internet|
                    (RuleID 3, E1) /    +--------+
                   6LBR -----------
                 /      \
                /        \
              6LR         6LR -------------+           Nodes | End point
(RuleID 1, E1) |         | (RuleID 1, E1)  |   RuleID 1: A, B      E1
(RuleID 2, E1) |         | (RuleID 2, E1)  |   RuleID 2: A, C      E1
(RuleID 3, E1) |         | (RuleID 3, E1)  |   RuleID 3: A, E      E1
(RuleID 2, E2) |         | (RuleID 2, E2)  |   RuleID 2: A, B      E2
               |         |                 |
              Host A      Host B         Host C
        (RuleID 1, E1)    (RuleID 1, E1)   (RuleID 2, E1)
        (RuleID 2, E1)    (RuleID 2, E2)
        (RuleID 3, E1)
        (RuleID 2, E2)
```

Figure 9: Rules stored by each node in an example Multiple-end point network using SRO.

The frame format to be used to carry a SCHC-compressed packet in SRO is described in Section 4.1.

3.5.2. Tunneled, RPL-based Route-Over (TRO)

In a Route-Over network that uses the IPv6 Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks (RPL) [RFC6550], the RPL non-storing mode [RFC6550, RFC 6554] and [RFC8138] MAY be exploited in order to efficiently transmit SCHC-compressed packets. In this approach, packets sent by a 6LN are tunneled to the root, and packets intended for 6LNs are tunneled from the root (note: a tunnel is not needed when the root itself is the source). Traffic between two 6LNs traverses an Upward tunnel to the root and a Downward tunnel from the root. The present document defines the described approach as Tunneled, RPL-based Route-Over approach (TRO).

In TRO, each 6LoWPAN node (i.e., a host, a 6LR or a 6LBR) MUST store the Rules defined for its communication with other peer nodes. A 6LR is relieved from storing Rules that do not involve the 6LR itself as an endpoint. A 6LBR MUST store all the Rules used by all nodes in the SCHC-Lo network.

In a TRO Single-end point network, a RuleID and the Rule it identifies MUST be unique SCHC-Lo network-wide (note: the means to ensure so are out of the scope of this document). In a TRO Multiple-end point network, a not fully compressed SCHC Control Header MUST be used.

Figure 10 illustrates the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes in TRO, based on the same example Single-end point network and sets of peer nodes shown in Figure 8.

```
                                                 Host E
                                                /
                    (RuleID 1)        +--------+
                    (RuleID 2)    --- |Internet|
                    (RuleID 3)   /    +--------+
                   6LBR ---------
                 /      \
                /        \
              6LR         6LR ------------+             Pair of nodes
     (no Rules) |         | (no Rules)    |    RuleID 1:    A, B
                |         |               |    RuleID 2:    A, C
                |         |               |    RuleID 3:    A, E
                |         |               |
             Host A      Host B         Host C
              (RuleID 1)    (RuleID 1)     (RuleID 2)
              (RuleID 2)
              (RuleID 3)
```

Figure 10: Rules stored by each node in an example Single-end point network using TRO.

Figure 11 illustrates an example Multiple-end point network with the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes in TRO. In this example, in addition to the Rules used in Figure 10, which correspond to a SCHC Data end point called E1 in this example, there is a second RuleID 2, which corresponds to communication between A and B, in a second SCHC Data end point (E2).

```
                                                Host E
                  (RuleID 2, E2)               /
                  (RuleID 1, E1)      +--------+
                  (RuleID 2, E1)  --- |Internet|
                  (RuleID 3, E1) /    +--------+
                 6LBR -----------
               /      \
              /        \
            6LR         6LR -------------+             Nodes | End point
  (No Rules) |         | (No Rules)      |   RuleID 1:  A, B      E1
             |         |                 |   RuleID 2:  A, C      E1
             |         |                 |   RuleID 3:  A, E      E1
             |         |                 |   RuleID 2:  A, B      E2
             |         |                 |
           Host A    Host B            Host C
      (RuleID 1, E1)    (RuleID 1, E1)   (RuleID 2, E1)
      (RuleID 2, E1)    (RuleID 2, E2)
      (RuleID 3, E1)
      (RuleID 2, E2)
```

Figure 11: Rules stored by each node in an example Multiple-end point network using TRO.

RFC 9008 describes how the communication between a 6LN and another node (another 6LN or the root of the same RPL domain, or an external node, e.g., on the Internet) is performed. For the sake of description clarity, Figure 12 (adapted from Figure 3 in RFC 9008) provides a reference topology including nodes referred to in the remainder of this subsection.

```
                    +------------+
                    |  INTERNET  |---------+
                    +------------+         |
                                         Z |
                                     +-------+
                                     | 6LBR  |
                         +-----------|(root) |--------+
                         |           +-------+        |
                         |                            |
                         | Y                          |X
                     +---|---+                    +---|---+
                     |  6LR  |                    |  6LR  |
             +-------|       |--+              +--|       |--+
             |       +-------+  |              |  +-------+  |
             | W                |  V           |             |
         +---|---+          +---|---+          |             |
         |  6LR  |          |  6LR  |          |             |
         |       |          |       |          |             |
         +---|---+          +-|---|-+          |             |
             |                |   |            |             |
             |           +----+   |            |             |
          U  |         T |        | S        R |           Q |
       +-----+-+   +-------+  +---|--+     +---|---+     +---|---+
       |  RAL  |   |  RUL  |  | RAL  |     |  RAL  |     |  RUL  |
       |  6LN  |   |  6LN  |  | 6LN  |     |  6LN  |     |  6LN  |
       +-------+   +-------+  +------+     +-------+     +-------+
```

Figure 12: Reference topology to support the description of TRO.

In RPL non-storing mode, for Downward traffic, the root adds a source-routing header. The root also performs IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation, except when the root itself is the packet source. The IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation terminates at the 6LN (if it is a RAL, e.g., U, S or R) or at the last 6LR, e.g., V or X, (if the 6LN is a RUL, e.g., T or Q). For Upward traffic, IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation is performed by the first 6LR, e.g. V or X, when the 6LN is a RUL, e.g., T or Q, that sends a packet to an external node or to another 6LN in the same RPL domain, but not to the root. When the 6LN is a RAL (e.g., U, S or R) that sends packets to the same destinations, IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation may be performed (by the RAL itself). The destination in the outer header of the IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation for Upward traffic is the root.

This document updates RFC 9008 by specifying that, in TRO, when a 6LN transmits an IPv6 packet whose header is compressed by means of SCHC instead of 6LoWPAN header compression (RFC 6282), the SCHC-compressed packet MUST be tunneled by means of IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation up to the root. This applies regardless of the inner, SCHC-compressed packet destination.

For Upward traffic, when the 6LN is a RAL (e.g., U, S or R), the 6LN itself performs the IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation. However, if the 6LN is a RUL (e.g., T or Q), IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation is performed by the first 6LR (e.g., E or C, respectively). In the latter case, in order to enable efficient packet transmission in the first hop from the 6LN, the first 6LR SHOULD be provided with SCHC Rules allowing efficient header compression of packets sent by that 6LN.

For Downward traffic, when the 6LN is a RUL (e.g., G or J), in order to enable efficient packet transmission in the last hop to the 6LN, the last 6LR (e.g., V or X, respectively) SHOULD be provided with SCHC Rules allowing efficient header compression of packets sent to that 6LN.

Not providing such SCHC Rules to the first or last 6LR (for Upward or Downward traffic, respectively) should only happen if it is not practical or possible to do so (e.g., due to lack of available memory at the 6LR).

For the sake of efficiency, RFC 8138 MUST be used to compress IPv6-in-IPv6 headers, the RPL Option (RFC 6553) and the source routing header (RPL Routing Header type 3, RFC 6554).

The frame format to be used to carry a SCHC-compressed packet in TRO is described in Section 4.2.

3.5.3. Pointer-based Route-Over (PRO)

In the previous SCHC-Lo route-over approach, TRO, intermediate nodes do not have to know the IPv6 destination address of a SCHC-compressed IPv6 packet to be able to forward it. Another approach where intermediate nodes do not have to store the compression/decompression Rules used by other nodes, which in addition does not require the artifacts used in TRO (i.e., IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation, non-storing mode RPL and RFC 8138 compression), is called Pointer-based Route-Over (PRO).

In PRO, a pointer (called "SCHC Pointer") is prepended to the SCHC-compressed packet, in order to indicate the location and length of the Hop Limit and the destination address residues in the SCHC-compressed header. Therefore, a 6LR is able to determine the IPv6 destination address of a SCHC-compressed packet, decrement its Hop Limit and route the packet, without the need to store the corresponding Rules. Note that, in PRO, each 6LoWPAN node (i.e., a host, a 6LR, or a 6LBR) MUST store the Rules defined for its communication with other peer nodes. A 6LBR MUST store the Rules used by any SCHC-Lo network node for communication with external nodes.

In a PRO Single-end point network, a RuleID MAY be used to identify different Rules used by different sets of peer nodes within the SCHC-Lo network. In a PRO Multiple-end point network, a not fully compressed SCHC Control Header MUST be used.

Figure 13 illustrates the Rules that are stored by the nodes in an example Single-end point network based using PRO. Note that, in this example, the SCHC-Lo network exploits the fact that PRO allows a given RuleID to be used by different pairs of nodes.

```
                                                 Host E
                                               /
                                     +--------+- Host F
                (RuleID 3)       --- |Internet|
                (RuleID 4)      /    +--------+
                6LBR -----------
              /      \                              Pair of nodes
             /        \                     RuleID 1:   A, B
           6LR         6LR ------------+    RuleID 2:   A, C
 (no Rules)/|         | (no Rules)    |    RuleID 2:   D, B
          / |         |               |    RuleID 3:   A, E
         /  |         |               |    RuleID 4:   B, F
        /   |         |               |
   Host D  Host A     Host B         Host C
RuleID 2)   (RuleID 1)  (RuleID 1)    (RuleID 2)
            (RuleID 2)  (RuleID 2)
            (RuleID 3)  (RuleID 4)
```

Figure 13: Rules stored by each node in an example Single-end point network using PRO. In this example, both RuleID 2 and RuleID 3 are used by two pairs of nodes each.

PRO is compatible with RPL storing mode, as well as with other routing protocols.

Figure 14 illustrates an example Multiple-end point network with the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes in PRO. In this example, in addition to the Rules used in Figure 13, which correspond to a SCHC Datagram Instance called E1 in this example, there is an additional RuleID 2, which corresponds to communication between A and D, in a second SCHC Data end point (E2).

```
                                                 Host E
                                                /
                                      +--------+- Host F
                  (RID 3, E1)  --- |Internet|
                  (RID 4, E1) /    +--------+
                 6LBR -------
                /    \                              Nodes | End point
               /      \                      RID 1:  A, B      E1
             6LR       6LR ------------+     RID 2:  A, C      E1
    (no Rules)/|         | (no Rules)   |     RID 2:  D, B      E1
            / |         |              |     RID 3:  A, E      E1
           /  |         |              |     RID 4:  B, F      E1
          /   |         |              |     RID 2:  A, D      E2
     Host D  Host A     Host B        Host C
 (RID 2, E1)  (RID 1, E1)  (RID 1, E1) (RID 2, E1)
 (RID 2, E2)  (RID 2, E1)  (RID 2, E1)
              (RID 3, E1)  (RID 3, E1)
              (RID 2, E2)
```

Figure 14: Rules stored by each node in an example Multiple-end point network using PRO. 'RID' stands for RuleID.

The frame format to be used to carry a SCHC-compressed packet in PRO is described in Section 4.3.

3.5.4. Mesh-Under

When Mesh-Under is used in a SCHC-Lo network, Mesh-Under operates as described in RFC 4944. The frame format to be used to carry a SCHC-compressed packet in the Mesh-Under approach is described in Section 4.4.

For header compression in a Mesh-Under SCHC-Lo network, a SCHC-Lo network node MUST store the Rules defined for its communication with other peer nodes.

In Mesh-Under, in a Single-end point network, a RuleID MAY be used to identify different Rules used by different sets of peer nodes. In a Mesh-Under Multiple-end point network, a fully compressed SCHC Control Header MAY be used as long as it is possible to determine the

SCHC Data end point needed to decompress a SCHC-compressed packet based on the packet’s originator address (which is present in the Mesh Header [RFC 4944]).

Figure 15 illustrates the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes when SCHC is used for header compression in a Single-end point Mesh-Under network, based on the same example network and node pairs shown in Figure 13. Note that, in this example, the network exploits the fact that Mesh-under allows a given RuleID to be reused by different sets of peer nodes, even if the Rules sharing the same RuleID are different. Nodes denoted "m" in Figure 15 correspond to Mesh-Under forwarders [RFC 6606].

```
                                                      Host E
                                                    /
                                          +--------+- Host F
                     (RuleID 3)       --- |Internet|
                     (RuleID 4)      /    +--------+
                    6LBR -----------
                   /     \                               Pair of nodes
                  /       \                        RuleID 1: A, B
                 m         m --------------+       RuleID 2: A, C
      (no Rules)/|         | (no Rules)    |       RuleID 2: D, B
               / |         |               |       RuleID 3: A, E
              /  |         |               |       RuleID 4: B, F
             /   |         |               |
        Host D  Host A     Host B         Host C
    (RuleID 2)   (RuleID 1)  (RuleID 1)    (RuleID 2)
                 (RuleID 2)  (RuleID 2)
                 (RuleID 3)  (RuleID 4)
```

Figure 15: Rules stored by each node in an example Single-end point network using Mesh-Under. In this example, RuleID 2 is used by different pairs of nodes.

Figure 16 illustrates an example Multiple-end point network with the Rules that need to be stored by the nodes in PRO. In this example, in addition to the Rules used in Figure 13, which correspond to a SCHC Data end point called E1 in this example, there is an additional RuleID 2, which corresponds to communication between A and D, in a second SCHC Data end point (E2).

```
                                                 Host E
                                                /
                                     +--------+- Host F
                    (RID 3, E1)  --- |Internet|
                    (RID 4, E1) /    +--------+
                   6LBR -------
                 /      \                             Nodes | End point
                /        \                    RID 1:  A, B       E1
                m         m --------------+   RID 2:  A, C       E1
     (no Rules)/|         | (no Rules)    |   RID 2:  D, B       E1
              / |         |               |   RID 3:  A, E       E1
             /  |         |               |   RID 4:  B, F       E1
            /   |         |               |   RID 2:  A, D       E2
       Host D  Host A     Host B         Host C
   (RID 2, E1)  (RID 1, E1)  (RID 1, E1)  (RID 2, E1)
   (RID 2, E2)  (RID 2, E1)  (RID 2, E1)
                   (RID 3, E1)  (RID 2, E2)
                   (RID 2, E2)
```

Figure 16: Rules stored by each node in an example Multiple-end point network using Mesh-Under. 'RID' stands for RuleID.

4. Frame Format

This section defines the frame formats that can be used when a SCHC-compressed packet is carried over IEEE 802.15.4. Such formats are carried as IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload. Note that the SCHC Control Header formats to support CoAP header C/D based on additional SCHC Strata over UDP (e.g., when CoAP is secured by means of DTLS or OSCORE, see Figure 2) are defined in Section 5.2.

4.1. Single-hop or SRO frame format

This subsection defines the frame format for carrying SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 for single-hop communication (see 3.3) or when SRO is used for multihop communication (see 3.4.1). This format comprises a SCHC Dispatch Type, a SCHC Datagram, and Padding bits, if any. The SCHC Datagram is composed of a SCHC Control Header (which in some cases is fully elided), a SCHC Data (i.e., the SCHC-compressed header of the packet being carried over IEEE 802.15.4), and user payload (i.e., the payload of the packet being carried over IEEE 802.15.4) [draft-ietf-schc-architecture]. Figure 17 illustrates the described frame format.

```
     <--------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload -------------------->

                    <----------- SCHC Datagram ------------>
     +---------------+--------+-------------+-------------+ - - - - +
     | SCHC Dispatch |SCHC Hdr|  SCHC Data   | user payload | Padding |
     +---------------+--------+-------------+-------------+ - - - - +
```

Figure 17: Encapsulated, SCHC-compressed packet, for single-hop or SRO transmission. Padding bits are added if needed.

4.1.1. SCHC Dispatch

Adding SCHC header compression to the panoply of header compression mechanisms used in 6LoWPAN/6Lo environments creates the need to signal when a packet header has been compressed by using SCHC. To this end, the present document specifies the SCHC Dispatch. The SCHC Dispatch indicates that the next field in the frame format is a SCHC Sratum header ("SCHC Hdr" in Figure 17, see 4.1.2)).

This document defines the SCHC Dispatch as a 6LoWPAN Dispatch Type for SCHC header compression [RFC4944]. With the aim to minimize overhead, the present document allocates a 1-byte pattern in Page 0 [RFC8025] for the SCHC Dispatch Type:

SCHC Dispatch Type bit pattern: 01000100 (Page 0) (Note: to be confirmed by IANA))

4.1.2. SCHC Control Header

The SCHC Control Header ("SCHC Hdr" in Figure 17 and subsequent figures) determines the SCHC Data end point to be used to decompress the next field (SCHC Data, see 4.1.3).

The SCHC Control Header format, and some examples of possible corresponding Rules for SCHC Control Header C/D, are shown in Figure 18.

```
      Uncompressed SCHC Control Header format:
      +-------------------+
      | SCHC Instance ID |
      +-------------------+

      Compressed SCHC Control Header format:
      +--------+- - - - - - - - - - -+
      | RuleID | Compression Residue |
      +--------+- - - - - - - - - - -+

      Example C/D Rules for the SCHC Control Header:

      RuleID 1
      +-------------+--+---+--+-----+------+-----------+
      |     FID     |FL|POS|DI| TV  |  MO  |    CDA    |
      +-------------+--+---+--+-----+------+-----------+
      | SCHC.instid | 8| 1 |Bi|value|equal | not-sent  |
      +-------------+--+---+--+-----+------+-----------+

      RuleID 2
      +-------------+--+---+--+-----+------+-----------+
      |     FID     |FL|POS|DI| TV  |  MO  |    CDA    |
      +-------------+--+---+--+-----+------+-----------+
      | SCHC.instid | 8| 1 |Bi|0x00 |MSB(7)|    LSB    |
      +-------------+--+---+--+-----+------+-----------+
```

Figure 18: SCHC Control Header Format and examples of corresponding C/D Rules for the SCHC Control Header

The uncompressed SCHC Control Header format comprises a single field, called the SCHC Instance ID. This field is an unsigned integer that identifies the session between SCHC end points in two or more peer nodes using a common SoR. The SCHC Instance ID size is RECOMMENDED to be between 1 and 8 bits.

As described in the SCHC architecture draft, in compressed form, the SCHC Control Header comprises a RuleID and a compression residue [draft-ietf-schc-architecture]. The RuleID size of the compressed SCHC Control Header is RECOMMENDED to be between 0 and 8 bits. In the examples shown in Figure 18, the best match between a SCHC Instance ID and the Rules with RuleID 1 and RuleID 2 lead to compression residues of 0 bits and 1 bit, respectively.

In Single-end point networks, the SCHC Control Header MUST be fully compressed, i.e., its size in compressed form is 0 bits. In Multiple-end point networks, the SCHC Control Header cannot always be fully compressed; in this case, the RuleID size (of the Rule used to compress the SCHC Control Header) is RECOMMENDED to be between 1 and 8 bits.

4.1.3. SCHC Data

The SCHC Data is a packet header that has been compressed by using a SCHC Data end point. It is the compressed form of the header of the original packet being carried over IEEE 802.15.4. As defined in [RFC8724], a SCHC-compressed header comprises a RuleID, and a compression residue. As per the present specification, a RuleID size between 1 and 16 bits is RECOMMENDED. In order to decide the RuleID size to be used in a SCHC-Lo network, the trade-off between (compressed) header overhead and the number of Rules needs to be carefully assessed.

4.1.4. User payload

The user payload is the payload of the original packet being carried over IEEE 802.15.4, which is unaffected by the SCHC Stratum [draft-ietf-schc-architecture].

4.1.5. Padding

If SCHC header compression leads to a SCHC Datagram size of a non-integer number of bytes, padding bits of value equal to zero MUST be appended to the SCHC Datagram as appropriate to align to an octet boundary.

4.2. TRO frame format

This subsection defines the frame formats for carrying SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 in TRO (see 3.3.2). Such formats are based on RFC 8138; however, instead of RFC 6282 header compression, this specification uses SCHC header compression. Accordingly, this specification updates RFC 8138 by stating that a 6LoRH header MUST always be placed before the LOWPAN_IPHC as defined in RFC 6282 [RFC6282] or the SCHC Dispatch, followed by the SCHC Control Header and the SCHC-compressed packet, as defined in the present specification.

Since 6LoRH uses Dispatch Types in Page 1, the present specification also defines a SCHC Dispatch Type in Page 1, with the same bit pattern as the one in Page 0: 01000100 (to be confirmed by IANA).

In the TRO frame formats, the SCHC Header is preceded by the SCHC Dispatch (in this case, in Page 1).

The frame format for Downward transmission, except when the SCHC-compressed packet source is a RPL root, is shown in Figure 19:

```
<---------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ----------------------->
                                            <- SCHC Datagram ->
+-- ... -+-- ... --+-...-+-- ... -+---- ... -+----+-----+------+ - - +
|11110001|SRH-6LoRH|RPI- |IP-in-IP| 01000100 |SCHC|SCHC | user | pad |
|Page 1  |         |6LoRH|  6LoRH |SCHCDsptch| Hdr| Pld |  pld |     |
+-- ... -+-- ... --+-...-+-- ... -+---- ... -+----+-----+------+ - - +
                                 (Page 1)

                                  <-------- This specification ------>
```

Figure 19: Downward frame format for SCHC-compressed packets in TRO, when the source is not a RPL root.

The frame format for Downward transmission, when the SCHC-compressed packet source is a RPL root, is shown in Figure 20:

```
<---------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ---------------->
                                       <- SCHC Datagram ->
+-- ... -+-- ... --+- ... -+---- ... -+----+-----+------+ - - +
|11110001|SRH-6LoRH| RPI-  | 01000100 |SCHC|SCHC | user | pad |
|Page 1  |         | 6LoRH |SCHCDsptch| Hdr| Pld |  pld |     |
+-- ... -+-- ... --+- ... -+---- ... -+----+-----+------+ - - +
                             (Page 1)

                             <----- This specification --------->
```

Figure 20: Downward frame format for SCHC-compressed packets in TRO, when the source is a RPL root.

The frame format for Upward transmission is shown in Figure 21 (note that it does not include the source routing header that is present in the Downward frame format):

```
<--------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ----------------->
                                      <- SCHC Datagram ->
+-- ... -+- ... -+-- ... --+---- ... -+----+-----+------+ - - +
|11110001| RPI-  | IP-in-IP| 01000100 |SCHC|SCHC | user | pad |
|Page 1  | 6LoRH |  6LoRH  |SCHCDsptch| Hdr| Pld |  pld |     |
+-- ... -+- ... -+--- ... -+---- ... -+----+-----+------+ - - +
                           (Page 1)

                                 <------- This specification ------->
```

Figure 21: Upward frame format for SCHC-compressed packets in TRO.

4.3. PRO frame format

This subsection describes the frame format for carrying SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 in PRO (see 3.5.3). Such format is shown in Figure 22:

```
<------------ IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------->

+--------------+-------------+--------------+ - - - - +
|  PRO Header  |  SCHC Data  | user payload | Padding |
+--------------+-------------+--------------+ - - - - +
        v              <->
        |               |
        +---------------+
          SCHC Pointer
```

Figure 22: frame format for SCHC-compressed packets in PRO.

The PRO Header format is shown in Figure 23:

```
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 1 2 3 4 .... 5 6 7 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 1 2 3
+---------------+-+ - - - +----+-------------+-+-------------+
|      SCHC     |C|       |    |             |H|   Adress    |
|     Pointer   |I|  DCI  |SCHC| Bit Pointer |L|   Residue   |
|    Dispatch   |D|       | Hdr|             |M|   Length    |
+---------------+-+ - - - +----+-------------+-+-------------+
```

Figure 23: PRO Header format.

The first field in Figure 23 is defined as the SCHC Pointer Dispatch, which signals the start of a PRO Header format. This document defines the SCHC Pointer Dispatch as a 6LoWPAN Dispatch Type [RFC4944] for SCHC header compression.

With the aim to minimize header overhead, the present document allocates a 1-byte pattern in the 6LoWPAN Dispatch Type Page 0 [RFC8025] for the SCHC Pointer Dispatch Type:

SCHC Pointer Dispatch Type bit pattern: 01000101 (Page 0) (Note: to be confirmed by IANA))

The next field in the PRO Header is the Context IDentifier (CID) flag, which is set to 1 to signal that the Destination Context Identifier (DCI) field (see PRO_header_format) is present in the frame. When CID is set to 0, the DCI field is not present.

The DCI field is optional. When present, it has a size of 4 bits. Similarly to RFC 6282, this field identifies the prefix of the IPv6 destination address. How such prefix context is distributed and maintained is out of the scope of the present document. If a network comprises nodes that use SCHC header compression and nodes that only support 6LoWPAN header compression, the prefix context to be used for both types of nodes SHOULD be the same.

The next field is the SCHC Control Header ("SCHC Hdr" in Figure 22), which has been defined in section 4.1.2. As shown in Figure 22, in the PRO Header, the SCHC Control Header is not immediately followed by the SCHC Datagram.

The Bit pointer gives the starting position of Traffic Class, followed by the Hop Limit and the IPv6 destination address in the SCHC residue of the SCHC-compressed IPv6 header (in bits), starting after the Address Residue Length field and before the first field of the SCHC-compressed IPv6 header (i.e., the RuleID). For example, if the Traffic Class, Hop Limit and the IPv6 destination address residue are the only residues in a SCHC- compressed IPv6 packet header (i.e., such residue starts right after the RuleID in the SCHC-compressed header), then the Bit pointer will have a value of RuleID length in bits. Note that, in PRO, a router can read and modify the ECN bits and the Hop Limit field of a received SCHC-compressed IPv6 packet, without the need to store the corresponding Rules.

The Hop Limit (HLM) flag is 1 bit that indicates the length of the Hop Limit field residue in the SCHC-compressed IPv6 header. When HLM equals 0, the Hop Limit compression residue has a size of 4 bits. In this case, the 4 most significant bits of the uncompressed Hop Limit field are equal to 0. Therefore, Hop Limit compression applies only to Hop Limit values between 15 and 0. When HLM is set to 1, the Hop Limit compression residue has a size of 8 bits (i.e., it is uncompressed).

The Address Residue Length field indicates the size of the IPv6 destination address residue (in bits). The possible values encoded by this field range from 0 to 127. Note that value 127 is used when the IPv6 destination address residue size is either 127 bits or 128 bits.

PRO requires a special SCHC Rule design where the FIDs of the IPv6 Destination and Source addresses are swapped (see 6.1.1).

4.4. Mesh-Under frame format

This subsection describes the frame formats for carrying SCHC-compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 in the Mesh-Under approach (see 3.3.3). Note that the formats are provided in this section for the sake of clarity and completeness, since they are the same as those defined for Mesh-Under in RFC 4944, except for the fact that SCHC-compressed packets are carried.

The frame format for a SCHC-compressed packet to be sent by means of Mesh-Under, when fragmentation is not needed, is shown in Figure 24:

```
<--------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------->

                                    <------ SCHC Datagram ----->
+---------+--------+-----------+--------+--------+--------+ - - +
|Mesh Type|Mesh Hdr|SCHC Dsptch|SCHC Hdr|SCHC Pld|User pld| pad |
+---------+--------+-----------+--------+--------+--------+ - - +
```

Figure 24: Encapsulated, SCHC-compressed packet, for Mesh-Under transmission (without fragmentation). Padding bits are added if needed.

The frame format for a SCHC-compressed packet to be sent by means of Mesh-Under, which also requires fragmentation, is shown in Figure 25:

```
<------------------ IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------>

                                 <----- SCHC Datagram ------>
+-----+-----+-----+-----+--------+--------+--------+--------+ - - +
|M Typ|M Hdr|F Typ|F Hdr|SCHC Dsp|SCHC Hdr|SCHC Pld|User pld| Pad |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+--------+--------+--------+--------+ - - +
```

Figure 25: Encapsulated, SCHC-compressed packet, for Mesh-Under transmission (with fragmentation). Padding bits are added if needed.

The frame format for a SCHC-compressed packet to be sent by means of Mesh-Under, which also requires a broadcast header to support mesh broadcast/multicast, is shown in Figure 26:

```
<---------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload -------------------->
                                 <------ SCHC Datagram ----->
+-----+-----+-----+-----+--------+--------+--------+--------+ - - +
|M Typ|M Hdr|B Typ|B Hdr|SCHC Dsp|SCHC Hdr|SCHC Pld|User pld| Pad |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+--------+--------+--------+--------+ - - +
```

Figure 26: Encapsulated, SCHC-compressed packet, for mesh broadcast/multicast in Mesh-Under transmission (without fragmentation). Padding bits are added if needed. 'B Dsp' and 'B Hdr' stand for 'Broadcast Dispatch' and 'Broadcast Header', respectively.

As in RFC 4944, when more than one LoWPAN header is used in the same packet, they MUST appear in the following order: Mesh Addressing Header, Broadcast Header, Fragmentation Header.

4.5. Summary

A summary of the formats and main features for the different transmission alternatives enabled by the present document is shown in Figure 27:

Single-hop	Multihop			
	Route-Over			Mesh-Under
	SRO	TRO	PRO	
SCHC Dispatch	SCHC Disp	IP-in-IP, 6LoRH, SCHC Dispatch	SCHC Ptr Disp, SCHC Pointer	Mesh Hdrs, SCHC Dsptch
see 4.1	see 4.1	see 4.2	see 4.3	see 4.4

Figure 27: Summary of formats and main features for the transmission of SCHC- compressed packets over IEEE 802.15.4 enabled by the present document, and corresponding artifacts

5. Enabling the TPS

This section describes two main approaches to enable the TPS, i.e., the protocol stack that keeps using 6LoWPAN/6lo header compression [RFC6282][RFC8138] for the IPv6 header, while using SCHC for UDP and CoAP header compression (Figure 7, Section 3.1.2). The first approach is based on using a single SCHC Stratum for joint UDP/CoAP header C/D. The second one is based on using at least two SCHC Strata (one of them for UDP header C/D, the other(s) for CoAP header C/D, including OSCORE). The functionality associated to these two approaches is described in subsection 5.1 and subsection 5.2, respectively.

SCHC uses a SCHC Control Header to identify the SCHC-compressed protocol header(s), along with further information to support SCHC operation (when needed). SCHC may also need a Discriminator to identify the SoR to be used for header decompression [draft-ietf-schc-architecture].

In order to support SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP headers over 6LoWPAN-compressed IPv6 packets, the present document exploits the work that is being done by the SCHC WG to define a new Internet Protocol Number for SCHC [I-D.ietf-schc-protocol-numbers]. In this approach, the NH field of the RFC 6282-compressed IPv6 header format is set to 0. The Next Header field of the IPv6 header remains an 8-bit (uncompressed) field carrying the SCHC Internet Protocol Number. The resulting protocol encapsulation and corresponding format for an unfragmented packet, which is carried as IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload, is shown in Figure 28. Padding is added as needed to align the format to an octet boundary.

```
<---------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------>
+-----------------------+------------------+--------------+ - - +
| RFC6282-compressed    | SCHC-compressed  |              |     |
|     IPv6 header       | UDP/CoAP headers | CoAP Payload | Pad |
|(NH=0,Next Header=SCHC)| (includes SCHC   |              |     |
|                       | Control Header)  |              |     |
+-----------------------+------------------+--------------+ - - +
```

Figure 28: Protocol data unit encapsulation and format for the TPS, using a SCHC Internet Protocol Number

For RPL-based networks that use the TPS, the formats defined in RFC 8138 may also be used for the sake of efficiency, as shown in Figure 29. In this figure, the first field is the Page switch with value 1, followed by RFC 8138-compressed routing artifacts, then followed by the RFC 6282-compressed IPv6 header (which indicates that the next header data unit is a SCHC Datagram).

```
<----------------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------->
+--------+------------+------------------+----------------+---------+ - +
|11110001|8138-cmprssd|  6282-compressed | SCHC-comprssd  |         |   |
|(Page 1)|  routing   |   IPv6 header    | UDP/CoAP hdrs  |   CoAP  |Pad|
|        | artifacts  |(NH=0,NxtHdr=SCHC)| (incl. SCHC    | Payload |   |
|        |            |                  | Control Header)|         |   |
+--------+------------+------------------+----------------+---------+ - +
```

Figure 29: Protocol data unit encapsulation and format for the TPS using a SCHC Internet Protocol Number and RFC 8138-compressed routing artifacts

5.1. SCHC C/D for the TPS: joint UDP/CoAP header compression

Over the IP layer, SCHC compression may be used for UDP only, UDP and CoAP jointly, or any other protocol or combination of protocols. This section describes joint UDP/CoAP C/D for the TPS, based on a single SCHC Stratum.

The SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP headers field has the format detailed in Figure 30. Such field comprises in turn two fields: the SCHC Control Header for UDP and CoAP, and the corresponding SCHC Data (i.e., a RuleID followed by the compression residue of the UDP/CoAP header). If there is a single SoR for UDP/CoAP header C/D, the SCHC Control Header for UDP and CoAP is fully elided (i.e., it requires zero bits when the packet is transmitted).

```
<---------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------>
+-----------------------+-----------------+-------------+ - - +
| RFC6282-compressed    | SCHC-compressed |             |     |
|     IPv6 header       | UDP/CoAP headers | CoAP Payload | Pad |
|(NH=0,Next Header=SCHC)|(includes SCHC    |             |     |
|                       | Control Header(s)|             |     |
+-----------------------+-----------------+-------------+ - - +
                        /                 \
               |-------/                   \-----------|
               +------------------+--------------------+
               |   SCHC Stratum   |      SCHC Data      |
               |      Header      | (RuleID + cmp. rsd. |
               | for UDP and CoAP | of UDP/CoAP header) |
               +------------------+--------------------+
```

Figure 30: Detailed view of the SCHC-compressed UDP and CoAP headers. A single SCHC Stratum is used jointly for UDP and CoAP.

The SCHC Control Header for joint UDP and CoAP header C/D, and the Rule to compress/decompress the SCHC Control Header itself for devices that only support the TPS, are defined in Figure 31. When a TPS-only device transmits a CoAP data unit, the SCHC Control Header is fully compressed and it incurs no transmission overhead (i.e., it is compressed down to 0 bits when transmitted), since the SoR of the SCHC Stratum end point contains exactly one Rule. When receiving a data unit, a TPS-only device also assumes that the SCHC Control Header is fully compressed (down to 0 bits).

A SCHC-Lo network may comprise TPS-only nodes and other nodes that also use 6LoWPAN/6lo to compress IPv6 headers (and routing protocol artifacts when needed) but support other protocol combinations on top of IPv6, in addition to UDP/CoAP. The latter nodes MUST also use/assume a fully compressed SCHC Control Header (down to 0 bits when transmitted) to send/receive UDP/CoAP data units to/from nodes that only implement the TPS, but will need to use/assume a not fully compressed SCHC Control Header when sending/receiving to/from other devices that support further protocols atop IPv6. In that case, the uncompressed SCHC Control Header format will also be the one shown in Figure 31, but using the appropriate Protocol ID and Port number values. In such a mixed network, a receiving node can determine whether the SCHC Control Header has been fully compressed (down to 0 bits) based on prior knowledge that the sender is a TPS-only node. In this case, the IPv6 address of the sender is used as a Discriminator.

```
+-----------+----------+
|Protocol ID|Port number| Non-Compressed SCHC Control Header for joint UDP/CoAP C/D
+-----------+----------+
Protocol ID = 17 (UDP)
Port number = 5683 (CoAP)

+---------+- - - - - - - - - - -+
| Rule ID | Compression Residue | SCHC-Compressed Control Header for joint UDP/CoAP C/D
+---------+- - - - - - - - - - -+
```

Note: for devices that only implement the TPS (i.e., the only protocols carried over IP are UDP and CoAP), the SCHC-Compressed Control Header is fully compressed (down to 0 bits when transmitted over the air) since there is only one Rule in the SoR for the SCHC Stratum end point for such devices.

Rule to compress/decompress the SCHC Control Header for joint UDP/CoAP header C/D for devices that only implement the TPS:

RuleID

FID	FL	POS	DI	TV	MO	CDA
SCHC.proto	8	1	Bi	17	equal	not-sent
SCHC.portnum	16	1	Bi	5683	equal	not-sent

Figure 31: SCHC Control Header for joint UDP/CoAP header C/D in non-compressed and in SCHC-compressed form, and corresponding Rule.

5.2. SCHC C/D for the TPS: multiple SCHC Strata

This section describes SCHC C/D for the TPS, based on using a SCHC Stratum below UDP, for UDP header C/D, and at least another one, between UDP and CoAP, for CoAP header C/D.

When only one SCHC Stratum is used for CoAP header C/D (e.g., when OSCORE is not used), the SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP headers field comprises four fields (Figure 32): the SCHC Control Header for UDP, the corresponding SCHC Data (i.e., a RuleID followed by the compression residue of the UDP header), the SCHC Control Header for CoAP, and the SCHC Data for the latter (i.e., a RuleID followed by the compression residue of the CoAP header). If there is a single SoR for UDP header C/D or CoAP header C/D, the corresponding SCHC Control Header is fully elided.

```
 <----------------------- IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------------>
 +--------+----------+-----------------+---------------+-------------+ - - +
 |11110001|8138-cmprsd|  6282-compressed | SCHC-comprssd  |             |     |
 |(Page 1)|  routing  |   IPv6 header    | UDP/CoAP hdrs  | CoAP Payload | Pad |
 |        | artifacts |(NH=0,NxtHdr=SCHC)| (incl. SCHC    |             |     |
 |        |           |                  | Stratum Hdr(s))|
 +--------+----------+-----------------+---------------+-------------+ - - +
                                         /                 \
                                |-------/                   \--------|
                                +---------+--------+---------+--------+
                                |  SCHC   |  SCHC  |  SCHC   | SCHC   |
                                | Stratum | Payload| Stratum | Payload|
                                | Header  | (UDP)  |  Header | (CoAP) |
                                | for UDP |        | for CoAP|        |
                                +---------+--------+---------+--------+
```

Figure 32: Detailed view of the SCHC-compressed UDP and CoAP headers. Two separate SCHC Strata are used to support SCHC-compressed UDP headers and SCHC-compressed CoAP headers, respectively.

The SCHC Control Header for UDP header C/D, and the Rule to compress/decompress that SCHC Control Header for devices that only support the TPS, are defined in Figure 33. The SCHC Control Header for CoAP header C/D, and the Rule to compress/decompress that SCHC Control Header for devices that only support the TPS, are defined in Figure 34.

```
 +-------------+
 | Protocol ID | Non-Compressed SCHC Control Header for UDP
 +-------------+
 Protocol ID = 17 (UDP)

 +.........+- - - - - - - - - - - -+
 | Rule ID | Compression Residue | SCHC-Compressed Control Header for UDP
 +.........+- - - - - - - - - - - -+
```

Note: for devices that only implement the TPS (i.e., the only protocol carried over IPv6 is UDP), the SCHC-Compressed Control Header is fully compressed (down to 0 bits when transmitted over the air) since there is only one Rule in the SoR of the SCHC Stratum for such devices.

Rule to compress the SCHC Control Header for UDP header C/D:

RuleID

FID	FL	POS	DI	TV	MO	CDA
SCHC.proto	8	1	Bi	17	equal	not-sent

Figure 33: SCHC Control Header for UDP header C/D in non-compressed and SCHC- compressed form, and corresponding Rule.

```
+-------------+
| Port number | Non-Compressed SCHC Control Header for CoAP
+-------------+
Port number = 5683 (CoAP)

+.........+- - - - - - - - - - -+
| Rule ID | Compression Residue | SCHC-Compressed Control Header for CoAP
+.........+- - - - - - - - - - -+
```

Note: for devices that only implement the TPS (i.e., the only protocol carried over UDP is CoAP), the SCHC-Compressed Control Header is fully compressed (down to 0 bits when transmitted over the air) since there is only one Rule in the SoR of the SCHC Stratum for such devices.

Rule to compress the SCHC Control Header for CoAP header C/D:

RuleID

FID	FL	POS	DI	TV	MO	CDA
SCHC.portnum	8	1	Bi	5683	equal	not-sent

Figure 34: SCHC Control Header for CoAP header C/D in non-compressed and in SCHC-compressed form, and corresponding Rule.

When CoAP is protected with OSCORE, one SCHC Stratum is used below UDP (for UDP header C/D), a second one is used between UDP and the CoAP outer header (for CoAP outer header C/D), and a third one is used between the CoAP outer header and the CoAP inner header (for CoAP inner header C/D).

In this case, the SCHC-compressed UDP/CoAP headers field comprises six fields (Figure 35): the SCHC Control Header for UDP, the corresponding SCHC Data (i.e., a RuleID followed by the compression residue of the UDP header), the SCHC Control Header for CoAP outer header, the SCHC Data for the latter (i.e., a RuleID followed by the compression residue of the CoAP outer header), the SCHC Control Header for CoAP inner header, and the SCHC Data for the latter (i.e., a RuleID followed by the compression residue of the CoAP inner header). If there is a single SoR for UDP header C/D, CoAP outer header C/D, or CoAP inner header C/D, the corresponding SCHC Control Header is fully elided.

```
 <------------------------ IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload ------------------------>
 +--------+-----------+-----------------+----------------+-------------+ - - +
 |11110001|8138-cmprssd|  6282-compressed | SCHC-comprssd  |             |     |
 |(Page 1)|  routing   |   IPv6 header    | UDP/CoAP hdrs  | CoAP Payload| Pad |
 |        | artifacts  |(NH=0,NxtHdr=SCHC)| (incl. SCHC    |             |     |
 |        |            |                  | Stratum Hdr(s))|             |     |
 +--------+-----------+-----------------+----------------+-------------+ - - +
                                        /                  \
                      |-----------------/                    \-----------------|
                      +---------+--------+---------+--------+---------+--------+
                      |  SCHC   |  SCHC  |  SCHC   | SCHC   | SCHC    | SCHC   |
                      | Stratum | Payload| Stratum | Payload| Stratum | Payload|
                      | Header  | (UDP)  |  Header | (CoAP  | Header  | (CoAP  |
                      | for UDP |        | for CoAP|  outer)| for CoAP| inner) |
                      +---------+--------+---------+--------+---------+--------+
```

Figure 35: Detailed view of the SCHC-compressed UDP and CoAP

When OSCORE is used to protect CoAP in the TPS, the SCHC Control Headers for UDP and CoAP outer header C/D, and the Rules to compress/decompress those SCHC Control Headers for devices that only support the TPS, are the ones already illustrated in Figures 33 and 34. The SCHC Control Header for CoAP inner header C/D, and the Rule to compress/decompress that SCHC Control Header, are shown in Figure 36.

```
 +-------------+
 |Option number| Non-Compressed SCHC Control Header for CoAP inner header
 +-------------+
 Option number = 9 (OSCORE)

 +.........+- - - - - - - - - - -+
 | Rule ID | Compression Residue | SCHC-Compressed Control Header for CoAP inner
 +.........+- - - - - - - - - - -+
 Note: for devices that only implement the TPS and use OSCORE, the SCHC-Compressed Contro
l Header for CoAP inner header C/D is fully compressed (down to 0 bits when transmitted o
ver the air) since there is only one Rule in the SoR of that SCHC Stratum.

 Rule to compress the SCHC Control Header for CoAP inner header C/D:

 RuleID
 +--------------+--+---+--+----+------+----------+
 |      FID     |FL|POS|DI| TV |  MO  |   CDA    |
 +--------------+--+---+--+----+------+----------+
 | SCHC.optnum  |16| 1 |Bi|  9 |equal | not-sent |
 +--------------+--+---+--+----+------+----------+
```

Figure 36: SCHC Control Header for CoAP inner header C/D in non-compressed and SCHC-compressed form, and corresponding Rule.

6. SCHC compression for IPv6, UDP, and CoAP headers

SCHC header compression may be applied to the headers of different protocols or sets of protocols. Some examples include: i) IPv6 packet headers, ii) joint IPv6 and UDP packet headers, iii) joint IPv6, UDP and CoAP packet headers, etc.

This section describes how IPv6, UDP, and CoAP header fields are compressed.

6.1. SCHC compression for IPv6 and UDP headers

IPv6 and UDP header fields MUST be compressed as per Section 10 of RFC 8724.

IPv6 addresses are split into two 64-bit-long fields; one for the prefix and one for the Interface Identifier (IID).

To allow for a single Rule being used for both directions, RFC 8724 identifies IPv6 addresses and UDP ports by their role (Dev or App) and not by their position in the header (source or destination). This optimization can be used as is in some IEEE 802.15.4 networks (e.g., an IEEE 802.15.4 star topology where the peripheral devices (Devs) send/receive packets to/from a network-side entity (App)).

However, in some types of 6LoWPAN environments (e.g., when a sender and its destination are both peer nodes in a mesh topology network), additional functionality is needed to allow use of the Dev and App roles for C/D. In this case, each SCHC C/D entity needs to know its role (Dev or App) in addition to the Rule(s), and corresponding RuleIDs, for each node it communicates with before such communication occurs [I-D.ietf-schc-architecture]. In such cases, the terms Uplink and Downlink that have been defined in RFC 8724 need to be understood in the context of each specific set of peer nodes.

RFC 8724 (Section 7.1) states that "In a Rule, the Field Descriptors are listed in the order in which the fields appear in the packet header". The present specification updates RFC 8724 by stating that, in order to allow IPv6 header compression in PRO, the Field Descriptors of the IPv6 destination address (i.e., IPv6 DevPrefix and IPv6 DevIID) MUST appear before the Field Descriptors of the IPv6 source address (i.e., IPv6 AppPrefix and IPv6 AppIID), while the rest of fields appear in the same order as in the IPv6 packet header.

In PRO, in order to support SCHC-based IPv6 header compression, one Rule MUST be defined for each direction between the involved C/D nodes. In such a Rule, the IPv6 DevPrefix and IPv6 DevIID FIDs MUST refer to the destination address (i.e., the destination node takes the "Dev" role) of the SCHC-compressed IPv6 header. This allows a 6LR to read the compression residue of the Hop Limit and IPv6 destination address fields of the SCHC-compressed header by means of the Bit Pointer.

6.1.1. Compression of IPv6 addresses

Compression of IPv6 source and destination prefixes MUST be performed as per Section 10.7.1 of RFC 8724. Additional guidance is given in the present section.

Compression of IPv6 source and destination IIDs MUST be performed as per Section 10.7.2 of RFC 8724. One particular consideration when SCHC C/D is used in IEEE 802.15.4 networks is that, in contrast with some LPWAN technologies, IEEE 802.15.4 data frame headers include both source and destination fields. If the Dev or App IID are based on an L2 address, in some cases the IID can be reconstructed with information coming from the L2 header. Therefore, in those cases, DevIID and AppIID CDAs can be used.

RFC 8724 states that "If the Rule is intended to compress packets with different prefix values, match-mapping SHOULD be used" (Section 10.7.1 of RFC 8724) and "If several IIDs are possible, then the TV contains the list of possible IIDs, the MO is set to "match-mapping" and the CDA is set to "mapping-sent"" (Section 10.7.2 of RFC 8724). However, the present specification updates RFC 8724 by stating that, in PRO, a source node MUST NOT use the match-mapping operator or the "mapping-sent" CDA to compress the IPv6 destination address prefix or the IPv6 destination IID, because 6LRs do not store SCHC context, and therefore do not have the match-mapping index meaning information.

6.1.2. UDP checksum field

RFC 8724 states that "a SCHC compressor MAY elide the UDP checksum when another layer guarantees at least equal integrity protection for the UDP payload and the pseudo-header".

IEEE 802.15.4 frames carry a 16-bit Frame Check Sequence (FCS), which is computed by means of a 16-bit ITU-T CRC algorithm. Considering the FCS size, the greater error detection capabilities of CRC compared with checksum, and the fact that the IEEE 802.15.4 FCS will be checked at each hop in an IEEE 802.15.4 multihop network, the UDP checksum MUST be elided when using SCHC to compress UDP headers.

6.2. SCHC compression for CoAP headers

CoAP header fields MUST be compressed as per Sections 4 to 6 of RFC 8824. Additional guidance is given in this section.

For CoAP header compression/decompression, the SCHC Rules description uses direction information in order to reduce the number of Rules needed to compress headers.

As stated in 5.1, in some types of 6LoWPAN environments (e.g., when a sender and its destination are both peer nodes in a mesh topology network), each SCHC C/D entity needs to know its role (Dev or App), in addition to the Rule(s), and corresponding RuleIDs, for each node it communicates with before such communication occurs [I-D.ietf-schc-architecture]. Therefore, in such cases, direction information will be specific to each set of peer nodes.

7. Neighbor Discovery

A number of optimizations have been developed in order to efficiently support IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) in 6LoWPAN environments (6LoWPAN ND) [RFC 6775][RFC 8505]. SCHC can also be used to compress 6LoWPAN ND packets. At the time of this writing, compression of ICMPv6 headers is being specified in the SCHC WG [draft-ietf-schc-icmpv6-compression]. Thus, it will be possible to compress the IPv6 header and the ICMPv6 header of a packet carrying a 6LoWPAN ND message.

8. Fragmentation and reassembly

After applying SCHC header compression to a packet intended for transmission, if the size of the resulting SCHC Datagram (Section 4) exceeds the IEEE 802.15.4 frame payload space available, such SCHC Datagram MUST be fragmented, carried and reassembled by means of the fragmentation and reassembly functionality defined by 6LoWPAN [RFC4944] or 6Lo [RFC8930][RFC8931].

In a Route-Over SCHC-Lo network, the 6LoWPAN fragment forwarding technique called Virtual Reassembly Buffer (VRB) [RFC8930] SHOULD be used. However, VRB might not be the best approach for a particular SCHC-Lo network, e.g., if at least one of the caveats described in Section 6 of RFC 8930 is unacceptable or cannot be addressed.

9. IANA Considerations

This document requests the allocation of the 6LoWPAN Dispatch Type Field Bit Patterns, on the Pages and with the Header Types shown next:

Bit Pattern	Page	Header Type	Reference
01000100	0	SCHC	[RFCthis]
01000100	1	SCHC	[RFCthis]
01000101	0	SCHC Pointer	[RFCthis]

Figure 37: Details of the 6LoWPAN Dispatch Type Field request

10. Security Considerations

This document does not define SCHC header compression functionality beyond the one defined in RFC 8724. Therefore, the security considerations in section 12.1 of RFC 8724 and in section 9 of RFC 8824 apply.

As a safety measure, a SCHC decompressor implementing the present specification MUST NOT reconstruct a packet larger than 1500 bytes [RFC8724].

IEEE 802.15.4 networks support link-layer security mechanisms such as encryption and authentication. As in RFC 8824, the use of a cryptographic integrity-protection mechanism to protect the SCHC-compressed headers is REQUIRED.

The addition of the pointer used in PRO creates new attack opportunities. A malicious node might be able to modify the related fields (i.e., Bit Pointer or Address Residue Length) to prevent a router from correctly reconstructing the IPv6 destination field of a SCHC-compressed IPv6 packet, thus preventing delivery of the packet to its intended destination. Appropriate use of link-layer security should significantly reduce the probability of the described threat.

11. Acknowledgments

Ana Minaburo and Laurent Toutain suggested for the first time the use of SCHC in environments where 6LoWPAN has traditionally been used. Flavien Moullec is a contributor to this document. Laurent Toutain, Pascal Thubert, Dominique Barthel, Guangpeng Li, Carsten Bormann, Nathan Lecorchet, Stuart Cheshire, Kiran Makhijani, Georgios Z. Papadopoulos, Peter Yee, Alexander Pelov, and Esko Dijk made comments that helped shape this document.

Carles Gomez has been funded in part by the Spanish Government through project PID2019-106808RA-I00 and PID2023-146378NB-I00, and by Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament d'Empresa i Coneixement de la Generalitat de Catalunya 2017 through grant SGR 376 and 2021 throught grant SGR 00330.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-schc-architecture]
Pelov, A., Thubert, P., and A. Minaburo, "Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) Architecture", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-schc-architecture-05, 17 October 2025, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-schc-architecture-05>.

[I-D.ietf-schc-protocol-numbers]
Moskowitz, R., Thubert, P., Gomez, C., Minaburo, A., and M. Blanchet, "Protocol Numbers for SCHC", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-schc-protocol-numbers-06, 23 December 2025, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-schc-protocol-numbers-06>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC4944] Montenegro, G., Kushalnagar, N., Hui, J., and D. Culler, "Transmission of IPv6 Packets over IEEE 802.15.4 Networks", RFC 4944, DOI 10.17487/RFC4944, September 2007, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4944>.

[RFC6282] Hui, J., Ed. and P. Thubert, "Compression Format for IPv6 Datagrams over IEEE 802.15.4-Based Networks", RFC 6282, DOI 10.17487/RFC6282, September 2011, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6282>.

[RFC6550] Winter, T., Ed., Thubert, P., Ed., Brandt, A., Hui, J., Kelsey, R., Levis, P., Pister, K., Struik, R., Vasseur, JP., and R. Alexander, "RPL: IPv6 Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks", RFC 6550, DOI 10.17487/RFC6550, March 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6550>.

[RFC6553] Hui, J. and JP. Vasseur, "The Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks (RPL) Option for Carrying RPL Information in Data-Plane Datagrams", RFC 6553, DOI 10.17487/RFC6553, March 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6553>.

[RFC6554] Hui, J., Vasseur, JP., Culler, D., and V. Manral, "An IPv6 Routing Header for Source Routes with the Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks (RPL)", RFC 6554, DOI 10.17487/RFC6554, March 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6554>.

[RFC6606] Kim, E., Kaspar, D., Gomez, C., and C. Bormann, "Problem Statement and Requirements for IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Routing", RFC 6606, DOI 10.17487/RFC6606, May 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6606>.

[RFC6775] Shelby, Z., Ed., Chakrabarti, S., Nordmark, E., and C. Bormann, "Neighbor Discovery Optimization for IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Networks (6LoWPANs)", RFC 6775, DOI 10.17487/RFC6775, November 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6775>.

[RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7252>.

[RFC7973] Droms, R. and P. Duffy, "Assignment of an Ethertype for IPv6 with Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (LoWPAN) Encapsulation", RFC 7973, DOI 10.17487/RFC7973, November 2016, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7973>.

[RFC8025] Thubert, P., Ed. and R. Cragie, "IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Paging Dispatch", RFC 8025, DOI 10.17487/RFC8025, November 2016, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8025>.

[RFC8065] Thaler, D., "Privacy Considerations for IPv6 Adaptation-Layer Mechanisms", RFC 8065, DOI 10.17487/RFC8065, February 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8065>.

[RFC8138] Thubert, P., Ed., Bormann, C., Toutain, L., and R. Cragie, "IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Routing Header", RFC 8138, DOI 10.17487/RFC8138, April 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8138>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

[RFC8505] Thubert, P., Ed., Nordmark, E., Chakrabarti, S., and C. Perkins, "Registration Extensions for IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Neighbor Discovery", RFC 8505, DOI 10.17487/RFC8505, November 2018, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8505>.

[RFC8613] Selander, G., Mattsson, J., Palombini, F., and L. Seitz, "Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE)", RFC 8613, DOI 10.17487/RFC8613, July 2019, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8613>.

[RFC8724] Minaburo, A., Toutain, L., Gomez, C., Barthel, D., and JC. Zuniga, "SCHC: Generic Framework for Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation", RFC 8724, DOI 10.17487/RFC8724, April 2020, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8724>.

[RFC8824] Minaburo, A., Toutain, L., and R. Andreasen, "Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) for the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 8824, DOI 10.17487/RFC8824, June 2021, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8824>.

[RFC8930] Watteyne, T., Ed., Thubert, P., Ed., and C. Bormann, "On Forwarding 6LoWPAN Fragments over a Multi-Hop IPv6 Network", RFC 8930, DOI 10.17487/RFC8930, November 2020, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8930>.

[RFC8931] Thubert, P., Ed., "IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Selective Fragment Recovery", RFC 8931, DOI 10.17487/RFC8931, November 2020, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8931>.

[RFC9008] Robles, M.I., Richardson, M., and P. Thubert, "Using RPI Option Type, Routing Header for Source Routes, and IPv6-in-IPv6 Encapsulation in the RPL Data Plane", RFC 9008, DOI 10.17487/RFC9008, April 2021, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9008>.

[RFC9147] Rescorla, E., Tschofenig, H., and N. Modadugu, "The Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 9147, DOI 10.17487/RFC9147, April 2022, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9147>.

12.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-schc-8824-update]
Tiloca, M., Toutain, L., Mart鱈nez, I., and A. Minaburo, "Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) for the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-schc-8824-update-07, 1 December 2025, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-schc-8824-update-07>.

[I-D.ietf-schc-icmpv6-compression]
Barthel, D. and L. Toutain, "Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) for the Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-schc-icmpv6-compression-02, 13 June 2025, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-schc-icmpv6-compression-02>.

[RFC9006] Gomez, C., Crowcroft, J., and M. Scharf, "TCP Usage Guidance in the Internet of Things (IoT)", RFC 9006, DOI 10.17487/RFC9006, March 2021, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9006>.

Appendix A. Analysis of route-over multihop approaches

This section provides an analysis of the features, pros and cons of the route-over multihop approaches defined in this document: i) SRO, ii) TRO, and iii) PRO.

A.1. SRO

SRO incurs the lowest header overhead among the considered Route-Over approaches, as it only requires the SCHC Dispatch (1 byte). However, it is the most demanding approach in terms of memory usage, since all SCHC-Lo network routers (i.e., 6LRs and 6LBRs) need to store all the Rules in use in the SCHC-Lo network. Therefore, it will be suitable for rather small networks and/or where nodes have sufficient memory. Also, SCHC context should be as static as possible, in order to avoid frequent stored SCHC context updates on the SCHC-Lo network routers.

A.2. TRO

TRO incurs a header overhead that includes a fixed part (a Page Switch plus the SCHC Dispatch, of 1 byte each), plus a variable part that comprises RFC 8138-compressed routing artifacts.

Regarding the latter, in a Downward transmission, it would include the SRH-6LoRH (of variable size, of 4 bytes in the best case, or e.g., 8 bytes as in Fig. 20 of RFC 8138), the RPI-6LoRH (3 bytes in the best case) and the IP-in-IP header (not present if the source is the Root, at least 3 bytes otherwise). In the cases considered, and when the Root is not the packet source, the total header overhead of TRO would be of at least 12-16 bytes.

For upward transmission, the variable part of the header overhead for this approach would include only the RPI-6LoRH (at least, 3 bytes) and the IP-in-IP header (at least, 3 bytes). Therefore, in the cases considered, the total header overhead of TRO would be of at least 8 bytes.

Note that, while the overhead of TRO may appear to be relatively high, tunnel-based structures like the one assumed in TRO may exist already in a network deployment. Therefore, in such cases, the additional overhead of TRO may be actually lower.

An advantage of TRO is that a node only has to store the Rules for the communications it is involved in as an endpoint, which minimizes memory requirements and the impact of potential SCHC context updates. For example, 6LRs do not have to store SCHC context.

Note that TRO requires the network to use RPL, non-storing mode. Furthermore, the paths for communication between two nodes in the same network or with external nodes will need to traverse the Root. For communication with external nodes, traversing the Root will be needed anyway, therefore this feature does not pose any issue. However, this constraint will preclude the usage of optimal routes in some cases.

A.3. PRO

PRO incurs the PRO header overhead (i.e., between 3 and 3.5 bytes). In addition, with PRO, the Hop Limit field will have to be carried fully inline (1 byte) or compressed down to a minimum size of 4 bits. Furthermore, PRO introduces a limit to the achievable IPv6 destination address compression performance, as described next (note that the size of the destination address compression residue will depend on and will need to be planned for the intended use case of the network):

A.- In special cases (e.g., if there is only one possible destination that is known beforehand), there will not be a destination address residue.

B.- For a given destination prefix known by the network nodes (e.g., when prefix contexts are used, or if there can only be one destination prefix), if there can be several possible destinations in that network, the destination address residue will be up to 8 bytes (it could be less depending on how the addresses in that network are built, for example, it could be just 2 bytes).

C.- For destination prefixes not covered by prefix contexts or a priori knowledge by the nodes, the destination address residue will have to be the whole address (16 bytes), since an intermediate node does not know which is the destination prefix.

An advantage of PRO, as in TRO, is that a node only has to store the Rules for the communications it is involved in as an endpoint, which minimizes memory requirements and the impact of potential SCHC context updates. For example, 6LRs do not have to store SCHC context. An exception is a 6LBR, which has to store the Rules for the communications of other endpoints with external nodes (if any).

A potential advantage of PRO is that, in contrast with TRO, paths for intranetwork communication are not necessarily constrained to traversing a root node. Another feature is that the routing solution to be used is not tied to RPL non-storing mode. However, the routing solution may involve other constraints and/or trade-offs.

A.4. Summary

Assessing the suitability of the different SCHC-Lo route-over multihop approaches requires considering the following dimensions: network size, node memory capabilities, header overhead, routing constraints / path optimality, and intra- or inter-network communication.

SRO is best suited for small and static-SCHC-context networks, such as a small home or a small office network (SRO may be used in larger networks as well, although with a trade-off with header compression performance and/or SCHC context management cost). PRO and TRO offer greater network scalability. TRO’s best applicability is in networks where upwards traffic is dominant and RPL deployments are already in place and (e.g., a smart grid network). PRO does not require RPL and can be a better fit when non-upwards traffic is significant (e.g., between any 2 nodes within the same network, as in a large home network.)

Appendix B. Relationship with RFC 7973

As reported in RFC 7973, IEEE assigned an Ethertype (with value 0xA0ED) for "IPv6 datagrams using LoWPAN encapsulation". As per RFC 7973, any IPv6 datagram using the Dispatch octet as defined in Section 5.1 of RFC 4944, subsequently updated by RFC 6282, is regarded as using LoWPAN encapsulation.

The present document also uses LoWPAN encapsulation, as it uses the Dispatch octet as described in RFC 7973. Therefore, the functionality described in the present document can also benefit from the mentioned Ethertype.

Authors’ Addresses

Carles Gomez
UPC
C/Esteve Terradas, 7
08860 Castelldefels
Spain
Email: carles.gomez@upc.edu

Ana Minaburo
Consultant
Rue de Rennes
35510 Cesson-Sevigne
France
Email: anaminaburo@gmail.com