

AI Preferences
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 24 October 2026

T. R. Zehta
Creative Commons
22 April 2026

AIPREF Vocabulary Exclusions
draft-zehta-aipref-exclusions-01

Abstract

This document proposes an update to the AI preferences vocabulary [VOCAB] in order to establish protected uses (exclusions).

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at <https://TimidRobot.github.io/ietf-aipref-exclusions/draft-zehta-aipref-exclusions.html>. Status information for this document may be found at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-zehta-aipref-exclusions/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the AI Preferences Working Group mailing list (<mailto:ai-control@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/ai-control/>. Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/ai-control/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/TimidRobot/ietf-aipref-exclusions>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 24 October 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2026 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Conventions and Definitions | 3 |
| 3. Statements of Preference | 3 |
| 3.1. Understanding Preferences | 3 |
| 3.2. Public Interest Exclusions | 3 |
| 4. Security Considerations | 4 |
| 5. IANA Considerations | 4 |
| 6. References | 4 |
| 6.1. Normative References | 4 |
| 6.2. Informative References | 4 |
| Acknowledgments | 5 |
| Author's Address | 5 |

1. Introduction

This proposal establishes exclusions within the AI preferences to protect public interest activities. These exclusions help balance content holder agency, end user priority, and public interest activities that remain essential for the open web. Creating explicit exclusions from AI preferences for public interest activities will create certainty and, therefore, strengthen their position.

It proposes a new "Public Interest Exclusions" section in [VOCAB].

This proposal can also be viewed as a [DIFF].

2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Statements of Preference

See [VOCAB].

3.1. Understanding Preferences

See [VOCAB].

3.2. Public Interest Exclusions

Regardless of the preferences expressed, the following public interest uses are excluded:

- * Anyone can use the assets for malicious content detection
 - For example: A website that permits user uploads may use the assets to develop or use tools that detect harmful content according to established terms of use.
- * Any end user can use the assets for internationalization and localization, and for accessibility tools to aid individuals with accessibility needs
 - For example: Individuals with accessibility needs may utilize software that uses the assets to access automated captions or generate accessible formats.
- * Organizations that preserve expressed preferences can use the assets for public archiving
 - For example: An archive that preserves preferences may use the assets to improve the metadata associated with assets or help with discoverability.
- * Cultural heritage institutions and not-for-profit research and/or educational organizations can use the assets for analysis and research

- For example: A cultural heritage organization may use the assets to provide more useful, reliable, or discoverable access to historical web collections.

4. Security Considerations

See [VOCAB].

5. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.
- [VOCAB] Keller, P. and M. Thomson, Ed., "A Vocabulary For Expressing AI Usage Preferences", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-aipref-vocab-05, April 2026, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-aipref-vocab-05>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [AIPREF-IMPACT] Badii, F., Bailey, L., and J. Levy, "AI Preferences Signaling: End User Impact", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-farzdusa-aipref-enduser-00, 26 November 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-farzdusa-aipref-enduser-00>>.
- [DIFF] "Proposal as diff 揃 Issue #1 揃 TimidRobot/ietf-aipref-exclusions", n.d., <<https://github.com/TimidRobot/ietf-aipref-exclusions/issues/1>>.
- [ENDUSERS] Nottingham, M., "The Internet is for End Users", RFC 8890, August 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8890.html>>.

Acknowledgments

The following individuals made significant contributions to this document:

- * Diyana Noory
- * Sarah Pearson
- * Vito Quaglia

Author's Address

Timid Robot Zehta
Creative Commons
Email: timid@creativecommons.org