

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Experimental
Expires: 1 November 2026

T. Adebayo
O. Apalowo
Veridom Ltd
30 April 2026

Operating Model Protocol (OMP) Core -- Version 01: Invariant 3 --
Verifiable Delegation Binding
draft-veridom-omp-01

Abstract

This -01 version of the Operating Model Protocol (OMP) Core specification introduces Invariant 3: Verifiable Delegation Binding.

OMP version -00 establishes two invariants: (1) deterministic routing and (2) immutable trail. These invariants are necessary but not sufficient for adversarial evidentiary defensibility. A tamper-evident record of an unauthorised decision is still an unauthorised decision.

This amendment adds Invariant 3, which closes the gap between record existence and authority validity by requiring that every ASSISTED or ESCALATED routing state bind the Named Accountable Officer field to a verifiable DelegationInstrument object at the moment of decision.

When no valid binding can be established, the system sets `authority_binding_result` to `AUTHORITY_UNBOUND` -- a sealed, positive evidentiary declaration of the absence, not a silent failure. The `routing_state` is preserved; `execution_permission` is set to `BLOCKED`. Three axes are orthogonal: `routing_state`, `authority_binding_result`, and `execution_permission`.

This amendment is additive and non-breaking. All twelve existing OMP profile drafts inherit Invariant 3 from the core specification. AUTONOMOUS routing states are exempt unless a profile-specific Watchtower gate requires binding.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 1 November 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2026 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Requirements Language	3
2. The Authority-Chain Gap in OMP -00	4
3. The Three OMP Core Invariants	4
3.1. Invariant 1 -- Deterministic Routing (Unchanged from -00)	4
3.2. Invariant 2 -- Immutable Trail (Unchanged from -00)	4
3.3. Invariant 3 -- Verifiable Delegation Binding (New in -01)	5
4. The authority_binding Object	5
4.1. BOUND Record Structure	5
4.2. AUTHORITY_UNBOUND Record Structure	6
4.3. EXEMPT Record Structure	7
4.4. Field Definitions	7
4.4.1. instrument_selection_mode	7
4.4.2. authority_root_type and Recursion Termination	8
4.4.3. scope_constraints	9
4.4.4. instrument_hash and Public Registry	9
5. Conformance Test Cases -- Invariant 3	9
6. Profile Inheritance and First-Deployment Targets	11
7. Migration from -00 to -01	11
8. Adversarial Evidentiary Defensibility	12
8.1. BOUND Records	12
8.2. AUTHORITY_UNBOUND Records	12
8.3. Post-Hoc Instrument Attachment	12

9. Security Considerations	13
10. IANA Considerations	13
11. References	13
11.1. Normative References	13
11.2. Informative References	14
Appendix A. <code>authority_binding_result</code> Enumeration	14
Appendix B. Acknowledgements	16
Authors' Addresses	16

1. Introduction

The Operating Model Protocol (OMP), specified in [OMP-00], defines a deterministic routing and tamper-evident evidence architecture for consequential AI decisions. It establishes two invariants: deterministic routing (Invariant 1) and immutable trail (Invariant 2).

Independent review of the OMP Proof-Point architecture identified a structural gap: a tamper-evident record that names an accountable officer proves the officer was named in the record. It does not prove the named officer held valid delegated authority to make that specific decision at that specific time. The challenge may be stated as: the organisation that made the decision must produce the underlying record and show it supported that specific decision at that moment. The protocol layer fixes the link between a specific decision and the evidence the institution must later produce. It does not substitute for institutional evidence.

This document adds Invariant 3 -- Verifiable Delegation Binding -- which addresses this gap by requiring that the Named Accountable Officer field be bound, at decision time, to a verifiable `DelegationInstrument` object. The amendment makes authority absence visible: when valid binding cannot be established, this is recorded explicitly rather than silently.

The amendment is additive. Existing -00 records remain valid under -00 schema. AUTONOMOUS states are unchanged. The amendment adds the `authority_binding` object to ASSISTED and ESCALATED records.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. The Authority-Chain Gap in OMP -00

OMP -00 seals two links of the four-link authority chain:

1. Decision record -- what was decided, under which routing state, at what time
2. Named Accountable Officer -- who was asserted as responsible
3. Delegation instrument -- the role, mandate, scope, and basis for the officer's authority
4. Authority validity at decision time -- whether the instrument was operative

Links 3 and 4 were outside the scope of -00. In adversarial proceedings, a party challenging an AI-assisted decision may accept links 1 and 2 while contesting link 3 or 4: the officer was named, but did not hold valid delegated authority for that decision class, or the instrument was expired or revoked at the time of the decision.

Invariant 3 seals links 3 and 4. A correctly bound record makes the authority claim independently testable by linking the decision, at decision time, to a specific `DelegationInstrument` with recorded scope, issuer, effective date, revocation status, and hash or reference to the underlying authority document. The protocol cannot finally adjudicate legal authority; it makes the authority claim auditable without institutional cooperation.

3. The Three OMP Core Invariants

3.1. Invariant 1 -- Deterministic Routing (Unchanged from -00)

Given the same inputs and policy configuration, the same routing state always results. Routing states are: `AUTONOMOUS`, `ASSISTED`, and `ESCALATED`. Routing is defined in configuration, not inferred by the model. Policy violation becomes structurally impossible without generating an evidence record.

3.2. Invariant 2 -- Immutable Trail (Unchanged from -00)

Every routing state produces a cryptographically sealed record at the exact moment of decision. Sealing uses SHA-256 hash over canonical JSON per [RFC8785], combined with an RFC 3161 trusted timestamp [RFC3161] and an optional institutional signature. Any post-decision modification to any field is detectable by any third party without requiring institutional access.

3.3. Invariant 3 -- Verifiable Delegation Binding (New in -01)

Every ASSISTED or ESCALATED routing state MUST evaluate Invariant 3. The evaluation determines whether the Named Accountable Officer field can be bound to a valid DelegationInstrument at the moment of the routing state.

The result of this evaluation is recorded in the `authority_binding` object (Section 4) as one of three values:

BOUND A valid DelegationInstrument was identified, all required fields are present and valid, the instrument was operative at decision time, and the officer's scope covers the decision class.

AUTHORITY_UNBOUND A valid DelegationInstrument could not be bound. The failure is recorded in `failure_reasons`. The `routing_state` is preserved as ASSISTED or ESCALATED; `execution_permission` is set to BLOCKED. The absence is sealed under Invariant 2.

EXEMPT The `routing_state` is AUTONOMOUS, or a profile-specific Watchtower has explicitly exempted this interaction from binding. `Execution_permission` is ALLOWED.

The three axes are orthogonal:

- * `routing_state`: what decision path this was (AUTONOMOUS / ASSISTED / ESCALATED)
- * `authority_binding_result`: whether delegation binding succeeded (BOUND / AUTHORITY_UNBOUND / EXEMPT)
- * `execution_permission`: whether the decision may proceed (ALLOWED / BLOCKED)

Implementations MUST NOT conflate these axes. AUTHORITY_UNBOUND is not a routing state. It is an `authority_binding_result` attached to an existing routing state.

4. The `authority_binding` Object

The `authority_binding` object is added to the OMP Audit Trace for all routing states. Its structure varies by `authority_binding_result`.

4.1. BOUND Record Structure

```

{
  "routing_state": "ESCALATED",
  "named_accountable_officer": {
    "officer_id": "string",
    "role": "string"
  },
  "authority_binding": {
    "authority_binding_result": "BOUND",
    "execution_permission": "ALLOWED",
    "delegation_instrument": {
      "instrument_id": "string",
      "officer_id": "string",
      "role_identifier": "string",
      "mandate_scope": "string",
      "scope_constraints": {
        "decision_types": ["string"],
        "jurisdictions": ["ISO 3166-1 alpha-2"],
        "product_scope": ["string"],
        "risk_threshold": "string",
        "monetary_limit": {
          "currency": "ISO 4217",
          "max_amount": "number"
        }
      }
    },
    "effective_date": "ISO 8601 date",
    "expiry_date": "ISO 8601 date or null",
    "revocation_status_at_decision":
      "ACTIVE|SUSPENDED|REVOKED|UNKNOWN",
    "issuing_authority_id": "string",
    "authority_root_type":
      "statutory|corporate_delegation|professional_registration",
    "instrument_hash": "sha256:hexstring",
    "instrument_reference": "URI",
    "instrument_selection_mode":
      "pre_registered|policy_resolved|
      manual_selected_at_decision|post_hoc_attached",
    "instrument_selected_at": "ISO 8601 datetime",
    "authority_registry_snapshot_hash": "sha256:hexstring",
    "registry_inclusion_proof": "string or null",
    "binding_timestamp": "RFC 3161 token reference"
  }
}

```

4.2. AUTHORITY_UNBOUND Record Structure

```

{
  "routing_state": "ESCALATED",
  "authority_binding": {
    "authority_binding_result": "AUTHORITY_UNBOUND",
    "execution_permission": "BLOCKED",
    "failure_reasons": [
      "NO_VALID_DELEGATION_INSTRUMENT|OFFICER_ID_MISMATCH|
      EFFECTIVE_DATE_FUTURE|INSTRUMENT_EXPIRED|
      INSTRUMENT_REVOKED|INSTRUMENT_SUSPENDED|
      REVOCATION_STATUS_UNKNOWN|MANDATE_SCOPE_MISMATCH|
      JURISDICTION_OUT_OF_SCOPE|MONETARY_THRESHOLD_EXCEEDED|
      INSTRUMENT_HASH_MISMATCH|
      BINDING_TIMESTAMP_OUTSIDE_WINDOW|
      REGISTRY_PROOF_MISSING|POST_HOC_ATTACHMENT_DETECTED"
    ],
    "attempted_officer_id": "string or null",
    "binding_timestamp": "RFC 3161 token reference"
  }
}

```

4.3. EXEMPT Record Structure

```

{
  "routing_state": "AUTONOMOUS",
  "authority_binding": {
    "authority_binding_result": "EXEMPT",
    "execution_permission": "ALLOWED",
    "exemption_basis":
      "AUTONOMOUS_ROUTING_STATE|WATCHTOWER_PROFILE_EXEMPT"
  }
}

```

4.4. Field Definitions

4.4.1. instrument_selection_mode

This field records how the DelegationInstrument was identified relative to the moment of decision. This is the operative instrument proof: evidence that the instrument was active at decision time rather than selected after the fact.

pre_registered The instrument was registered in an authority registry before this interaction class occurred. The `authority_registry_snapshot_hash` records the state of that registry at decision time.

policy_resolved The instrument was resolved at decision time from a

configured policy that maps officer identifiers to valid instruments. The resolution is deterministic and recorded.

`manual_selected_at_decision` The instrument was manually selected by the system operator at the moment of the decision. The `binding_timestamp` records this moment.

`post_hoc_attached` The instrument was attached after the decision record was created. This value MUST trigger a review flag. Implementations SHOULD NOT treat `post_hoc_attached` records as fully BOUND. Profiles MAY define whether `post_hoc_attached` instruments produce `AUTHORITY_UNBOUND` or a distinct `AUTHORITY_RETROSPECTIVELY_ATTACHED` result.

4.4.2. `authority_root_type` and Recursion Termination

The authority chain terminates when it reaches an accepted authority root. Three root classes are defined. Each OMP profile specifies which root types are acceptable for its domain. The authority root's validity is established by law or professional regulation and does not require further OMP DelegationInstrument binding.

`statutory` A government-defined regulatory body or court. Examples: FCA (UK), CBK (Kenya), FHFA (US), GMC (UK), Colorado AG (US), EU AI Office. Authority is defined by statute. The `issuing_authority_id` references the statutory body's official registration identifier.

`corporate_delegation` A corporate delegation instrument: board resolution, company secretary record, SMCR Statement of Responsibility, or delegated authority matrix. The chain terminates at the corporate body (company registration) which is itself defined by law. The `issuing_authority_id` references the corporate registration number and the specific delegation instrument.

`professional_registration` A professional licence or registration: GMC/NMC clinical registration, Law Society or Bar admission, Lloyd's underwriter approval, MAS-registered officer appointment. The chain terminates at the professional register maintained by a statutory body. The `issuing_authority_id` references the professional registration number.

4.4.3. scope_constraints

The `scope_constraints` object provides structured, machine-testable bounds on the instrument's mandate. Conformance verification MUST check that the decision falls within the `scope_constraints`. A decision outside the declared `scope_constraints` MUST produce `MANDATE_SCOPE_MISMATCH` in `failure_reasons`.

Fields within `scope_constraints` are OPTIONAL individually but the `scope_constraints` object itself is REQUIRED when `authority_binding_result` is BOUND. An empty `scope_constraints` object indicates no structured constraints beyond `mandate_scope` (free text).

4.4.4. instrument_hash and Public Registry

The `instrument_hash` (SHA-256 of the canonical form of the authority document) provides a mechanism for third-party verification that the referenced document has not been modified since it was hashed.

Profiles MAY require that instrument hashes be published in an accessible authority registry (analogous to Certificate Transparency [RFC6962]). Publication in such a registry proves existence, timestamp, and non-modification of the delegation instrument at or before the time of registration. It does not prove the legal interpretation of the instrument.

The `authority_registry_snapshot_hash` records the hash of the registry state at decision time, providing evidence that the instrument was registered before the decision was made.

5. Conformance Test Cases -- Invariant 3

The following minimum conformance cases MUST be included in the omp-profiles conformance suite for Invariant 3. All cases assume Invariant 1 and Invariant 2 conformance has already been verified.

1. AUTONOMOUS routing state -> EXEMPT, ALLOWED
2. ASSISTED + valid active pre_registered instrument, scope match -> BOUND, ALLOWED
3. ESCALATED + valid active pre_registered instrument, scope match -> BOUND, ALLOWED
4. ASSISTED or ESCALATED + no instrument present -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, NO_VALID_DELEGATION_INSTRUMENT

5. officer_id mismatch -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, OFFICER_ID_MISMATCH
6. effective_date after decision timestamp -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, EFFECTIVE_DATE_FUTURE
7. expiry_date before decision timestamp -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, INSTRUMENT_EXPIRED
8. revocation_status REVOKED -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, INSTRUMENT_REVOKED
9. revocation_status SUSPENDED -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, INSTRUMENT_SUSPENDED
10. revocation_status UNKNOWN -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, REVOCATION_STATUS_UNKNOWN
11. decision_type not in scope_constraints.decision_types -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, MANDATE_SCOPE_MISMATCH
12. jurisdiction not in scope_constraints.jurisdictions -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, JURISDICTION_OUT_OF_SCOPE
13. value exceeds scope_constraints.monetary_limit -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, MONETARY_THRESHOLD_EXCEEDED
14. instrument_hash mismatch -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, INSTRUMENT_HASH_MISMATCH
15. binding_timestamp outside window -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, BINDING_TIMESTAMP_OUTSIDE_WINDOW
16. registry_inclusion_proof absent when required -> AUTHORITY_UNBOUND, BLOCKED, REGISTRY_PROOF_MISSING
17. post_hoc_attached -> review flag, not fully BOUND (profile-specific handling)
18. invalid enum value in any Invariant 3 field -> schema validation failure, record not emitted
19. canonical JSON hash of authority_binding unchanged across stable field ordering
20. identical inputs produce identical authority_binding_result and execution_permission

6. Profile Inheritance and First-Deployment Targets

All twelve OMP profile drafts inherit Invariant 3 from this core specification. No immediate profile draft amendment is required for the invariant to apply. Profile-specific implementation guidance is added to each profile in the subsequent revision cycle.

The following four profiles are designated first-deployment targets because they operate in regulatory domains where delegation instruments are already formally required by existing regulation.

DutyMark (draft-veridom-omp-fca-00) SMCR Statements of Responsibility are the natural DelegationInstrument. authority_root_type: corporate_delegation_root anchored in statutory (FCA firm reference). Effective date equals the date of FCA approval. Revocation equals FCA withdrawal of approval.

WorkMark (draft-veridom-omp-employ-00) Employment ADS authority policy and HR delegated authority schedule. authority_root_type: corporate_delegation_root. Colorado AI Act and EEOC guidance both require a documented human accountable for consequential employment decisions.

CareGuard (draft-veridom-omp-clinical-00) Clinical privileges document and GMC/NMC registration. authority_root_type: professional_registration anchored in statutory (GMC). Revocation_status_at_decision checked against the GMC register.

HomeMark (draft-veridom-omp-fhfa-00) FHFA 2025-16 underwriter certification and authority scope. authority_root_type: corporate_delegation_root anchored in statutory (FHFA-regulated entity registration).

The remaining seven profiles -- NDTCP, SACCO, InsureMark, EUAIA, CiteGuard, ColoradoMark, and SingaporeMark -- inherit Invariant 3 and will receive profile-specific DelegationInstrument guidance in their respective -01 revisions.

7. Migration from -00 to -01

This amendment is additive and non-breaking.

- * AUTONOMOUS records: -00 and -01 produce equivalent records. authority_binding_result is EXEMPT. No migration required.
- * ASSISTED and ESCALATED records: -00 records remain valid under -00 schema. Deployments migrating to -01 add the authority_binding object to their ASSISTED and ESCALATED emission paths.

- * Proof-Point artefacts: -00 artefacts remain independently verifiable under -00 schema. -01 artefacts are verifiable under -01 schema. SHA-256 and RFC 3161 sealing is unchanged.
- * Conformance suite: -01 adds the twenty cases in Section 5 to the omp-profiles conformance suite. Existing -00 cases are unmodified.

8. Adversarial Evidentiary Defensibility

This section documents how Invariant 3 changes the evidentiary posture in contested proceedings. OMP records do not substitute for the deploying organisation's own evidence. The protocol makes the authority claim independently testable. Whether the authority was legally valid in the fullest sense remains for courts and regulators to determine.

8.1. BOUND Records

A correctly bound -01 record proves that the decision was linked at decision time to a specific DelegationInstrument with recorded scope, issuer, effective date, and revocation status. It makes the authority claim independently testable. The deploying organisation remains responsible for producing the underlying instrument and demonstrating it applied to that specific decision at that time.

8.2. AUTHORITY_UNBOUND Records

An AUTHORITY_UNBOUND record proves that the system evaluated whether a valid DelegationInstrument existed at the time of the decision and determined that it did not. The failure_reasons field records specifically which condition failed. Making authority absence visible is an architectural property, not a failure of the protocol. A sealed AUTHORITY_UNBOUND record materially weakens any later claim that authority was present but merely undisclosed, because the record shows that no valid binding was established at decision time.

8.3. Post-Hoc Instrument Attachment

The instrument_selection_mode field addresses the question of whether a delegation instrument was operative at decision time or selected after the fact. A post_hoc_attached instrument cannot receive BOUND status. This distinction is critical in discovery contexts where a deploying organisation might attempt to reconstruct authority documentation after a decision is challenged.

9. Security Considerations

The `authority_binding` object is sealed by Invariant 2 (SHA-256 hash chain plus RFC 3161 timestamp). Any modification to any field in the `authority_binding` object after sealing will produce a hash mismatch detectable by any third party.

The `instrument_hash` field provides a mechanism for verifying the referenced delegation instrument has not been modified since hashing. The hash does not prove the instrument's legal validity or scope of application.

The `instrument_selection_mode` field provides evidence of when the instrument was bound relative to the decision. Implementations MUST record this field accurately. Misrepresenting `post_hoc_attached` as `pre_registered` is a breach of the protocol invariants and will be detectable through the `binding_timestamp` and `authority_registry_snapshot_hash` fields.

The `AUTHORITY_UNBOUND` state seals the failure. This prevents a deploying organisation from later claiming the authority existed but was not recorded due to a system failure. The sealed absence is the evidence.

10. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions. The OMP profile registry is maintained at github.com/veridomltd/omp-open-core under Apache-2.0 licence.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3161] Adams, C., Cain, P., Pinkas, D., and R. Zuccherato, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP)", RFC 3161, August 2001, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3161>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

- [RFC8785] Rundgren, A., Jordan, B., and S. Erdtman, "JSON Canonicalization Scheme (JCS)", RFC 8785, June 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8785>>.

11.2. Informative References

- [OMP-00] Adebayo, T. and O. Apalowo, "Operating Model Protocol (OMP) Core -- Version 00", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-veridom-omp-00, 2026, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-veridom-omp-00>>. Work in Progress
- [OMP-SPEC] Adebayo, T., "OMP Technical Specification", DOI 10.5281/zenodo.19140948, 2026, <<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19140948>>.
- [RFC6962] Laurie, B., Langley, A., and E. Kasper, "Certificate Transparency", RFC 6962, June 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6962>>.

Appendix A. authority_binding_result Enumeration

authority_binding_result ENUM:

- * BOUND -- Valid DelegationInstrument bound at decision time
- * AUTHORITY_UNBOUND -- Binding evaluation failed; see failure_reasons
- * EXEMPT -- AUTONOMOUS state or profile-specific Watchtower exemption

execution_permission ENUM:

- * ALLOWED -- Decision may proceed
- * BLOCKED -- Decision blocked pending valid authority binding

instrument_selection_mode ENUM:

- * pre_registered -- Instrument registered before interaction class
- * policy_resolved -- Resolved from configured policy at decision time
- * manual_selected_at_decision -- Selected by operator at decision time

- * `post_hoc_attached` -- Attached after decision record creation (review flag)

`authority_root_type` ENUM:

- * `statutory` -- Government regulatory body or court
- * `corporate_delegation` -- Board resolution or delegated authority matrix
- * `professional_registration` -- Professional licence or registration

`revocation_status_at_decision` ENUM:

- * `ACTIVE` -- Instrument confirmed active at decision time
- * `SUSPENDED` -- Instrument suspended; treated as `AUTHORITY_UNBOUND`
- * `REVOKED` -- Instrument revoked; treated as `AUTHORITY_UNBOUND`
- * `UNKNOWN` -- Status could not be determined; treated as `AUTHORITY_UNBOUND`

`failure_reasons` ARRAY (one or more of):

- * `NO_VALID_DELEGATION_INSTRUMENT`
- * `OFFICER_ID_MISMATCH`
- * `EFFECTIVE_DATE_FUTURE`
- * `INSTRUMENT_EXPIRED`
- * `INSTRUMENT_REVOKED`
- * `INSTRUMENT_SUSPENDED`
- * `REVOCATION_STATUS_UNKNOWN`
- * `MANDATE_SCOPE_MISMATCH`
- * `JURISDICTION_OUT_OF_SCOPE`
- * `MONETARY_THRESHOLD_EXCEEDED`
- * `INSTRUMENT_HASH_MISMATCH`
- * `BINDING_TIMESTAMP_OUTSIDE_WINDOW`

- * REGISTRY_PROOF_MISSING
- * POST_HOC_ATTACHMENT_DETECTED

Appendix B. Acknowledgements

The authority-chain gap addressed by Invariant 3 was identified through independent review by an AI governance researcher whose published framework for binary structural testing of AI accountability converged independently on OMP's core architectural approach. Their critique -- that a tamper-proof record of an unauthorised decision is still an unauthorised decision, and that only the decision-maker can prove the underlying authority -- is incorporated into the design of Invariant 3 and the AUTHORITY_UNBOUND state.

This draft was produced using the OMP Open Core reference implementation at github.com/veridomltd/omp-open-core (Apache-2.0). The OMP technical specification is published at [OMP-SPEC].

Authors' Addresses

Tolulope Adebayo
Veridom Ltd
128 City Road
London
EC1V 2NX
United Kingdom
Email: tolulope@veridom.io
URI: <https://veridom.io>

Oluropo Apalowo
Veridom Ltd
Awka
Nigeria
Email: ropo@veridom.io