

CoRE Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: 8613 (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: 23 April 2026

M. Tiloca  
RISE AB  
J. Preu Mattsson  
Ericsson AB  
R. Hglund  
RISE AB  
G. Selander  
Ericsson AB  
20 October 2025

Stand-in Key Identifier and Encrypted Partial IV in the Constrained  
Application Protocol (CoAP) OSCORE Option  
draft-tiloca-core-oscore-piv-enc-01

## Abstract

The security protocol Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE) provides end-to-end protection of messages exchanged with the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP). Messages protected with OSCORE include a CoAP OSCORE option, where the "Partial IV" field specifies the sequence number value used by the message sender and the "kid" field specifies the identifier of the message sender. In order to reduce the information exposed on the wire that can be used for fingerprinting traffic and for tracking endpoints, this document defines a lightweight add-on method that obfuscates certain fields of the OSCORE option, by encrypting the "Partial IV" field and overwriting the "kid" field with a stand-in identifier. Therefore, it updates RFC 8613. With minor adaptations, the defined method is applicable also to the security protocol Group Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (Group OSCORE) that protects group communication for CoAP.

## Discussion Venues

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Discussion of this document takes place on the Constrained RESTful Environments Working Group mailing list ([core@ietf.org](mailto:core@ietf.org)), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/core/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://gitlab.com/crimson84/draft-tiloca-core-oscore-piv-enc>.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 April 2026.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	3
1.1. Terminology . . . . .	5
2. Obfuscation Key . . . . .	5
3. Processing in OSCORE . . . . .	6
3.1. Sender Side . . . . .	6
3.2. Recipient Side . . . . .	9
3.2.1. Retrieving the Security Context to Use . . . . .	9
3.2.2. Reversing the Field Obfuscation . . . . .	11
3.3. Special Cases . . . . .	12
3.3.1. EDHOC + OSCORE Request . . . . .	12
3.3.2. KUDOS . . . . .	13
3.3.3. 6TiSCH . . . . .	14
4. Processing in Group OSCORE . . . . .	15
4.1. Keying Material . . . . .	15
4.1.1. Obfuscation Sender Key . . . . .	16
4.1.2. Obfuscation Recipient Key . . . . .	16
4.2. Sender Side . . . . .	17
4.3. Recipient Side . . . . .	17
4.3.1. Retrieving the Recipient Context to Use . . . . .	18
4.3.2. Reversing the Field Obfuscation . . . . .	22

4.4. External Signature Checker . . . . .	23
5. Agreement on Obfuscating Fields in the OSCORE Option . . . . .	24
5.1. Agreement for OSCORE . . . . .	24
5.2. Agreement for Group OSCORE . . . . .	24
6. Security Considerations . . . . .	25
6.1. Minimum Length of Sender Sequence Numbers . . . . .	25
6.2. Limitations . . . . .	25
6.3. Encryption Robustness . . . . .	26
6.4. Impact on Endpoint Trackability . . . . .	26
7. IANA Considerations . . . . .	26
8. References . . . . .	26
8.1. Normative References . . . . .	26
8.2. Informative References . . . . .	28
Acknowledgments . . . . .	29
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	29

## 1. Introduction

The security protocol Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE) [RFC8613] provides end-to-end protection of messages exchanged with the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) [RFC7252]. OSCORE operates at the application layer by using CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE) [RFC9052] and is independent of the specific transport used to exchange CoAP messages.

Messages protected with OSCORE include the CoAP OSCORE option, which specifies information for the message recipient to correctly perform decryption and verification upon message reception. In particular, some of the fields that can be included in the OSCORE option comprise:

- \* The "Partial IV" field, which specifies the sequence number value used by a sender endpoint when protecting an outgoing message. This field is always present in request messages, while it is typically absent in response messages, with a few exceptions mandating its presence.
- \* The "kid" field, which specifies the identifier of the sender endpoint protecting an outgoing message (i.e., the sender endpoint's OSCORE Sender ID). This field is always present in request messages, while it is typically absent in response messages.

Following a message protection with OSCORE, the OSCORE option added to the message is not encrypted, since its content provides a recipient endpoint with information for processing the OSCORE-protected incoming message.

However, the information conveyed in plaintext by the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields could be used for fingerprinting traffic from OSCORE endpoints, e.g., by giving hints about the order of messages sent by an endpoint, from which behavioral patterns could be implied. Also, such information could be used to perform trivial tracking of OSCORE endpoints across different network paths, by correlating the values of those fields that are observed in those network paths (e.g., following a network path migration, possibly across different network segments).

In order to reduce the information exposed on the wire that can be used for fingerprinting traffic and for tracking endpoints, this document updates [RFC8613] and defines a lightweight add-on method that obfuscates certain fields of the OSCORE option, by encrypting the "Partial IV" field and overwriting the "kid" field with a stand-in identifier.

This method does not require an in-band signaling and its use does not arbitrarily change on a per-message basis. Instead, upon establishing an OSCORE Security Context, the communicating OSCORE endpoints already have an agreement about obfuscating the two fields of the OSCORE option when that Security Context is used, for every OSCORE-protected message that includes the "Partial IV" field or the "kid" field.

Like for the overall protection of messages with OSCORE, this method is agnostic of how exactly the OSCORE Security Context was established and of how the agreement on using this method was reached. Nevertheless, this document also defines means that endpoints can use to reach that agreement. Absent an explicit agreement, the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option are not obfuscated and retain their original values, in order to preserve interoperability.

With minor adaptations, the method defined in this document is applicable also to the security protocol Group Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (Group OSCORE) [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm] that protects group communication for CoAP [I-D.ietf-core-groupcomm-bis]. In the interest of such a case, this document also defines means to align the members of an OSCORE group about obfuscating the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields of protected messages exchanged within the group.

## 1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Readers are expected to be familiar with the terms and concepts related to CoAP [RFC7252], Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL) [RFC8610], Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [RFC8949], COSE [RFC9052], OSCORE [RFC8613], and Group OSCORE [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm].

## 2. Obfuscation Key

When obfuscation is enabled for the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields of the OSCORE option, the (Group) OSCORE Security Context is extended with one additional parameter in the Common Context.

The new parameter Obfuscation Key specifies the encryption key for deriving two separate keystreams, namely PIV\_KEYSTREAM and KID\_KEYSTREAM. On the sender side, PIV\_KEYSTREAM and KID\_KEYSTREAM are used to obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields, respectively, when those are included in an outgoing message protected with (Group) OSCORE. On the recipient side, the same keystreams are used to reverse the obfuscation of the two fields.

The Obfuscation Key is derived as defined for the Sender/Recipient Keys in Section 3.2.1 of [RFC8613], with the following differences.

- \* The 'id' element of the 'info' array is the empty byte string.
- \* The 'type' element of the 'info' array is "OBFKey". The label is an ASCII string and does not include a trailing NUL byte.
- \* If the Security Context is used for Group OSCORE and the Group Encryption Algorithm in the Common Context is set (see Section 2.1.7 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]), then:
  - The 'alg\_aead' element of the 'info' array specifies the Group Encryption Algorithm from the Common Context encoded as a CBOR integer or text string, consistently with the "Value" field in the entry of the "COSE Algorithms" Registry for this algorithm [COSE.Algorithms].

- The L parameter of the HKDF and the 'L' element of the 'info' array are the length in bytes of the key for the Group Encryption Algorithm specified in the Common Context. While the obtained Obfuscation Key is never used with the Group Encryption Algorithm, its length was chosen to obtain a matching level of security.
- \* If the Security Context is used for Group OSCORE and the Group Encryption Algorithm in the Common Context is not set (see Section 2.1.7 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]), then:
  - The 'alg\_aead' element of the 'info' array specifies the AEAD Algorithm from the Common Context (see Section 2.1.1 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]) encoded as a CBOR integer or text string, consistently with the "Value" field in the entry of the "COSE Algorithms" Registry for this algorithm [COSE.Algorithms].
  - The L parameter of the HKDF and the 'L' element of the 'info' array are the length in bytes of the key for the AEAD Algorithm specified in the Common Context. While the obtained Obfuscation Key is never used with the AEAD Algorithm, its length was chosen to obtain a matching level of security.

### 3. Processing in OSCORE

This section describes how the method defined in this document is specifically employed for messages protected with OSCORE [RFC8613].

#### 3.1. Sender Side

When a sender endpoint uses a fresh Sender Sequence Number value from its own Sender Context to protect an outgoing message, that Sender Sequence Number value MUST be at least 65536. Consequently, the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option will have a length of at least 3 bytes. As an exception, this requirement does not apply to the special case discussed in Section 3.3.1.

When composing a protected outgoing message MSG, the OSCORE option MUST NOT include the "s" and "kid context" fields. As an exception, this requirement does not apply to the special case discussed in Section 3.3.3.

Once composed MSG, if at least one among the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields is included in the OSCORE option (see Section 6.1 of [RFC8613]), the sender endpoint performs the following steps.

1. Compose `SAMPLE_1` as the first `N` bytes of the CoAP payload of `MSG`, where  $N = \min(\text{LENGTH}, 16)$  and `LENGTH` denotes the length in bytes of the CoAP payload of `MSG`.

Note that the CoAP payload is the ciphertext of the COSE object and `LENGTH` is guaranteed to have a minimum value of 9.

2. Compose the 16-byte `INPUT_1` as follows:

- \* If the length of `SAMPLE_1` is less than 16 bytes, `INPUT_1` is obtained by left-padding `SAMPLE_1` with zeroes to exactly 16 bytes.
- \* If the length of `SAMPLE_1` is 16 bytes, then `INPUT_1` takes `SAMPLE_1`.

If the OSCORE option of `MSG` includes the "Partial IV" field, move to Step 3. Otherwise, move to Step 6.

3. Compute the 16-byte `PIV_KEYSTREAM` as below:

`PIV_KEYSTREAM` = AES-ECB(`ENC_KEY`, `INPUT_1`)

where:

- \* AES-ECB is the AES algorithm in ECB mode [AES].
- \* `ENC_KEY` is the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context of the Security Context used to produce `MSG` (see Section 2). `ENC_KEY` is used as the encryption key for the AES-ECB encryption.
- \* `INPUT_1` is the result of Step 2. It is used as the plaintext for the AES-ECB encryption.

4. Compute the `ENC_PIV` value, by XORing with each other:

- \* The PIV value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of `MSG`; and
- \* The `Q` bytes from `PIV_KEYSTREAM`'s start, where `Q` is the length in bytes of the "Partial IV" field and `PIV_KEYSTREAM` is the result of Step 3.

For example, if the PIV value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of `MSG` is `0x001122` (`Q` = 3 bytes) and `PIV_KEYSTREAM` is `0xffeeddccbbaa99887766554433221100` (16 bytes), then the bytes of `PIV_KEYSTREAM` to XOR with the PIV value are `0xffeedd`.

5. In the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, replace its current PIV value with the ENC\_PIV value computed at Step 4.

If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "kid" field, move to Step 6. Otherwise, terminate this algorithm.

6. Compose the 16-byte INPUT\_2, by taking INPUT\_1 from Step 2 and negating its last bit.
7. Compute the 16-byte KID\_KEYSTREAM as below:

KID\_KEYSTREAM = AES-ECB(ENC\_KEY, INPUT\_2)

where:

- \* AES-ECB is the AES algorithm in ECB mode [AES].
  - \* ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context of the Security Context used to produce MSG (see Section 2). ENC\_KEY is used as the encryption key for the AES-ECB encryption.
  - \* INPUT\_2 is the result of Step 6. It is used as the plaintext for the AES-ECB encryption.
8. Compute the 2-byte STAND\_IN\_KID value, by XORing with each other:
    - \* The 2 bytes from LATEST\_PIV's start, where LATEST\_PIV is determined as follows.
      - If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "Partial IV" field, then LATEST\_PIV is the ENC\_PIV value computed at Step 4. Otherwise,
      - MSG is a response and LATEST\_PIV is the value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of the corresponding request as it was sent on the wire (i.e., in its obfuscated form).
    - \* The 2 bytes from KID\_KEYSTREAM's start, where KID\_KEYSTREAM is the result of Step 7.
  9. In the "kid" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, replace the current KID value with the STAND\_IN\_KID value computed at Step 8.

Unless the original KID value had a length of 2 bytes, this step alters the length of the OSCORE option value. In such a case, the sender endpoint MUST update the "Option Length" field of the OSCORE option accordingly (see Section 3.1 of [RFC7252]).



Once completed the steps above, the sender endpoint transmits MSG as expected.

### 3.2. Recipient Side

Upon receiving a protected incoming message MSG, the recipient endpoint has to determine the OSCORE Security Context to use for decrypting and verifying MSG (see Section 3.2.1).

If a Security Context CTX is found and this includes an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context, the recipient endpoint uses CTX to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG (see Section 3.2.2). Finally the recipient endpoint uses CTX to decrypt and verify MSG.

#### 3.2.1. Retrieving the Security Context to Use

If the recipient endpoint is a client, hence MSG is a response, the Security Context CTX to use is the one associated with the CoAP Token value that is specified in the "Token" field of MSG and was specified in the "Token" field of the corresponding request. Then, the following two cases are possible:

- \* CTX does not include an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context. In this case, the client uses CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in Section 8.4 of [RFC8613].
- \* CTX includes an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context. In this case, the client performs the steps defined in Section 3.2.2, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG by using CTX. Building on the result, the client uses CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in Section 8.4 of [RFC8613].

If the recipient endpoint is a server, hence MSG is a request, the Security Context CTX to use is determined as follows.

1. In case the server stores at least one Security Context that does not include an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context, then move to Step 2. Otherwise, move to Step 3.
2. The server assumes that the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG were not obfuscated. Then, the server attempts to retrieve a Security Context as defined in Section 8.2 of [RFC8613].

If this results in retrieving a Security Context CTX that does not include an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context, the server uses CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in Section 8.2 of [RFC8613]. In case of successful decryption and verification, this algorithm terminates and the server continues processing MSG as expected.

Instead, if no such CTX is found or if decryption and verification of MSG fail, then move to Step 3.

3. In case the server stores at least one Security Context that includes an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context, then move to Step 4. Otherwise, move to Step 12.
4. Compose SAMPLE\_1 by means of the same method at Step 1 of Section 3.1, with reference to the present incoming message MSG.
5. Compose INPUT\_1 by means of the same method at Step 2 of Section 3.1, using as SAMPLE\_1 the result of Step 4 of the present algorithm.
6. Compose the 16-byte INPUT\_2, by taking INPUT\_1 from Step 5 and negating its last bit.
7. Select a Security Context CTX that includes an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context and has not been selected yet during this execution of the present algorithm. If no such CTX is found, then move to Step 12. Otherwise, move to Step 8.
8. Compute the 16-byte KID\_KEYSTREAM by means of the same method at Step 7 of Section 3.1. In particular:
  - \* ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context of the latest CTX selected at Step 7 of the present algorithm.
  - \* INPUT\_2 is the result of Step 6 of the present algorithm.
9. Compute the 2-byte STAND\_IN\_KID value, by XORing with each other:
  - \* The 2 bytes from ENC\_PIV's start, where ENC\_PIV is the value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of MSG.
  - \* The 2 bytes from KID\_KEYSTREAM's start, where KID\_KEYSTREAM is the result of Step 8.

10. If the STAND\_IN\_KID value computed at Step 9 is not equal to the value encoded in the "kid" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, then move to Step 7.

Otherwise, the latest CTX selected at Step 7 is the Security Context to use for decrypting and verifying MSG, and this algorithm moves to Step 11.

11. Run the algorithm in Section 3.2.2, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG, by using the Security Context CTX determined at Step 10.

Building on the result, the server uses CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in Section 8.2 of [RFC8613]. In case of successful decryption and verification, this algorithm terminates and the server continues processing MSG as expected.

Otherwise, in case of failed decryption and verification, the following applies:

- \* The OSCORE option of MSG is restored to be as it was before running the algorithm in Section 3.2.2.
- \* This algorithm moves to Step 7.

12. The server performs the same error handling defined in Section 8.2 of [RFC8613] for the case where a Security Context is not found.

### 3.2.2. Reversing the Field Obfuscation

Given a Security Context CTX that includes an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context and was retrieved according to what is specified in Section 3.2.1, the recipient endpoint performs the following steps, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of the protected incoming message MSG.

1. If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "kid" field, move to Step 2. Otherwise, move to Step 3.
2. In the "kid" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, replace the current STAND\_IN\_KID value with the Recipient ID specified in the Recipient Context of CTX.

Unless the Recipient ID has a length of 2 bytes, this step alters the length of the OSCORE option value. In such a case, the recipient endpoint MUST update the "Option Length" field of the OSCORE option accordingly (see Section 3.1 of [RFC7252]).

3. If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "Partial IV" field, move to Step 4. Otherwise, terminate this algorithm.
4. Compute the 16-byte PIV\_KEYSTREAM by means of the same method defined at Step 3 of Section 3.1. In particular:
  - \* ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context of the Security Context CTX that is used during this execution of the present algorithm.
  - \* INPUT\_1 is composed by means of the same method defined at Step 5 of Section 3.2.1. Note that, if the recipient endpoint is a server, then INPUT\_1 was already computed when actually performing Step 5 of Section 3.2.1.
5. Compute the PIV value, by XORing with each other:
  - \* The ENC\_PIV value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of MSG; and
  - \* The Q bytes from PIV\_KEYSTREAM's start, where Q is the length in bytes of the "Partial IV" field and PIV\_KEYSTREAM is the result of Step 4.
6. In the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, replace its current ENC\_PIV value with the PIV value computed at Step 5.

### 3.3. Special Cases

This section discusses some special cases where the use of the method defined in this document deviates from what is specified in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2.

#### 3.3.1. EDHOC + OSCORE Request

Two endpoints can run the authenticated key agreement Ephemeral Diffie-Hellman over COSE (EDHOC) [RFC9528] in order to establish an OSCORE Security Context (see Appendix A.1 of [RFC9528]). In particular, EDHOC messages can be transported over CoAP (see Appendix A.2 of [RFC9528]).

When doing so, if the endpoint that sends the first EDHOC message acts as a CoAP client, it is possible to use the optimized workflow defined in Section 3 of [RFC9668]. In such a case, the first OSCORE-protected CoAP request sent by the client additionally embeds the final EDHOC message, and it is protected with the OSCORE Security Context established from the present and still ongoing EDHOC session.

Upon receiving such an EDHOC + OSCORE request, the server first extracts and processes the EDHOC message embedded therein, completes the EDHOC session, establishes the OSCORE Security Context, and finally uses the latter to decrypt and verify the OSCORE-protected CoAP request.

When using this optimized workflow, the method defined in this document cannot be used to obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of the EDHOC + OSCORE request.

Therefore, the following applies for the OSCORE option of that specific request:

- \* The "Partial IV" field conveys the Sender Sequence Number of the client in plaintext. As an exception to the requirement defined in Section 3.1, the "Partial IV" field can have a length smaller than 3 bytes. In fact, it is expected to have a length of 1 byte and to encode the Sender Sequence Number 0.
- \* The "kid" field conveys the actual OSCORE Sender ID of the client, which the server offered earlier in the EDHOC session as its own EDHOC connection identifier C\_R. Upon receiving the EDHOC + OSCORE request, the server needs to retrieve such an identifier as-is from the request, in order to correctly retrieve the EDHOC session and complete it, before establishing the OSCORE Security Context shared with the client.

Note that, if EDHOC is instead run as per the original workflow (see Appendix A.2.1 of [RFC9528], the OSCORE Sender ID of the client is anyway exposed at least once, since C\_R is prepended to EDHOC message\_3 within the CoAP payload of a request sent by the client.

### 3.3.2. KUDOS

Two endpoints can use Key Update for OSCORE (KUDOS) [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-key-update], a lightweight procedure for updating their OSCORE keying material by establishing a new OSCORE Security Context.

Given the Security Context CTX\_OLD to be replaced, there are two possible types of KUDOS messages that are exchanged during a KUDOS execution:

- \* A divergent KUDOS message is protected with a temporary OSCORE Security Context CTX\_TEMP, which is derived from CTX\_OLD.
- \* A convergent KUDOS message is protected with the OSCORE Security Context CTX\_NEW, which is derived from CTX\_OLD and is intended to replace CTX\_OLD.

When using the method defined in this document to obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of a KUDOS message, the following applies.

- \* The Obfuscation Key to use MUST be the one specified in the Common Context of the Security Context CTX\_OLD, from which the Security Context CTX\_TEMP (CTX\_NEW) is derived for protecting a divergent (convergent) KUDOS message.

That is, with reference to a divergent (convergent) KUDOS message, the Obfuscation Key to use is not the one specified in the Common Context of the Security Context CTX\_TEMP (CTX\_NEW) that is used to protect the message.

### 3.3.3. 6TiSCH

The Constrained Join Protocol (CoJP) defined in [RFC9031] specifies a "secure join" solution for a new device, called a "pledge", to securely join a 6TiSCH network where communications are protected with OSCORE. The Join process is assisted by a central entity called Join Registrar/Coordinator (JRC).

In particular, as defined in Section 7.3 of [RFC9031], the following holds for a given pledge and the JRC using OSCORE:

- \* The OSCORE ID Context in the shared OSCORE Security Context is set to the pledge identifier.
- \* The OSCORE Sender ID of the pledge is set to the empty byte string.
- \* The OSCORE Sender ID of the JRC is set to the byte string 0x4a5243 ("JRC" in ASCII).

In the Join Request that the pledge sends to the JRC, the OSCORE option includes the "s" and "kid context" fields, with the latter encoding the OSCORE ID Context.

When using the method defined in this document to obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of CoJP messages, the following applies:

- \* If the "s" and "kid context" fields are present in the OSCORE option of an outgoing CoJP message, the sender endpoint MUST remove the "kid context" field and MUST update the "s" field to encode the value 0.

This step alters the length of the OSCORE option value. Therefore, the sender endpoint MUST update the "Option Length" field of the OSCORE option accordingly (see Section 3.1 of [RFC7252]).

- \* If the OSCORE option of an incoming CoJP message MSG does not include the "kid context" field and includes the "s" field encoding the value 0, the recipient endpoint performs the following steps in addition to those compiled in Section 3.2.2:
  - Add the "kid context" field to the OSCORE option of MSG.
  - In the "kid context" field of the OSCORE option, set as value the ID Context that is specified in the OSCORE Security Context CTX to be used for decrypting and verifying MSG.
  - In the "s" field of the OSCORE option, set as value the length in bytes of the "kid context" field.

Unless the ID Context has a length of 0 bytes, this step alters the length of the OSCORE option value. In such a case, the recipient endpoint MUST update the "Option Length" field of the OSCORE option accordingly (see Section 3.1 of [RFC7252]).

#### 4. Processing in Group OSCORE

This section describes how the method defined in this document is specifically employed for messages protected with Group OSCORE [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm].

In particular, the following presents the differences that apply with respect to the case where OSCORE is used (see Section 3).

##### 4.1. Keying Material

The Group OSCORE Security Context is extended with one additional parameter Obfuscation Sender Key in the Sender Context (see Section 4.1.1) and with one additional parameter Obfuscation Recipient Key in each Recipient Context (see Section 4.1.2).

#### 4.1.1.1. Obfuscation Sender Key

Within the Sender Context, the new parameter Obfuscation Sender Key specifies the encryption key for deriving the keystreams PIV\_KEYSTREAM and KID\_KEYSTREAM, when those are used to obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of an outgoing message.

The Obfuscation Sender Key is derived as the output OKM of an HKDF-Expand step [RFC5869], i.e.,  $OKM = \text{HKDF-Expand}(\text{PRK}, \text{info}, L)$ , where:

- \* The used HKDF is the HKDF Algorithm specified in the Common Context.
- \* PRK is the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context.
- \* info is the Sender ID specified in the Sender Context.
- \* L is the length in bytes of the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context.

#### 4.1.1.2. Obfuscation Recipient Key

Within a given Recipient Context, the new parameter Obfuscation Recipient Key specifies the encryption key for deriving the keystreams PIV\_KEYSTREAM and KID\_KEYSTREAM, when those are used to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of an incoming message.

The Obfuscation Recipient Key is derived as the output OKM of an HKDF-Expand step [RFC5869], i.e.,  $OKM = \text{HKDF-Expand}(\text{PRK}, \text{info}, L)$ , where:

- \* The used HKDF is the HKDF Algorithm specified in the Common Context.
- \* PRK is the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context.
- \* info is the Recipient ID specified in the Recipient Context.
- \* L is the length in bytes of the Obfuscation Key from the Common Context.



#### 4.2. Sender Side

When composing a protected outgoing message MSG, the OSCORE option includes the "s" and "kid context" fields according to what is specified for Group OSCORE in [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]. That is, the OSCORE option always includes those fields in a request and may include those fields in a response.

Once composed MSG, if at least one among the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields is included in the OSCORE option (see Section 6.1 of [RFC8613]), the sender endpoint performs the same steps of Section 3.1, with the following differences:

- \* At Step 1, LENGTH is guaranteed to have a minimum value of 9. In particular:
  - If MSG is protected with the group mode of Group OSCORE (see Section 7 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]), then the CoAP payload is the ciphertext of the COSE object concatenated with the encrypted countersignature.
  - If MSG is protected with the pairwise mode of Group OSCORE (see Section 8 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]), then the CoAP payload is the ciphertext of the COSE object.
- \* At Step 3, ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Sender Key from the Sender Context.
- \* At Step 7, ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Sender Key from the Sender Context.

#### 4.3. Recipient Side

Upon receiving a protected incoming message MSG, the recipient endpoint determines the Group OSCORE Security Context CTX to use according to what is specified in [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm], i.e.:

- \* If MSG is a request, CTX is retrieved by leveraging the Group Identifier value (Gid) of the group, which is encoded within the "kid context" field in the OSCORE option of MSG.
- \* If MSG is a response, CTX is retrieved by leveraging the CoAP Token value that is specified in the "Token" field of MSG and was specified in the "Token" field of the corresponding request. The possible presence of the "kid context" field in the OSCORE option can further aid the client, e.g., in case the group has been rekeyed and its Gid has changed.

If the retrieved Group OSCORE Security Context CTX includes an Obfuscation Key in the Common Context, then the method defined in this document is used to obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of every protected message exchanged within the group.

Consequently, within CTX, the recipient endpoint has to determine the specific Recipient Context REC\_CTX to use for decrypting and verifying MSG (see Section 4.3.1).

Then, the recipient endpoint uses REC\_CTX to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG (see Section 4.3.2). Finally the recipient endpoint uses REC\_CTX to decrypt and verify MSG.

#### 4.3.1. Retrieving the Recipient Context to Use

Given the retrieved Group OSCORE Security Context CTX, the following describes how the recipient endpoint retrieves from CTX the specific Recipient Context REC\_CTX to use.

If the recipient endpoint is a client, hence MSG is a response, the client is able to simply retrieve the Recipient Context REC\_CTX to use, in case both the following conditions apply:

- \* The request corresponding to MSG was protected with the pairwise mode of Group OSCORE.
- \* The "kid" field is not included in the OSCORE option of MSG.

In such a case, REC\_CTX is the Recipient Context associated with the other endpoint for which the request corresponding to MSG was protected. That is, this client protected such request by using its Pairwise Sender Key associated with that other endpoint. Consequently, the client performs the steps defined in Section 4.3.2, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" field (if present) in the OSCORE option of MSG by using REC\_CTX. Building on the result, the client uses REC\_CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]. The specific operations to perform depend on whether MSG is protected with the group mode or with the pairwise mode of Group OSCORE.

In any other case, the recipient endpoint determines the Recipient Context REC\_CTX to use as follows.

1. Compose SAMPLE\_1 as the first N bytes of the CoAP payload of MSG, where  $N = \min(\text{LENGTH}, 16)$  and LENGTH denotes the length in bytes of the CoAP payload of MSG.

The same considerations about LENGTH from Section 4.2 apply.

2. Compose INPUT\_1 by means of the same method at Step 2 of Section 3.1, using as SAMPLE\_1 the result of Step 1 of the present algorithm.
3. Compose the 16-byte INPUT\_2, by taking INPUT\_1 from Step 2 and negating its last bit.
4. Within CTX, select a Recipient Context REC\_CTX that has not been selected yet during this execution of the present algorithm. If no such REC\_CTX is found, then move to Step 9. Otherwise, move to Step 5.
5. Compute the 16-byte KID\_KEYSTREAM by means of the same method at Step 7 of Section 3.1. In particular:
  - \* ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Recipient Key from the latest REC\_CTX selected at Step 4 of the present algorithm.
  - \* INPUT\_2 is the result of Step 3 of the present algorithm.
6. Compute the 2-byte STAND\_IN\_KID value, by XORing with each other:
  - \* The 2 bytes from LATEST\_PIV's start, where LATEST\_PIV is determined as follows.
    - If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "Partial IV" field, then LATEST\_PIV is the value encoded within that field. Otherwise,
    - MSG is a response and LATEST\_PIV is the value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of the corresponding request as it was sent on the wire (i.e., in its obfuscated form).
  - \* The 2 bytes from KID\_KEYSTREAM's start, where KID\_KEYSTREAM is the result of Step 5.
7. If the STAND\_IN\_KID value computed at Step 6 is not equal to the value encoded in the "kid" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, then move to Step 4.

Otherwise, the latest REC\_CTX selected at Step 4 is the Recipient Context to use for decrypting and verifying MSG, and this algorithm moves to Step 8.

8. Run the algorithm in Section 4.3.2, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG, by using the latest REC\_CTX determined at Step 7.

Building on the result, the recipient endpoint uses REC\_CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]. The specific operations to perform depend on whether MSG is protected with the group mode or with the pairwise mode of Group OSCORE.

In case of successful decryption and verification, this algorithm terminates and the recipient endpoint continues processing MSG as expected.

Otherwise, in case of failed decryption and verification, the following applies:

- \* The OSCORE option of MSG is restored to be as it was before running the algorithm in Section 4.3.2.
  - \* This algorithm moves to Step 4.
9. If the application admits the dynamic derivation of new Recipient Contexts and the recipient endpoint intends to take advantage of that, move to Step 10. Otherwise, move to Step 17.
  10. The recipient endpoint contacts the Group Manager responsible for the OSCORE group (see Section 12 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]) and retrieves a set of pairs  $P = (ID, CRED)$ , where ID and CRED in each pair P are the Sender ID and the public authentication credential of a current group member.

Depending on the particular realization of Group Manager, it can also be possible to retrieve a selected subset of those pairs, e.g., such that the ID specified therein is not part of a list provided in the request to the Group Manager. The realization of Group Manager specified in [I-D.ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore] makes it possible to do so.

11. From the set obtained at Step 10, select a pair P such that:
  - \* P has not been selected yet during this execution of the present algorithm; and
  - \* The ID specified within P is not the Recipient ID stored in any of the Recipient Contexts within CTX.

If no such P is found, then move to Step 17. Otherwise, move to Step 12.

12. Within CTX, establish a new Recipient Context REC\_CTX associated with the same other group member with which the latest pair P selected at Step 11 is associated. That is, within REC\_CTX, ID and CRED from P are stored as the Recipient ID and authentication credential associated with the other group member.
13. Compute the 16-byte KID\_KEYSTREAM by means of the same method at Step 7 of Section 3.1. In particular:
  - \* ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Recipient Key from the latest REC\_CTX established at Step 12 of the present algorithm.
  - \* INPUT\_2 is the result of Step 3 of the present algorithm.
14. Compute the 2-byte STAND\_IN\_KID value, by XORing with each other:
  - \* The 2 bytes from LATEST\_PIV's start, where LATEST\_PIV is the same one determined at Step 6.
  - \* The 2 bytes from KID\_KEYSTREAM's start, where KID\_KEYSTREAM is the result of Step 13.
15. If the STAND\_IN\_KID value computed at Step 14 is not equal to the value encoded in the "kid" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, then the following applies:
  - \* Depending on what is specified by the application, the recipient endpoint MAY delete the latest REC\_CTX established at Step 12.

If REC\_CTX is deleted in this particular circumstance, then this deletion does not require the recipient endpoint to initialize as invalid the Replay Window of any new Recipient Context created later within CTX (see Section 2.6.1.2 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]).
  - \* This algorithm moves to Step 11.

Otherwise, the latest REC\_CTX established at Step 12 is the Recipient Context to use for decrypting and verifying MSG, and this algorithm moves to Step 16.

16. Run the algorithm in Section 4.3.2, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of MSG, by using the latest REC\_CTX determined at Step 15.

Building on the result, the recipient endpoint uses REC\_CTX to decrypt and verify MSG, as defined in [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]. The specific operations to perform depend on whether MSG is protected with the group mode or with the pairwise mode of Group OSCORE.

In case of successful decryption and verification, this algorithm terminates and the recipient endpoint continues processing MSG as expected.

Otherwise, in case of failed decryption and verification, the following applies:

- \* Depending on what is specified by the application, the recipient endpoint MAY delete the latest REC\_CTX determined at Step 15.

If REC\_CTX is deleted in this particular circumstance, then this deletion does not require the recipient endpoint to initialize as invalid the Replay Window of any new Recipient Context created later within CTX (see Section 2.6.1.2 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]).

- \* The OSCORE option of MSG is restored to be as it was before running the algorithm in Section 4.3.2.
- \* This algorithm moves to Step 11.

17. The recipient endpoint performs the same error handling defined in [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm] for the case where a Recipient Context is ultimately not found.

#### 4.3.2. Reversing the Field Obfuscation

Given a Recipient Context RX\_CTX that was retrieved according to what is specified in Section 4.3.1, the recipient endpoint performs the following steps, in order to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of the protected incoming message MSG.

1. If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "kid" field, move to Step 2. Otherwise, move to Step 3.

2. In the "kid" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, replace the current STAND\_IN\_KID value with the Recipient ID specified in RX\_CTX.

Unless the Recipient ID has a length of 2 bytes, this step alters the length of the OSCORE option value. In such a case, the recipient endpoint MUST update the "Option Length" field of the OSCORE option accordingly (see Section 3.1 of [RFC7252]).

3. If the OSCORE option of MSG includes the "Partial IV" field, move to Step 4. Otherwise, terminate this algorithm.

4. Compute the 16-byte PIV\_KEYSTREAM by means of the same method defined at Step 3 of Section 3.1. In particular:

- \* ENC\_KEY is the Obfuscation Recipient Key from the RX\_CTX that is used during this execution of the present algorithm.
- \* INPUT\_1 is composed by means of the same method defined at Step 2 of Section 4.3.1. Note that, except for the particular case discussed at the beginning of Section 4.3.1 where the recipient endpoint is a client, INPUT\_1 was already computed when actually performing Step 2 of Section 4.3.1.

5. Compute the PIV value, by XORing with each other:

- \* The ENC\_PIV value encoded within the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of MSG; and
- \* The Q bytes from PIV\_KEYSTREAM's start, where Q is the length in bytes of the "Partial IV" field and PIV\_KEYSTREAM is the result of Step 4.

6. In the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option of MSG, replace its current ENC\_PIV value with the PIV value computed at Step 5.

#### 4.4. External Signature Checker

TBD

Editor's note: describe how to ensure that an external signature checker (see Section 7.5 of [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]) can still perform its intended operations, when the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields of the OSCORE option are obfuscated.

## 5. Agreement on Obfuscating Fields in the OSCORE Option

If an endpoint does not have an explicit agreement with its peer(s) about employing the method specified in this document when using a (Group) OSCORE Security Context CTX, the following applies in order to preserve interoperability:

- \* The endpoint MUST NOT obfuscate the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of its outgoing messages protected with CTX.
- \* The endpoint MUST NOT attempt to reverse the obfuscation of the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields in the OSCORE option of incoming messages protected with CTX.

The rest of this section defines means that endpoints can use to reach an agreement about obfuscating the "Partial IV" and "kid" fields as per the method specified in this document.

### 5.1. Agreement for OSCORE

TBD

Editor's note: expected means to cover include:

- \* Pre-provisioning
- \* In EDHOC
- \* In the OSCORE profile of the ACE framework
- \* In OMA Lightweight Machine-to-Machine (LwM2M)

### 5.2. Agreement for Group OSCORE

TBD

Editor's note: expected means to cover include:

- \* The OSCORE Group Manager based on the ACE framework
  - Messages to (candidate) group members
  - Messages to external signature verifiers
  - Message to/from an Administrator
- \* A CoAP server supporting observe multicast notifications and self-managing the OSCORE group for its group observations.



## 6. Security Considerations

The same security considerations from [RFC8613] and [I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm] hold for this document when messages are protected with OSCORE or Group OSCORE, respectively. Furthermore, the following considerations also apply.

### 6.1. Minimum Length of Sender Sequence Numbers

As per Section 3.1, a Sender Sequence Number value has to be at least 65536 when using the method defined in this document.

This ensures that the "Partial IV" field of the OSCORE option has a length of at least 3 bytes. In turn, this defeats possible attempts to track an endpoint or to fingerprint its traffic that leverage a transition of the length of the "Partial IV" field from 1 to 2 bytes, or from 2 to 3 bytes.

An exception applies to the special case discussed in Section 3.3.1, where the requirement above does not apply for the one-off EDHOC + OSCORE request [RFC9668]. However, the requirement does apply for all the messages that the two endpoints exchange after the EDHOC + OSCORE request and that are protected with the same OSCORE Security Context.

### 6.2. Limitations

The method defined in this document provides confidentiality protection of the Partial IV against passive adversaries.

An active adversary could guess the plain Partial IV and have a recipient OSCORE endpoint confirm the guesses, e.g., taking advantage of timing side channels. For instance, this can be the case when the recipient endpoint discards an incoming message that is detected as a replay, i.e., without attempting to decrypt and verify the message and hence revealing information through timing side channels.

Similarly, depending on whether the processing of an incoming request message fails due to a replay detection or instead to a failed decryption and verification, the recipient endpoint would follow-up by sending different, unprotected error response messages, which the adversary can leverage to confirm the guesses.

### 6.3. Encryption Robustness

When performing the steps at Section 3.1 and Section 3.2, using the same Obfuscation Key and SAMPLE\_1 more than once risks compromising the encryption of the PIV value in the "Partial IV" field. That is, encrypting the PIV\_A and PIV\_B values of two different "Partial IV" fields by leveraging the same Obfuscation Key and SAMPLE\_1 reveals the exclusive OR of PIV\_A and PIV\_B.

Assuming that SAMPLE\_1 is consistent with the outcome of a pseudorandom function (PRF), if L bits are sampled, then the odds that two SAMPLE\_1 byte strings of length L are identical approach  $P = 2^{(-L/2)}$ , that is, the birthday bound. For messages protected with (Group) OSCORE, SAMPLE\_1 has a minimum length L\_MIN of 72 bits and a maximum length L\_MAX of 128 bits. Therefore, P is at least  $2^{36}$  (when the CoAP payload has a length of L\_MIN bits) and at most  $2^{64}$  (when the CoAP payload has a length of L\_MAX bits or more).

### 6.4. Impact on Endpoint Trackability

The tracking of an OSCORE endpoint that migrates to a new network path can be largely counteracted by using the method defined in this document, if combined with the use of new source addressing information (e.g., IP address and link-layer address). If addressing information does not change upon network migration, an on-path adversary might still be able to track an endpoint.

Even if combined with the change of addressing information upon network migration, the method defined in this document does not prevent other properties of network packets, e.g., their timing or length, from being used to correlate activities of the same endpoint across different network paths.

## 7. IANA Considerations

TBD

Editor's note: expected actions are registrations of new parameters that effectively enable the means defined in Section 5.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

[AES]        NIST, "Advanced encryption standard (AES)", May 2023, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.197-upd1>>.

[COSE.Algorithms]

IANA, "COSE Algorithms",  
<<https://www.iana.org/assignments/cose/cose.xhtml#algorithms>>.

[I-D.ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm]

Tiloca, M., Selander, G., Palombini, F., Mattsson, J. P.,  
and R. Hglund, "Group Object Security for Constrained  
RESTful Environments (Group OSCORE)", Work in Progress,  
Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm-27, 12  
September 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-core-oscore-groupcomm-27>>.

[I-D.ietf-core-oscore-key-update]

Hglund, R. and M. Tiloca, "Key Update for OSCORE  
(KUDOS)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-  
core-oscore-key-update-11, 7 July 2025,  
<<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-core-oscore-key-update-11>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate  
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,  
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.

[RFC5869] Krawczyk, H. and P. Eronen, "HMAC-based Extract-and-Expand  
Key Derivation Function (HKDF)", RFC 5869,  
DOI 10.17487/RFC5869, May 2010,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5869>>.

[RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained  
Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252,  
DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7252>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC  
2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,  
May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

[RFC8610] Birkholz, H., Vigano, C., and C. Bormann, "Concise Data  
Definition Language (CDDL): A Notational Convention to  
Express Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) and  
JSON Data Structures", RFC 8610, DOI 10.17487/RFC8610,  
June 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8610>>.

- [RFC8613] Selander, G., Mattsson, J., Palombini, F., and L. Seitz, "Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE)", RFC 8613, DOI 10.17487/RFC8613, July 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8613>>.
- [RFC8949] Bormann, C. and P. Hoffman, "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)", STD 94, RFC 8949, DOI 10.17487/RFC8949, December 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8949>>.
- [RFC9031] Vuini, M., Ed., Simon, J., Pister, K., and M. Richardson, "Constrained Join Protocol (CoJP) for 6TiSCH", RFC 9031, DOI 10.17487/RFC9031, May 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9031>>.
- [RFC9052] Schaad, J., "CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE): Structures and Process", STD 96, RFC 9052, DOI 10.17487/RFC9052, August 2022, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9052>>.
- [RFC9528] Selander, G., Preu Mattsson, J., and F. Palombini, "Ephemeral Diffie-Hellman Over COSE (EDHOC)", RFC 9528, DOI 10.17487/RFC9528, March 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9528>>.
- [RFC9668] Palombini, F., Tiloca, M., Hglund, R., Hristozov, S., and G. Selander, "Using Ephemeral Diffie-Hellman Over COSE (EDHOC) with the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) and Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE)", RFC 9668, DOI 10.17487/RFC9668, November 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9668>>.

## 8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore] Tiloca, M. and F. Palombini, "Key Management for Group Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (Group OSCORE) Using Authentication and Authorization for Constrained Environments (ACE)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore-18, 28 August 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore-18>>.

[I-D.ietf-core-groupcomm-bis]

Dijk, E. and M. Tiloca, "Group Communication for the  
Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", Work in  
Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-core-groupcomm-bis-  
15, 25 September 2025,  
<<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-core-groupcomm-bis-15>>.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank Christian Amsss, Carsten Bormann, and Martine Lenders for their comments and feedback.

This work was supported by the Sweden's Innovation Agency VINNOVA within the EUREKA CELTIC-NEXT project CYPRESS.

#### Authors' Addresses

Marco Tiloca  
RISE AB  
Isafjordsgatan 22  
SE-164 40 Kista  
Sweden  
Email: marco.tiloca@ri.se

John Preu Mattsson  
Ericsson AB  
SE-164 40 Kista  
Sweden  
Email: john.mattsson@ericsson.com

Rikard Hglund  
RISE AB  
Isafjordsgatan 22  
SE-164 40 Kista  
Sweden  
Email: rikard.hoglund@ri.se

Gran Selander  
Ericsson AB  
SE-164 40 Kista  
Sweden  
Email: goran.selander@ericsson.com