

MODeration PrOceDures
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Best Current Practice
Expires: 24 October 2025

R. Sayre
22 April 2025

Automated Summaries of IETF Contributions
draft-sayre-modpod-summary-01

Abstract

Automated summaries of IETF contributions are permissible contributions.

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at
<https://sayrer.github.io/summary/draft-sayre-modpod-summary.html>.
Status information for this document may be found at
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-sayre-modpod-summary/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the MODeration PrOceDures mailing list (<mailto:mod-discuss@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/mod-discuss/>. Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/mod-discuss/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at
<https://github.com/sayrer/summary>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 24 October 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Conventions and Definitions	2
3. Policy	2
4. Security Considerations	3
5. IANA Considerations	3
6. Normative References	3
Author's Address	3

1. Introduction

Automated summaries of IETF contributions are often useful.

Some examples include mailing list digests, summaries of posting volume, and data concerning version control traffic.

2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Policy

IETF participants often devise new ways of presenting IETF contribution data.

If an automated summary becomes critical to an IETF effort, it should be transferred to the IETF Tools team. When there is rough consensus and running code showing that a summary is regularly useful, it must be transferred away from an individual.

If there is no consensus that a summary is useful, it should not be regularly sent.

4. Security Considerations

IETF procedures cannot depend on the resources of an individual. When a summary becomes important enough for participants to object to its absence, as a matter of rough consensus, it must be transitioned to IETF infrastructure.

5. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

6. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

Author's Address

Robert Sayre
Email: sayrer@gmail.com