

SCIM
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 6 March 2026

P. Poreddy
Independent
September 2025

SCIM RoleAssignment Draft Specification v0.1
draft-poreddy-scim-role-assignment-00

Abstract

SCIM 2.0 defines "roles" and "entitlements" attributes on the User resource, but it lacks a standardized way to bind roles to specific scopes such as projects, tenants, or groups. This gap forces organizations to rely on group sprawl or non-standard encodings, preventing true interoperability. This document introduces a new SCIM resource type, `_RoleAssignment_`, which models scoped role bindings as first-class records, enabling portable provisioning, lifecycle governance, and compliance visibility.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 5 March 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1. Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.2. Proposed Solution | 3 |
| 2. Requirements Language | 4 |
| 3. Overview | 4 |
| 4. Schema | 4 |
| 4.1. Resource Type | 4 |
| 4.2. Attributes (Top-Level) | 4 |
| 4.3. subject Sub-Attributes | 6 |
| 4.4. scope Sub-Attributes | 6 |
| 4.5. role Sub-Attributes | 7 |
| 4.6. grant Sub-Attributes | 7 |
| 4.7. validity Sub-Attributes | 8 |
| 4.8. status Semantics | 8 |
| 4.9. Complete Example | 9 |
| 5. Operations | 9 |
| 5.1. Common Query Patterns | 10 |
| 6. Error Handling | 10 |
| 6.1. Error Example: Invalid Validity Window | 10 |
| 6.2. Error Example: Conflicting Assignment | 11 |
| 7. Backward Compatibility | 11 |
| 8. Security Considerations | 11 |
| 9. Privacy Considerations | 11 |
| 10. IANA Considerations | 11 |
| 11. Conformance | 12 |
| 11.1. Server | 12 |
| 11.2. Client | 12 |
| 12. References | 12 |
| 12.1. Normative References | 12 |
| 12.2. Informative References | 13 |
| Appendix A. Change Log | 14 |
| A.1. draft-poreddy-scim-role-assignment-00 | 14 |
| Appendix B. Author's Address | 14 |
| Author's Address | 14 |

1. Introduction

1.1. Problem Statement

The SCIM protocol [RFC7643] [RFC7644] defines the User and Group resources and allows global roles to be attached to Users via the "roles" attribute. However, the specification does not provide a standardized way to associate roles with specific scopes such as projects, tenants, or device groups.

This limitation prevents SCIM from modeling the most common real-world requirement: assigning different roles to the same identity in different contexts.

For example, consider a user named Alice:

```
User: Alice
+-- Global Role: Power User
+-- Project A: Maintainer
+-- Project B: Developer
+-- Project C: ReadOnly
```

Today, there is no interoperable SCIM method to represent Alice's per-project role bindings. Current workarounds include creating Groups for every {scope x role} combination, which leads to group sprawl and poor interoperability, or embedding scope names into free-form role strings, which are not machine-readable or portable.

These limitations are visible in real-world SCIM implementations: GitLab [GITLAB-SCIM] , Tanium [TANIUM-RBAC] , and scenarios in Microsoft Entra ID [AZURE-SCIM] .

1.2. Proposed Solution

This document introduces a new SCIM 2.0 resource, `_RoleAssignment_`, which makes scoped role bindings a first-class concept. Each RoleAssignment explicitly links a subject (for example, User), a scope (for example, Project), and a role (for example, Developer). Optional metadata such as validity periods, source system, and approver information enable lifecycle management and governance.

By standardizing RoleAssignments:

- * Identity Providers can provision scoped roles in a portable way.
- * Service Providers can expose and consume these assignments consistently.
- * Auditors and governance systems can query "who has what role in which scope."

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Overview

The RoleAssignment resource complements existing SCIM resources. Whereas the User.roles attribute provides only coarse global roles, RoleAssignment expresses who (subject) has what role in which scope. This allows interoperable provisioning of scoped role bindings.

4. Schema

4.1. Resource Type

```
{
  "name": "RoleAssignment",
  "endpoint": "/RoleAssignments",
  "schema": "urn:ietf:params:scim:schemas:core:2.0:RoleAssignment",
  "schemaExtensions": []
}
```

4.2. Attributes (Top-Level)

The RoleAssignment resource defines the following top-level attributes.

| Attribute | Type | Req | Multi | Mutability | Description |
|------------|---------|-----|-------|------------|---|
| id | string | yes | no | readOnly | Provider-assigned unique identifier. |
| externalId | string | no | no | readWrite | Client-supplied correlation id. |
| subject | complex | yes | no | readWrite | The subject receiving the role. |
| scope | complex | yes | no | readWrite | The scope where the role applies. |
| role | complex | yes | no | readWrite | The role granted within the scope. |
| priority | integer | no | no | readWrite | Conflict resolution (higher wins). Default 0. |
| grant | complex | no | no | readWrite | Assignment metadata (source, reason, approver). |
| validity | complex | no | no | readWrite | Validity window in UTC. |
| status | string | no | no | readOnly | Computed lifecycle status. |

Table 1

Priority usage guidance: When multiple active assignments exist for the same subject and scope, the assignment with the highest priority takes precedence. If priorities are equal, implementation-defined resolution rules apply.

4.3. subject Sub-Attributes

| Attribute | Type | Req | Multi | Mutability | Description |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|------------|---|
| value | string | yes | no | readOnly | Identifier of the subject. |
| \$ref | reference | no | no | readOnly | URI to the subject resource, when available. |
| type | string | no | no | readOnly | Subject type. Standard: "User", "Group". "ServiceAccount" MAY be used. Vendor-specific types SHOULD use prefixes. |

Table 2

4.4. scope Sub-Attributes

| Attribute | Type | Req | Multi | Mutability | Description |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|------------|--|
| type | string | yes | no | readOnly | Scope type. Common: "project", "tenant", "organization", "application", "environment". |
| value | string | yes | no | readOnly | Provider-meaningful identifier of the scope. |
| \$ref | reference | no | no | readOnly | URI to the scope resource, if available. Optional when scope is opaque. |

Table 3

4.5. role Sub-Attributes

| Attribute | Type | Req | Multi | Mutability | Description |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|------------|--|
| name | string | yes | no | readWrite | Display name of the role. |
| value | string | no | no | readWrite | Stable identifier for the role. |
| \$ref | reference | no | no | readWrite | URI to a role catalog entry, if available. Providers with catalogs SHOULD include \$ref for discovery. |

Table 4

Role Discovery Guidance: Since role.\$ref is optional, servers SHOULD support filtering on role and scope to enable discovery, for example:

```
GET /RoleAssignments?attributes=role,scope&filter=scope.type eq "project"
```

Providers that expose a role catalog MAY align discovery with the SCIM Roles and Entitlements approach [SCIM-ROLES-ENTITLEMENTS] .

4.6. grant Sub-Attributes

| Attribute | Type | Req | Multi | Mutability | Description |
|-----------|--------|-----|-------|------------|--------------------------------|
| source | string | no | no | readWrite | Originating system or process. |
| reason | string | no | no | readWrite | Human-readable justification. |

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|----|----|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| approver | string | no | no | readWrite | Approver identifier or reference. |
|----------|--------|----|----|-----------|-----------------------------------|

Table 5

4.7. validity Sub-Attributes

| Attribute | Type | Req | Multi | Mutability | Description |
|-----------|----------|-----|-------|------------|---------------------------------|
| validFrom | dateTime | no | no | readWrite | Start of validity window (UTC). |
| validTo | dateTime | no | no | readWrite | End of validity window (UTC). |

Table 6

4.8. status Semantics

The "status" attribute is readOnly and computed using the following rules:

1. If the referenced subject is inactive: status = "suspended".
2. If current time < validity.validFrom: status = "pending".
3. If current time > validity.validTo: status = "expired".
4. Otherwise: status = "active".

Special cases:

- * If validity.validFrom is null, assignment is immediately eligible.
- * If validity.validTo is null, assignment does not expire.
- * If explicitly revoked via DELETE or PATCH: status = "revoked".

Required status values:

- * "active": assignment is currently effective.

- * "expired": validity window has ended.
- * "pending": assignment created but not yet effective.
- * "suspended": subject inactive or assignment temporarily disabled.
- * "revoked": assignment was explicitly withdrawn.

4.9. Complete Example

```
{
  "schemas": ["urn:ietf:params:scim:schemas:core:2.0:RoleAssignment"],
  "id": "assignment-12345",
  "externalId": "ext-assign-001",
  "subject": {
    "value": "alice@company.com",
    "$ref": "https://example.com/scim/v2/Users/alice",
    "type": "User"
  },
  "scope": {
    "type": "project",
    "value": "web-app-proj",
    "$ref": null
  },
  "role": {
    "name": "Developer",
    "value": "developer",
    "$ref": null
  },
  "priority": 100,
  "grant": {
    "source": "HR-System",
    "reason": "New team member onboarding",
    "approver": "manager@company.com"
  },
  "validity": {
    "validFrom": "2025-09-01T00:00:00Z",
    "validTo": "2026-09-01T00:00:00Z"
  },
  "status": "active"
}
```

5. Operations

- * `_Create (POST):` Servers MUST validate subject, scope, and role.
- * `_Replace (PUT):` Missing required attributes cause 400 Bad Request.

- * `_Patch (PATCH):_` MUST be supported; invalid states SHOULD be rejected.
- * `_Delete (DELETE):_` Removes assignment and SHOULD revoke permissions.
- * `_Filter (GET):_` MUST support filters on subject, scope, role.
- * `_Pagination/Sorting:_` MUST support `startIndex` and `count`; SHOULD support sorting.
- * `_Bulk:_` MAY be supported. Clients SHOULD use `externalId` for idempotency.

5.1. Common Query Patterns

- User's assignments:
GET /RoleAssignments?filter=subject.value eq "alice@company.com"
- Scope permissions:
GET /RoleAssignments?filter=scope.value eq "project-x"
- Role discovery within a scope type:
GET /RoleAssignments?attributes=role&filter=scope.type eq "project"
- Expiring access before a date/time:
GET /RoleAssignments?filter=validity.validTo le "2025-12-31T23:59:59Z"

6. Error Handling

- * 400 Invalid Value for malformed attributes or invalid validity windows.
- * 404 Not Found for unknown subject, scope, or role references.
- * 409 Conflict for duplicate active assignments.
- * 412 Precondition Failed for ETag mismatches on conditional updates.

Error responses SHOULD include "detail" and "scimType" per [RFC7644].

6.1. Error Example: Invalid Validity Window

```
{
  "schemas": ["urn:ietf:params:scim:api:messages:2.0:Error"],
  "status": "400",
  "scimType": "invalidValue",
  "detail": "validity.validFrom must be before validity.validTo"
}
```

6.2. Error Example: Conflicting Assignment

```
{
  "schemas": ["urn:ietf:params:scim:api:messages:2.0:Error"],
  "status": "409",
  "scimType": "uniqueness",
  "detail": "Active assignment exists for subject 'alice@company.com' with role 'admin' i
n scope 'project-x'"
}
```

7. Backward Compatibility

Providers MAY continue to expose global roles via User.roles and coarse-grained membership via Groups. Providers SHOULD offer mapping guidance between legacy models and RoleAssignments. Dual-writing (maintaining both representations) is RECOMMENDED during migration.

8. Security Considerations

- * RoleAssignment creation and modification are privileged operations.
- * Servers MUST validate references to prevent privilege escalation.
- * Lifecycle events SHOULD be logged with actor and justification.
- * Replay and bulk abuse MUST be mitigated with rate limiting and idempotency.

9. Privacy Considerations

RoleAssignments may expose organizational structures and access patterns. Sensitive metadata SHOULD follow least-privilege disclosure.

10. IANA Considerations

This specification requests registration of the following SCIM schema:

URN: urn:ietf:params:scim:schemas:core:2.0:RoleAssignment
Specification: this document
Contact: IETF SCIM Working Group
Change Controller: IESG

Experimental namespace MAY also be used:
URN: urn:ietf:params:scim:schemas:extension:role:1.0:RoleAssignment

URNs are assigned and interpreted in accordance with [RFC8141] .

11. Conformance

11.1. Server

- * MUST implement RoleAssignment and advertise it in /ResourceTypes.
- * MUST validate subject, scope, and role.
- * MUST enforce authorization on RoleAssignment operations.
- * MUST support GET, POST, PUT, PATCH, DELETE, filtering.
- * SHOULD support sorting and bulk operations.

11.2. Client

- * MUST construct RoleAssignments per schema.
- * MUST process error responses per [RFC7644] .
- * SHOULD use externalId for idempotency.
- * SHOULD honor ETag preconditions.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

- [RFC3339] Klyne, G. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", RFC 3339, DOI 10.17487/RFC3339, July 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3339>>.
- [RFC7643] Hunt, P., Ed., Grizzle, K., Wahlstroem, E., and C. Mortimore, "System for Cross-domain Identity Management: Core Schema", RFC 7643, DOI 10.17487/RFC7643, September 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7643>>.
- [RFC7644] Hunt, P., Ed., Grizzle, K., Ansari, M., Wahlstroem, E., and C. Mortimore, "System for Cross-domain Identity Management: Protocol", RFC 7644, DOI 10.17487/RFC7644, September 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7644>>.
- [RFC8141] Saint-Andre, P. and J. Klensin, "Uniform Resource Names (URNs)", RFC 8141, DOI 10.17487/RFC8141, April 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8141>>.

12.2. Informative References

- [GITLAB-SCIM]
Documentation, G., "Set up SCIM for GitLab groups", Online accessed September 2025, September 2025, <https://docs.gitlab.com/administration/settings/scim_setup/>.
- [TANIUM-RBAC]
Documentation, T., "Role-based access control in the Tanium Console", Online accessed September 2025, September 2025, <https://help.tanium.com/bundle/ug_console_cloud/page/platform_user/console_roles.html>.
- [AZURE-SCIM]
Learn, M., "Issue provisioning multiple roles to a SCIM app", Online accessed September 2025, September 2025, <<https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/answers/questions/1632657/issue-provisioning-multiple-roles-to-a-scim-app>>.
- [SCIM-ROLES-ENTITLEMENTS]
Zollner, C., "Roles and Entitlements Extension for SCIM", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-zollner-scim-roles-entitlements-extension-02, June 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-zollner-scim-roles-entitlements-extension/>>.

Appendix A. Change Log

A.1. draft-poreddy-scim-role-assignment-00

Initial version. Defines RoleAssignment schema, attributes, and operations. Adds priority, complete example, error examples, and query patterns. Includes error handling, backward compatibility, and IANA registration. Updates BCP14 boilerplate; replaces non-ASCII; converts ASCII tables to RFCXML tables; updates Roles/Entitlements reference to -02; cites RFC8141.

Appendix B. Author's Address

Prithvi Poreddy
 Email: <prithvikrishnab4u@gmail.com>

Author's Address

Prithvi Poreddy
Independent
United States of America
Email: prithvikrishnab4u@gmail.com