

A Semantic Definition Format for Data and Interactions of ThingsR. Mohan  
Internet-Draft B. Brinckman  
Intended status: Standards Track Cisco Systems  
Expires: 19 February 2026 L. Corneo  
Ericsson  
18 August 2025

Protocol Mapping for SDF  
draft-mohan-asdf-sdf-protocol-mapping-00

## Abstract

This document defines protocol mapping extensions for the Semantic Definition Format (SDF) to enable mapping of protocol-agnostic SDF affordances to protocol-specific operations. The protocol mapping mechanism allows SDF models to specify how properties, actions, and events should be accessed using specific IP and non-IP protocols such as Bluetooth Low Energy, Zigbee or HTTP and CoAP.

## About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Status information for this document may be found at  
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-mohan-asdf-sdf-protocol-mapping/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the A Semantic Definition Format for Data and Interactions of Things Working Group mailing list (<mailto:asdf@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/asdf/>. Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/asdf/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at  
<https://github.com/ietf-wg-asdf/sdf-protocol-mapping>.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 19 February 2026.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	3
2. Conventions and Definitions . . . . .	3
3. Structure . . . . .	3
4. Usage . . . . .	5
5. Examples . . . . .	5
5.1. BLE Protocol Mapping . . . . .	5
5.1.1. BLE Protocol Mapping Structure . . . . .	6
5.2. Zigbee Protocol Mapping . . . . .	8
5.2.1. Zigbee Protocol Mapping Structure . . . . .	8
5.3. IP based Protocol Mapping . . . . .	9
6. Security Considerations . . . . .	10
7. IANA Considerations . . . . .	11
7.1. Protocol mapping . . . . .	11
8. References . . . . .	11
8.1. Normative References . . . . .	11
8.2. Informative References . . . . .	12
Appendix A. CDDL Definition . . . . .	12
Acknowledgments . . . . .	13
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	13

## 1. Introduction

The Semantic Definition Format (SDF) [I-D.ietf-asdf-sdf] provides a protocol-agnostic way to describe IoT devices and their capabilities through properties, actions, and events (collectively called affordances). However, when implementing these affordances on actual devices using specific communication protocols, there needs to be a mechanism to map the protocol-agnostic SDF definitions to protocol-specific operations.

These protocols can be non-IP protocols that are commonly used in IoT environments, such as [BLE53] and [Zigbee22], or IP-based protocols, such as HTTP [RFC2616] or CoAP [RFC7252].

To leverage an SDF model to perform protocol-specific operations on an instance of a device, a mapping of the SDF affordance to a protocol-specific attribute is required. This document defines the protocol mapping mechanism using the `sdfProtocolMap` keyword, which allows SDF models to include protocol-specific mapping information alongside the protocol-agnostic definitions.

## 2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 3. Structure

Protocol mapping is required to map a protocol-agnostic affordance to a protocol-specific operation, as implementations of the same affordance will differ between protocols. For example, BLE will address a property as a service characteristic, while a property in Zigbee is addressed as an attribute in a cluster of an endpoint.

A protocol mapping object is a JSON object identified by the `sdfProtocolMap` keyword. Protocol-specific properties are embedded within this object, organized by protocol name, e.g., "ble" or "zigbee". The protocol name MUST be specified in the IANA registry requested in Section 7.1.




Figure 1: Property Mapping

As shown in Figure 1, protocol-specific properties must be embedded in an sdfProtocolMap object, for example a "ble" or a "zigbee" object.

Attribute	Type	Example
ble	object	an object with BLE-specific attributes
zigbee	object	an object with Zigbee-specific attributes

Table 1: Protocol objects

where-

- \* "ble" is an object containing properties that are specific to the BLE protocol.
- \* "zigbee" is an object containing properties that are specific to the Zigbee protocol.
- \* Other protocol mapping objects can be added by creating a new protocol object

Example protocol mapping:

```

{
  "sdfObject": {
    "healthsensor": {
      "sdfProperty": {
        "heartrate": {
          "description": "The current measured heart rate",
          "type": "number",
          "unit": "beat/min",
          "observable": false,
          "writable": false,
          "sdfProtocolMap": {
            "ble": {
              "serviceID": "12345678-1234-5678-1234-56789abcdef4",
              "characteristicID":
                "12345678-1234-5678-1234-56789abcdef4"
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

Figure 2: Example property mapping

#### 4. Usage

A protocol map MAY be provided as part of the SDF model, specifically in the SDF affordance definition. The extension points in the SDF affordance definition defined in [I-D.ietf-asdf-sdf] are used to specify the protocol mapping information as a part of the SDF model.

For SDF properties, the protocol mapping is specified as an extension to a named property quality using the `sdfProtocolMap` keyword. For SDF actions and events, the protocol mapping can be specified as an extension to the named quality or as part of the `sdfInputData` or `sdfOutputData` objects.

#### 5. Examples

##### 5.1. BLE Protocol Mapping

The BLE protocol mapping allows SDF models to specify how properties, actions, and events should be accessed using Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) protocol. The mapping includes details such as service IDs and characteristic IDs that are used to access the corresponding SDF affordances.

### 5.1.1. BLE Protocol Mapping Structure

For SDF properties and actions, the BLE protocol mapping structure is defined as follows:

```
$$SDF-EXTENSION-DATA // = ble-protocol-map
```

```
ble-protocol-map = {  
    serviceID: text  
    characteristicID: text  
}
```

Figure 3: CDDL definition for BLE Protocol Mapping for properties and actions

Where:

- \* serviceID is the BLE service ID that corresponds to the SDF property or action.
- \* characteristicID is the BLE characteristic ID that corresponds to the SDF property or action.

For example, a BLE protocol mapping for a temperature property might look like:

```
{  
  "sdfProperty": {  
    "temperature": {  
      "sdfProtocolMap": {  
        "ble": {  
          "serviceID": "12345678-1234-5678-1234-56789abcdef4",  
          "characteristicID": "12345678-1234-5678-1234-56789abcdef5"  
        }  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```

For SDF events, the BLE protocol mapping structure is similar, but it may include additional attributes such as the type of the event.

```

$$SDF-EXTENSION-DATA // = ble-event-map

ble-event-map = {
  type: "gatt" / "advertisements" / "connection_events"
  ? serviceID: text
  ? characteristicID: text
}

```

Figure 4: BLE Protocol Mapping for events

Where:

- \* type specifies the type of BLE event, such as "gatt" for GATT events, "advertisements" for advertisement events, or "connection\_events" for connection-related events.
- \* serviceID and characteristicID are optional attributes that are specified if the type is "gatt".

For example, a BLE event mapping for a heart rate measurement event might look like:

```

{
  "sdfEvent": {
    "heartRate": {
      "sdfOutputData": {
        "sdfProtocolMap": {
          "ble": {
            "type": "gatt",
            "serviceID": "12345678-1234-5678-1234-56789abcdef4",
            "characteristicID": "12345678-1234-5678-1234-56789abcdef5"
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

Another example of an isPresent event using BLE advertisements:

```

{
  "sdfEvent": {
    "isPresent": {
      "sdfOutputData": {
        "sdfProtocolMap": {
          "ble": {
            "type": "advertisements"
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

## 5.2. Zigbee Protocol Mapping

The Zigbee protocol mapping allows SDF models to specify how properties, actions, and events should be accessed using the Zigbee protocol. The mapping includes details such as cluster IDs and attribute IDs that are used to access the corresponding SDF affordances.

### 5.2.1. Zigbee Protocol Mapping Structure

For SDF properties and actions, the Zigbee protocol mapping structure is defined as follows:

```

$$SDF-EXTENSION-DATA // = zigbee-protocol-map

zigbee-protocol-map = {
  endpointID: uint
  clusterID: uint
  attributeID: uint
  type: uint
}

```

Figure 5: CDDL definition for Zigbee Protocol Mapping for properties and actions

Where:

- \* endpointID is the Zigbee endpoint ID that corresponds to the SDF affordance.
- \* clusterID is the Zigbee cluster ID that corresponds to the SDF affordance.

- \* attributeID is the Zigbee attribute ID that corresponds to the SDF affordance.
- \* type is the Zigbee data type of the attribute.

For example, a Zigbee protocol mapping for a temperature property might look like:

```
{
  "sdfProperty": {
    "temperature": {
      "sdfProtocolMap": {
        "zigbee": {
          "endpointID": 1,
          "clusterID": 1026, // 0x0402
          "attributeID": 0, // 0x0000
          "type": 41 // 0x29
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

### 5.3. IP based Protocol Mapping

The protocol mapping mechanism can potentially also be used for IP-based protocols such as HTTP or CoAP. An example of a protocol mapping for a property using HTTP might look like:

===== NOTE: '\ ' line wrapping per RFC 8792 =====

```
{
  "sdfProperty": {
    "heartrate": {
      "sdfProtocolMap": {
        "openapi": {
          "operationRef": "https://example.com/openapi.json#/paths\
/~1heartrate~1{id}~1current/get",
          "$ref": "https://example.com/openapi.json#/components/sc\
hema/HeartRate/properties/pulse"
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

The operationRef points to the OpenAPI operation that retrieves the current heart rate, and the \$ref points to the OpenAPI schema that defines the heart rate property. An example of the OpenAPI schema might look like:

```
paths:
  /heartrate/{id}/current:
    get:
      summary: Get current heart rate
      description: |-
        Retrieve the current heart rate for a specific user
        identified by {id}.
      parameters:
        - name: id
          in: path
          required: true
          description: |-
            The ID of the user whose heart rate is being queried.
          schema:
            type: string
      responses:
        "200":
          description: |-
            Successful response with current heart rate data.
          content:
            application/json:
              schema:
                $ref: "#/components/schemas/HeartRate/properties/pulse"

components:
  schemas:
    HeartRate:
      type: object
      properties:
        pulse:
          type: integer
          description: The current heart rate in beats per minute.
        spo2:
          type: number
          format: float
          description: |-
            The current body temperature in degrees Celsius.
```

## 6. Security Considerations

TODO Security

7. IANA Considerations

This section provides guidance to the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) regarding registration of values related to this document, in accordance with [RFC8126].

7.1. Protocol mapping

IANA is requested to create a new registry called "SDF Protocol mapping".

The registry must contain following attributes:

- \* Protocol map name
- \* Protocol name
- \* Description
- \* Reference of the specification describing the protocol mapping.  
This specification must be reviewed by an expert.

Following protocol mappings are described in this document:

Protocol map	Protocol Name	Description	Reference
ble	Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE)	Protocol mapping for BLE devices	This document
zigbee	Zigbee	Protocol mapping for Zigbee devices	This document

Table 2: Protocol Mapping Registry

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-asdf-sdf]  
Koster, M., Bormann, C., and A. Keränen, "Semantic Definition Format (SDF) for Data and Interactions of Things", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-asdf-sdf-24, 27 July 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-asdf-sdf-24>>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8126>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

## 8.2. Informative References

- [BLE53] Bluetooth SIG, "Bluetooth Core Specification Version 5.3", 13 July 2021, <<https://www.bluetooth.com/specifications/specs/core-specification-5-3/>>.
- [RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", RFC 2616, DOI 10.17487/RFC2616, June 1999, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2616>>.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7252>>.
- [Zigbee22] Zigbee Alliance, "Zigbee 3.0 Specification", 2022, <<https://zigbeealliance.org/solution/zigbee/>>.

## Appendix A. CDDL Definition

```
$$SDF-EXTENSION-DATA // = ble-protocol-map

ble-protocol-map = {
  serviceID: text
  characteristicID: text
}

$$SDF-EXTENSION-DATA // = ble-event-map

ble-event-map = {
  type: "gatt" / "advertisements" / "connection_events"
  ? serviceID: text
  ? characteristicID: text
}

$$SDF-EXTENSION-DATA // = zigbee-protocol-map

zigbee-protocol-map = {
  endpointID: uint
  clusterID: uint
  attributeID: uint
  type: uint
}
```

#### Acknowledgments

TODO acknowledge.

#### Authors' Addresses

Rohit Mohan  
Cisco Systems  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, 95134  
United States of America  
Email: rohitmo@cisco.com

Bart Brinckman  
Cisco Systems  
170 West Tasman Drive  
San Jose, 95134  
United States of America  
Email: bbrinckm@cisco.com

Lorenzo Corneo  
Ericsson  
Hirsalantie 11  
FI-1296 Jorvas  
Finland  
Email: [lorenzo.corneo@ericsson.com](mailto:lorenzo.corneo@ericsson.com)