

Network Inventory YANG WG  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: 24 January 2026

M. Palmero  
Independent  
C. Cardona  
NTT  
D. Lopez  
Telefonica  
23 July 2025

A YANG Module for Entitlement Inventory  
draft-mcd-ivy-entitlement-inventory-02

## Abstract

This document proposes a YANG module for incorporating entitlements in a network inventory. Entitlements define the rights for their holder to use specific capabilities in a network element(s). The model is rooted by the concept of the capabilities offered by a network element, enabled by the held entitlements, and considers entitlement scope, how they are assigned, and when they expire. The model introduces a descriptive definition of capabilities and the entitlement use restrictions, supporting entitlement administration and the understanding of the capabilities available through the network.

## About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at <https://dr2lopez.github.io/ivy-capability-entitlement/draft-mcd-ivy-entitlement-inventory.html>. Status information for this document may be found at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-mcd-ivy-entitlement-inventory/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the Network Inventory YANG WG Working Group mailing list (<mailto:inventory-yang@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/inventory-yang/>. Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/inventory-yang/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/dr2lopez/ivy-capability-entitlement>.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 24 January 2026.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Scope of the Entitlement Model	4
1.2. Pre-Provisioned vs. Discovered Entitlements	5
2. Conventions and Definitions	5
3. Modeling Capabilities and Entitlements	6
3.1. Capabilities	7
3.2. Entitlements	8
3.2.1. Reverse Mapping from Entitlements to Capabilities	10
3.3. Entitlement Attachment	10
3.4. Installed Entitlements	11
3.5. Model Definition	11
4. Use cases and Examples	12
4.1. MPLS Capability License on a Network OS	12
4.2. Bandwidth Upgrade via License	13
4.3. Floating License Managed by License Server	15
5. IANA Considerations	18
6. Security Considerations	18
7. References	18
7.1. Normative References	18
7.2. Informative References	18

Acknowledgments . . . . .	18
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	19

## 1. Introduction

The goal of any network elements included as assets in the inventory of any network service provider or enterprise is to leverage their capabilities to build network services. Many of these capabilities are not automatically enabled upon acquisition; their use may require specific rights—typically provided via entitlements or licenses from the vendor.

The primary intent of this draft is to support three key operational use cases in managing software entitlements and network capabilities:

- \* Listing entitlements (e.g., licenses) available across the organization, their holders, and applicable scope.
- \* Modeling the capabilities that entitlements permit or enable, representing what a device can do when properly licensed.
- \* Representing the actual use of capabilities, including any active restrictions or limits defined by the associated entitlements.

Together, these use cases enable administrators to answer essential questions such as: What can this device do? What is it currently allowed to do? And what is it actively doing within the bounds of licensing or entitlement constraints? This approach supports not only entitlement tracking but also intent-aware control of device behavior and resource exposure.

As network technology evolves toward modular, software-defined, and virtualized architectures, managing the rights to activate specific functions becomes increasingly complex. These rights granted via entitlements or licenses must be tracked, aggregated, and matched to assets to ensure that services can be delivered using available capabilities. This complexity calls for structured, machine-readable models that represent which capabilities are available, permitted, and in use.

To address this, the model relies on two core concepts: capability and entitlement. A capability represents what a system or component can do; an entitlement grants permission to use one or more of those capabilities, possibly under constraints such as time, scope, or usage limits. Being able to represent and exchange this information across systems helps automate entitlement administration and simplify operational decisions.

This draft provides a foundational YANG structure for representing these relationships in a standard, vendor neutral way, complementing the network inventory module.

### 1.1. Scope of the Entitlement Model

The entitlement model aims to provide an inventory of entitlements. This includes the entitled holders and the capabilities to which they are entitled. Additionally, it offers information into the restrictions of the operation of the different assets (network entities and components). In general, this model seeks to address the following questions:

- \* What entitlements are administered/owned by the organization?
- \* How are entitlements restricted to some assets and holders?
- \* What entitlements are installed on each network element?
- \* What constraints do the current installed entitlements impose on the network elements' functionality?
- \* Does the entitlement impose any kind of global restrictions? What are they?
- \* What are the restrictions that each network element has due to the entitlements it holds locally?

The model is designed with flexibility in mind, allowing for expansion through the utilization of tools provided by YANG.

The realm of entitlements and licensing is inherently complex, presenting challenges in creating a model that can comprehensively encompass all scenarios without ambiguity. While we attempt to address various situations through examples and use cases, we acknowledge that the model might not be able to cover all corner cases without ambiguity. In such cases, we recommend that implementations provide additional documentation to clarify those potential ambiguities. The current model does not aim to serve as a catalog of licenses. While it may accommodate basic scenarios, it does not aim to cover the full spectrum of license characteristics, which can vary significantly. Instead, our focus is on providing a general framework for describing relationships and answering the questions posed above.

With the aim of clarifying the model scope, here are some questions that our model does not attempt to answer:

- \* What are the implications of purchasing a specific entitlement?
- \* Which entitlement should I acquire to get a specific capability?
- \* Is license migration feasible?
- \* What capabilities will be allowed if I install an entitlement in a specific device?
- \* Features or restrictions that depend on each user. We are not covering this in the current version of this document, but it could be done if we expand the holders' identification.

This model focuses on the ability to use capabilities, not on access control mechanisms. For example, if a router cannot enable MPLS due to entitlement restrictions, it means the organization lacks the rights to use that capability—even if access to the device itself is available. This distinction is separate from, for instance, the ability of a specific user to configure MPLS due to access control limitations.

## 1.2. Pre-Provisioned vs. Discovered Entitlements

This model is not intended for automatic discovery of entitlements or capabilities through the network elements themselves. Instead, it assumes that entitlements and their associations are either:

- \* Provisioned in a license server or asset database;
- \* Installed on individual devices and reported through management interfaces; or
- \* Manually configured as part of an inventory process.

Future augmentations may explore capability discovery or telemetry driven models, but they are out of scope for the current version.

## 2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

- \* TBU Open Issue for the IVY WG, to include:

(Update Glossary for Network Inventory draft. We need at least formal definitions of "capability" and "entitlement")

- \* Capability: A function or resource that a network element can support or execute.
- \* Entitlement: A right granted to a holder (organization or user) to access or activate specific capabilities under defined conditions.

### 3. Modeling Capabilities and Entitlements

The model describes how to represent capabilities and the entitlements that enable them across inventoried network elements. Capabilities describe what a device can do. Entitlements indicate whether those capabilities are allowed and under what conditions.

In deployments where entitlements are directly associated with specific network elements, the devices themselves may expose entitlement information. Alternatively, some environments may rely on a centralized license server that maintains the entitlements of an organization. By querying the list of capabilities and entitlements, along with their associated metadata, a NETCONF or RESTCONF client can retrieve essential inventory details about what capabilities are available and which entitlements are currently in place.

Note that the model uses lists based on classes on multiple parts to be able to extend functionality.

(TBD: Provide examples of how this can be done in future releases of this document)

Entitlements may be listed without explicitly identifying the assets (network elements or components) they apply to. Entitlements are defined directly under the network-inventory container for organizational management. Entitlements are linked to network-elements in multiple ways: (1) When entitlements are created for specific network-elements (i.e. they should only be installed on those), then those network elements are specified under the entitlement element's attachment section. (2) When an entitlement is installed in a network-element, it appears in the network-element's installed-entitlements list. (3) When an installed entitlement enables capabilities, the network-element's capabilities will reference the installed entitlement via the supporting-entitlements list.

Capabilities, restrictions and the entitlements supporting them within a network element are defined under the network-element under the container "capabilities".

### 3.1. Capabilities

Capabilities are modeled by augmenting "network-element" in the "ietf-network-inventory" module in [BaseInventory] according to the following tree:

```

+--ro capabilities
  +--ro capability-class* [capability-class]
    +--ro capability-class                identityref
    +--ro capability* [capability-id]
      +--ro capability-id                string
      +--ro extended-capability-description?  string
      +--ro resource-description?          string
      +--ro resource-units?               string
      +--ro resource-amount?              int32
      +--ro supporting-entitlements
        +--ro entitlement* [entitlement-id]
        +--ro entitlement-id              -> ../../../../installed-entitlements/entit
lement/eid
      +--ro allowed?                     boolean
      +--ro in-use?                      boolean
      +--ro capability-restriction* [capability-restriction-id]
        +--ro capability-restriction-id  string
        +--ro component-id?              -> ../../../../components/component/c
omponent-id
      +--ro description?                  string
      +--ro resource-name?                string
      +--ro units?                       string
      +--ro max-value?                   int32
      +--ro current-value?                int32

```

For any given network element, the capabilities list MAY include all potential capabilities advertised by the vendor, and MUST include those for which the network operator holds a valid entitlement—whether active or not.

The capabilities of an inventoried network element may be restricted based on the availability of proper entitlements. An entitlement manager might be interested in the capabilities available to be used on the network elements, and the capabilities that are currently available. The model includes this information by means of the "supporting entitlements" list, which references locally installed entitlements and includes potential restrictions related to the status of the entitlement. This allows organizations to monitor entitlement usage and avoid misconfigurations or exceeding permitted capability limits.

### 3.2. Entitlements

The entitlement modeling augments "network-inventory" in the ietf-network-inventory module in [BaseInventory] with a top-level entitlements container according to the following tree:

Figure 1 depicts the relationship between the Entitlement Inventory model and other models. The Entitlement Inventory model enhances the model defined in the base network inventory model with entitlement-specific attributes and centralized entitlement management capabilities.




Figure 1: Relationship of Entitlement Inventory Model to Other Inventory Models

```

+--ro entitlements
  +--ro entitlement* [eid]
    +--ro eid string
    +--ro product-id? string
    +--ro state? entitlement-state-t
    +--ro renewal-profile
      | +--ro activation-date? yang:date-and-time
      | +--ro expiration-date? yang:date-and-time
    +--ro restrictions
      | +--ro restriction* [restriction-id]
      | +--ro restriction-id string
      | +--ro description? string
      | +--ro units? string
      | +--ro max-value? int32
      | +--ro current-value? int32
    +--ro parent-entitlement-uid? -> ../entitlement/eid
    +--ro entitlement-attachment
      +--ro universal-access? boolean
      +--ro holders
        | +--ro organizations_names
        | | +--ro organizations* string
        | +--ro users_names
        | +--ro users* string
      +--ro assets
        +--ro elements
          +--ro network-elements* -> /network-inventory/network-elements/network
          -element/ne-id
            +--ro components
              +--ro component* [network-element component-id]
              +--ro network-element -> /network-inventory/network-elements/network
              -element/ne-id
                +--ro component-id -> /network-inventory/network-elements/network
              -element/components/component/component-id

```

Entitlements and network elements are linked in the model in multiple ways. Entitlements at the network-inventory level might be attached to network elements through their attachment mechanism, representing organizational entitlements. Network elements have their own installed-entitlements that may be derived from the centralized entitlements or installed directly. The capabilities of network elements reference these locally installed entitlements through their supporting-entitlements lists. The former addresses the case of a centralized license server or inventory system, while the latter represents entitlements that are locally available and actively used by the network element's capabilities. An installed entitlement that is not referenced by any network element capability means that it is available locally but not currently in use.

Entitlements are managed both centrally at the network-inventory level and locally within network elements through installed-entitlements. Network elements utilize locally installed

entitlements and reference them through their capabilities' supporting-entitlements lists. For instance, a license server or inventory system might list an entitlement at the top level, which then gets installed on specific network elements where the capabilities reference the local copy. The "parent-entitlement-uid" field in installed entitlements provides traceability back to centralized entitlements when applicable. Proper identification of entitlements is imperative to ensure consistency across systems, enabling monitoring systems to recognize when multiple locations reference related entitlements. Furthermore, there are cases where an authorized network element might have locally installed entitlements without explicit knowledge of the covering organizational license. Consider the scenario of a site license, wherein any device under the site may utilize a feature through locally installed entitlements derived from the site-wide license. In such cases, the parent-entitlement-uid maintains the connection to the organizational entitlement policy.

### 3.2.1. Reverse Mapping from Entitlements to Capabilities

While the model includes links from capabilities to supporting entitlements, some inventory operators may need to evaluate entitlements independently and identify the capabilities they enable.

To support this, implementers may use the "product-id" or "capability-class" metadata along with external references or catalogs. A reverse mapping structure may be introduced in a future version of the model, once a reliable binding syntax for entitlement to capability is standardized.

### 3.3. Entitlement Attachment

The "entitlement" container holds a container called "entitlement-attachment" which relates how the entitlement is operationally linked to holders or network elements. Note that there is a difference between an entitlement being attached to a network element and an entitlement being installed in the network element. In the former, the license was explicitly associated with one or more network elements. Some licenses actually can be open but have a limited number of installation. Other licenses might be openly constrained to a geographic location. We are not dealing with these complex cases now, but the container can be expanded for this in the future.

The model accommodates listing entitlements acquired by the organization but not yet applied or utilized by any actor/asset at the network-inventory level. For these pending entitlements, they can be managed centrally without requiring individual network elements to be aware of their existence.

Some entitlements are inherently associated with a holder, such as organization or a user. For example, a software license might be directly attached to a user. Also, the use of a network device might come with a basic license provided solely to an organization. Some entitlements could be assigned to a more abstract description of holders, such as people under a jurisdiction or a geographical area. The model contains basic information about this, but it can be extended in the future to be more descriptive.

While attachment is optional, the model should be capable of expressing attachment in various scenarios. The model can be expanded to list to which network elements an entitlement is aimed for, when this link is more vague, such as a site license (e.g., network elements located in a specific site), or more open licenses (e.g., free software for all users subscribed to a streaming platform).

It is important to note that the current model does not provide information on whether an entitlement can be reassigned to other network elements. Such scenarios fall under the "what if" category, which is not covered by this model.

### 3.4. Installed Entitlements

Since capabilities are optional in network elements, the model also provides an augmentation to track entitlements that are installed directly on network elements. This augmentation of "network-element" in the "ietf-network-inventory" module provides local entitlement storage according to the following tree:

```

+--ro installed-entitlements
  +--ro entitlement* [eid]
    +--ro eid
      -> /network-inventory/entitlements/entitlement/eid
```

The installed entitlements represent references to entitlements that are locally present on the network element. The "eid" field provides a direct reference to the centralized entitlement at the network-inventory level.

This structure allows network elements to operate independently of centralized entitlement management while maintaining the ability to track relationships to organization-wide entitlement policies.

### 3.5. Model Definition

TBP

#### 4. Use cases and Examples

This section describes use cases, provide an example of how they could be modeled by the model, and show how each of the questions that we have explored in this draft can be answered by the model.

##### 4.1. MPLS Capability License on a Network OS

An operator installs a software license (entitlement) enabling MPLS routing on a NOS. The license is attached to a specific network element and activates the "mpls-routing" capability class.

Complete example showing network inventory augmented with entitlements:

```
json
{
  "ietf-network-inventory:network-inventory": {
    "entitlements": {
      "entitlement": [
        {
          "eid": "mpls-license-001",
          "product-id": "mpls-software-lic-v2",
          "state": "active",
          "renewal-profile": {
            "activation-date": "2025-01-01T00:00:00Z",
            "expiration-date": "2026-01-01T00:00:00Z"
          },
          "entitlement-attachment": {
            "holders": {
              "organizations_names": {
                "organizations": ["ACME Corp"]
              }
            },
            "assets": {
              "elements": {
                "network-elements": ["router-5"]
              }
            }
          }
        }
      ]
    },
    "network-elements": {
      "network-element": [
        {
          "ne-id": "router-5",
          "ne-type": "ietf-network-inventory:router",

```

```

    "installed-entitlements": {
      "entitlement": [
        {
          "eid": "mpls-license-001"
        }
      ]
    },
    "capabilities": {
      "capability-class": [
        {
          "capability-class": "ietf-entitlement-inventory:routing",
          "capability": [
            {
              "capability-id": "mpls-routing",
              "extended-capability-description": "MPLS Label Switching Protocol",
              "supporting-entitlements": {
                "entitlement": [
                  {
                    "entitlement-id": "mpls-license-001",
                    "allowed": true,
                    "in-use": true
                  }
                ]
              }
            }
          ]
        }
      ]
    }
  ]
}

```

#### 4.2. Bandwidth Upgrade via License

A vendor-N device uses a capacity license to expand throughput.

Complete example showing network inventory augmented with bandwidth entitlements:

```

json
{
  "ietf-network-inventory:network-inventory": {
    "entitlements": {
      "entitlement": [
        {

```

```
"eid": "vendorN-bw-10g",
"product-id": "vendorN-bw-upgrade",
"state": "active",
"restrictions": {
  "restriction": [
    {
      "restriction-id": "global-cap",
      "description": "Organization bandwidth cap",
      "units": "Gbps",
      "max-value": 100,
      "current-value": 25
    }
  ]
}
],
},
"network-elements": {
  "network-element": [
    {
      "ne-id": "switch-10g-01",
      "ne-type": "ietf-network-inventory:switch",
      "installed-entitlements": {
        "entitlement": [
          {
            "eid": "vendorN-bw-10g"
          }
        ]
      }
    }
  ],
},
"capabilities": {
  "capability-class": [
    {
      "capability-class": "ietf-entitlement-inventory:bandwidth",
      "capability": [
        {
          "capability-id": "bw-capability",
          "resource-description": "Licensed bandwidth",
          "resource-units": "Gbps",
          "resource-amount": 10,
          "supporting-entitlements": {
            "entitlement": [
              {
                "entitlement-id": "vendorN-bw-10g",
                "allowed": true,
                "in-use": true,
                "capability-restriction": [
                  {
                    "capability-restriction-id": "bw-limit",
```

```

        "description": "Current bandwidth usage",
        "resource-name": "active-bandwidth",
        "units": "Gbps",
        "max-value": 10,
        "current-value": 6
      }
    ]
  }
}

```

#### 4.3. Floating License Managed by License Server

A shared entitlement is held by a license server and consumed dynamically by multiple switches.

Complete example showing floating license across multiple network elements:

```

json
{
  "ietf-network-inventory:network-inventory": {
    "entitlements": {
      "entitlement": [
        {
          "eid": "shared-switch-license-1",
          "product-id": "advanced-switching-features",
          "state": "active",
          "entitlement-attachment": {
            "universal-access": true,
            "holders": {
              "organizations_names": {
                "organizations": ["NTT"]
              }
            },
          },
          "restrictions": {
            "restriction": [

```

```

        {
            "restriction-id": "concurrent-users",
            "description": "Maximum concurrent feature usage",
            "units": "sessions",
            "max-value": 50,
            "current-value": 12
        }
    ]
}
],
},
"network-elements": {
    "network-element": [
        {
            "ne-id": "switch-1",
            "ne-type": "ietf-network-inventory:switch",
            "installed-entitlements": {
                "entitlement": [
                    {
                        "eid": "shared-switch-license-1"
                    }
                ]
            },
        },
    ],
    "capabilities": {
        "capability-class": [
            {
                "capability-class": "ietf-entitlement-inventory:switching",
                "capability": [
                    {
                        "capability-id": "advanced-vlan-features",
                        "extended-capability-description": "Advanced VLAN management features",

                        "supporting-entitlements": {
                            "entitlement": [
                                {
                                    "entitlement-id": "shared-switch-license-1",
                                    "allowed": true,
                                    "in-use": false
                                }
                            ]
                        }
                    }
                ],
            },
        ],
        {
            "capability-id": "qos-policies",
            "extended-capability-description": "Quality of Service policies",
            "supporting-entitlements": {
                "entitlement": [
                    {

```

```

        "entitlement-id": "shared-switch-license-1",
        "allowed": true,
        "in-use": true
    }
  ]
}
],
{
  "ne-id": "switch-2",
  "ne-type": "ietf-network-inventory:switch",
  "installed-entitlements": {
    "entitlement": [
      {
        "eid": "shared-switch-license-1"
      }
    ]
  },
  "capabilities": {
    "capability-class": [
      {
        "capability-class": "ietf-entitlement-inventory:switching",
        "capability": [
          {
            "capability-id": "advanced-vlan-features",
            "extended-capability-description": "Advanced VLAN management features
",
            "supporting-entitlements": {
              "entitlement": [
                {
                  "entitlement-id": "shared-switch-license-1",
                  "allowed": true,
                  "in-use": true
                }
              ]
            }
          }
        ]
      }
    ]
  }
}

```

}

This example demonstrates how a floating license can be managed centrally while being installed locally on multiple network elements. Each switch has its own local copy of the entitlement that traces back to the centralized policy. The centralized entitlement shows global restrictions (concurrent users), while individual switches show their local usage. This entitlement may be tracked across devices using a license server asset that records usage or seat count (future extension).

## 5. IANA Considerations

(TBP)

## 6. Security Considerations

(TBP)

## 7. References

### 7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

### 7.2. Informative References

- [BaseInventory] Yu, C., Belotti, S., Bouquier, J., Peruzzini, F., and P. Bedard, "A Base YANG Data Model for Network Inventory", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-ivy-network-inventory-yang-08, 22 July 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-ivy-network-inventory-yang-08>>.

## Acknowledgments

This document is based on work partially funded by the EU Horizon Europe projects ACROSS (grant 101097122), ROBUST-6G (grant 101139068), iTrust6G (grant 101139198), MARE (grant 101191436), and CYBERNEMO (grant 101168182).

Authors' Addresses

Marisol Palmero  
Independent  
Email: marisol.ietf@gmail.com

Camilo Cardona  
NTT  
Email: camilo@gin.ntt.net

Diego Lopez  
Telefonica  
Email: diego.r.lopez@telefonica.com