

Transport Layer Security 則
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 17 November 2025

T. Hollebeek
DigiCert
S. Schmieg
Google
B. Westerbaan
Cloudflare
16 May 2025

Use of ML-DSA in TLS 1.3
draft-ietf-tls-mldsa-00

Abstract

This memo specifies how the post-quantum signature scheme ML-DSA (FIPS 204) is used for authentication in TLS 1.3.

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at <https://bwesterb.github.io/tls-mldsa/draft-ietf-tls-mldsa.html>. Status information for this document may be found at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-tls-mldsa/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the Transport Layer Security Working Group mailing list (<mailto:tls@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/tls/>. Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/tls/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/bwesterb/tls-mldsa>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 17 November 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Conventions and Definitions	2
3. ML-DSA SignatureSchemes Types	3
4. Security Considerations	3
5. IANA Considerations	3
6. References	4
6.1. Normative References	4
6.2. Informative References	4
Acknowledgments	5
Authors' Addresses	5

1. Introduction

ML-DSA is a post-quantum module-lattice based digital signature algorithm standardised by NIST in [FIPS204].

This memo specifies how ML-DSA can be negotiated for authentication in TLS 1.3 via the "signature_algorithms" and "signature_algorithms_cert" extensions.

2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. ML-DSA SignatureSchemes Types

As defined in [RFC8446], the SignatureScheme namespace is used for the negotiation of signature scheme for authentication via the "signature_algorithms" and "signature_algorithms_cert" extensions. This document adds three new SignatureSchemes types for the three ML-DSA parameter sets as follows.

```
enum {  
    mldsa44(0x0904),  
    mldsa65(0x0905),  
    mldsa87(0x0906)  
} SignatureScheme;
```

These correspond to ML-DSA-44, ML-DSA-65, and ML-DSA-87 defined in [FIPS204] respectively. Note that these are different from the HashML-DSA pre-hashed variants defined in Section 5.4 of [FIPS204].

The signature MUST be computed and verified as specified in Section 4.4.3 of [RFC8446].

The context parameter defined in [FIPS204] Algorithm 2 and 3 MUST be the empty string.

The corresponding end-entity certificate when negotiated MUST use id-ML-DSA-44, id-ML-DSA-65, id-ML-DSA-87 respectively as defined in [I-D.ietf-lamps-dilithium-certificates].

The schemes defined in this document MUST NOT be used in TLS 1.2 [RFC5246]. A peer that receives ServerKeyExchange or CertificateVerify message in a TLS 1.2 connection with schemes defined in this document MUST abort the connection with an illegal_parameter alert.

4. Security Considerations

TODO Security

5. IANA Considerations

This document requests new entries to the TLS SignatureScheme registry, according to the procedures in Section 6 of [TLSIANA].

Value	Description	Recommended	Reference
0x0904 (please)	mldsa44	N	This document.
0x0905 (please)	mldsa65	N	This document.
0x0906 (please)	mldsa87	N	This document.

Table 1

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [FIPS204] "Module-lattice-based digital signature standard", National Institute of Standards and Technology (U.S.), DOI 10.6028/nist.fips.204, August 2024, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.fips.204>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-lamps-dilithium-certificates] Massimo, J., Kampanakis, P., Turner, S., and B. Westerbaan, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure - Algorithm Identifiers for the Module-Lattice-Based Digital Signature Algorithm (ML-DSA)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-lamps-dilithium-certificates-09, 7 May 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-lamps-dilithium-certificates-09>>.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5246>>.

- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8446>>.
- [TLSIANA] Salowey, J. A. and S. Turner, "IANA Registry Updates for TLS and DTLS", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-tls-rfc8447bis-12, 11 April 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-tls-rfc8447bis-12>>.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Alicja Kario, John Mattsson, and Rebecca Guthrie for their review and feedback.

Authors' Addresses

Tim Hollebeek
DigiCert
Email: tim.hollebeek@digicert.com

Sophie Schmieg
Google
Email: sschmieg@google.com

Bas Westerbaan
Cloudflare
Email: bas@cloudflare.com