

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: 4 September 2025

T. Bruijnzeels  
RIPE NCC  
O. Borchert  
NIST  
D. Ma  
ZDNS  
T. de Kock  
RIPE NCC  
3 March 2025

Human Readable ASPA Notation  
draft-ietf-sidrops-aspa-notation-03

Abstract

This document defines a human readable notation for Validated ASPA Payloads (VAP, see ID-aspa-profile) for use with RPKI tooling based on ABNF (RFC 5234).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 4 September 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Requirements notation . . . . .	2
2. Introduction . . . . .	2
3. ASPA Notation Definition . . . . .	3
3.1. customer-asid . . . . .	3
3.2. providers . . . . .	3
3.2.1. provider-as . . . . .	4
3.3. asn . . . . .	4
4. Example Notations . . . . .	4
5. IANA Considerations . . . . .	5
6. Security Considerations . . . . .	5
7. Acknowledgements . . . . .	5
8. Normative References . . . . .	5
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	6

## 1. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 2. Introduction

This informational document defines a human readable ASPA notation for Validated ASPA Payloads (VAPs) [I-D.ietf-sidrops-aspa-profile].

The main motivations for providing this notations style are:

- \* This can help to create consistency between RPKI Relying Party software output (generators), making it easier for operators to compare results.
- \* This can be used by RPKI Certificate Authorities (CA) command line interfaces and/or configuration, where an automated process parses this syntax. E.g. allowing a CA to provide a listing of intended VAPs which can be easily compared to RP output.
- \* This can be used for documentation.

The chosen notation style can be read from left to right to mean that the holder of the customer ASN on the left authorizes one or more provider ASNs on the right.

That said, this definition is informational. Implementations can choose to use their own notation styles instead of, or in addition to this.

### 3. ASPA Notation Definition

This specification uses ABNF syntax specified in [RFC5234].

notation	= customer-asid separator providers
customer-asid	= asn
separator	= " => "
providers	= providers-one-line / providers-multiline
providers-one-line	= asn *(*wsp "," *wsp asn)
providers-multiline	= "[" *wspml asn *(*wspml "," *wspml asn) *wspml "]"
asn	= "AS" uint32
uint32	= %d0-4294967295
wsp	= space / tab
wspml	= space / tab / cr / lf
cr	= %d13
lf	= %d10
space	= %d32
tab	= %d9

#### 3.1. customer-asid

This field represents the customerASID defined in section 3.2 of [I-D.ietf-sidrops-aspa-profile]

#### 3.2. providers

This field represents the providers defined in section 3.3 of [I-D.ietf-sidrops-aspa-profile]. Note that the normative constraints which are defined in that section mean that following constraints apply to the content of ASPA objects:

1. There must be at least one provider-as.
2. The customer-asid "asn" value must not appear in any provider-as.

3. The elements of providers must be ordered in ascending numerical order by the "asn" value of the provider-as field.
4. Each "asn" value for used for a provider-as must be unique.

A generator MUST ensure that the output matches all these normative constraints. However, to be more resilient to input written by humans, a parser MUST accept a list of providers that is not correctly sorted (3) but otherwise valid.

#### 3.2.1. provider-as

This field represents a Provider AS as defined in section 3.3 of [I-D.ietf-sidrops-aspa-profile].

#### 3.3. asn

This field consists of the string "AS" followed by a decimal value of a 32-bit Autonomous System Number using the asplain presentation as specified in [RFC5396]. Decimal values MUST represent a 32 bit value, and therefore MUST be part of the range 0-4294967295.

#### 4. Example Notations

Some example notations are listed below. The last example is not advised for readability but is technically allowed by this specification.

```
AS65000 => AS65001
AS65000 => AS65001
AS65000 => AS65002
AS65000 => AS65001, AS65002,AS65003

AS65000 => [ AS65001, AS65002, AS65003 ]

AS65000 => [
    AS65001,
    AS65002,
    AS65003
]

AS65000 => [AS65001,
            AS65002
            ,AS65003
            ]
```

The following example is valid for input only (i.e. while it is sorted in string order, it is not sorted in numerical order):

AS65000 => [ AS4200000000, AS64496 ]

note: private use AS number used because all documentation AS numbers have the same textual prefix.

## 5. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

## 6. Security Considerations

TBD

## 7. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Randy Bush for suggesting to allow only one possible notation for AS numbers.

## 8. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-sidrops-asp-profile]

Azimov, A., Uskov, E., Bush, R., Snijders, J., Housley, R., and B. Maddison, "A Profile for Autonomous System Provider Authorization", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-sidrops-asp-profile-19, 6 January 2025, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-sidrops-asp-profile-19>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.

[RFC5396] Huston, G. and G. Michaelson, "Textual Representation of Autonomous System (AS) Numbers", RFC 5396, DOI 10.17487/RFC5396, December 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5396>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

Authors' Addresses

Tim Bruijnzeels  
RIPE NCC  
Email: tbruijnzeels@ripe.net

Oliver Borchert  
NIST  
Email: oliver.borchert@nist.gov

Di Ma  
ZDNS  
Email: madi@zdns.cn

Ties de Kock  
RIPE NCC  
Email: tdekock@ripe.net