

IDR Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 29 May 2026

Z. Li
Huawei
H. Chen
Futurewei
C. Loibl
Next Layer Communications
G. Mishra
Verizon Inc.
Y. Fan
Casa Systems
Y. Zhu
China Telecom
L. Liu
Fujitsu
X. Liu
Volta Networks
S. Zhuang
Huawei
25 November 2025

BGP Flow Specification for SRv6
draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-srv6-08

Abstract

This document proposes extensions to BGP Flow Specification for SRv6 for filtering packets with a SRv6 SID that matches a sequence of conditions.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119][RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 29 May 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Definitions and Acronyms	4
3. The Flow Specification Encoding for SRv6	4
3.1. Type TBD1 - Some Parts of SID	4
3.2. Encoding Examples	6
3.2.1. Example 1	6
4. Security Considerations	7
5. IANA Considerations	7
6. Acknowledgments	7
7. Contributors	7
8. References	8
8.1. Normative References	8
8.2. Informative References	8
Authors' Addresses	9

1. Introduction

[RFC8955] describes in details about a new BGP NLRI to distribute a flow specification, which is an n-tuple comprising a sequence of matching criteria that can be applied to IP traffic. [RFC8956] extends [RFC8955] to make it also usable and applicable to IPv6 data packets. [I-D.ietf-idr-flowspec-l2vpn] extends the flow-spec rules for layer 2 Ethernet packets. [I-D.ietf-idr-flowspec-v2] specifies BGP Flow Specification Version 2.

This document specifies one new BGP Flow Specification (FS) component type to support Segment Routing over IPv6 data plane (SRv6) filtering for BGP Flow Specification Version 2. The match field is destination address of IPv6 header, but it's a SRv6 SID from SRH rather than a traditional IPv6 address (refer to Figure 1). To support these features, a Flowspec version that is IPv6 capable (i.e., AFI = 2) MUST be used. These match capabilities of the features MAY be permitted to match when there is an accompanying SRH.




Figure 1: Match Field

2. Definitions and Acronyms

- * FS: Flow Specification
- * BGP-FS: Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Flow Specification (FS)
- * SR: Segment Routing
- * SRH: SR Header.
- * SRv6: IPv6 Segment Routing, SRv6 is a method of forwarding IPv6 packets on the network based on the concept of source routing.
- * SID: Segment Identifier
- * BSID: Binding SID

3. The Flow Specification Encoding for SRv6

The Flow Specification NLRI-type consists of several optional components, each of which begins with a type field (1 octet) followed by a variable length parameter. 13 component types are defined in [RFC8955] and [RFC8956] for IPv4 and IPv6. This document defines one component type for SRv6.

3.1. Type TBD1 - Some Parts of SID

[RFC8986] defines the format of SID is LOC:FUNCT:ARG::. In some scenarios, traffic packets can just match Locator, Function ID, Arguments or some combinations of these different fields. In order to match a part of SID, its prior parts need to be examined and matched first. For example, in order to match the Function ID (FUNCT), the Locator (LOC) needs to be examined and matched first. The new component type TBD1 defined below is for matching some parts of SID.

Encoding: <type, LOC-Len, FUNCT-Len, ARG-Len, [op, value]+>

- o type (1 octet): This indicates the new component type (TBD1, which is to be assigned by IANA).
- o LOC-Len (1 octet): This indicates the length in bits of LOC in SID.
- o FUNCT-Len (1 octet): This indicates the length in bits of FUNCT in SID.
- o ARG-Len (1 octet): This indicates the length in bits of ARG in

SID.

- o [op, value]?: This contains a list of {operator, value} pairs that are used to match some parts of SID.

The total of three lengths (i.e., LOC length + FUNCT length + ARG length) MUST NOT be greater than 128. If it is greater than 128, an error occurs and Error Handling is applied according to [RFC7606] and [RFC4760].

The operator (op) byte is encoded as:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+
e	a	field	type	lt	gt	eq	
+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+	+-----+

where the behavior of each operator bit has clear symmetry with that of [RFC8955]'s Numeric Operator field.

e - end-of-list bit. Set in the last {op, value} pair in the sequence.

a - AND bit. If unset, the previous term is logically ORed with the current one. If set, the operation is a logical AND. It should be unset in the first operator byte of a sequence. The AND operator has higher priority than OR for the purposes of evaluating logical expressions.

field type:

000: SID's LOC

001: SID's FUNCT

010: SID's ARG

011: SID's LOC:FUNCT

100: SID's FUNCT:ARG

101: SID's LOC:FUNCT:ARG

For an unknown type, Error Handling is applied according to [RFC7606] and [RFC4760].

lt - less than comparison between data' and value'.

gt - greater than comparison between data' and value'.

eq - equality between data' and value'.

The data' and value' used in lt, gt and eq are indicated by the field type in a operator and the value field following the operator.

The value field depends on the field type and has the value of SID's some parts rounding up to bytes (refer to the table below).

Field Type	Value
SID's LOC	value of LOC bits
SID's FUNCT	value of FUNCT bits
SID's ARG	value of ARG bits
SID's LOC:FUNCT	value of LOC:FUNCT bits
SID's FUNCT:ARG	value of FUNCT:ARG bits
SID's LOC:FUNCT:ARG	value of LOC:FUNCT:ARG bits

3.2. Encoding Examples

3.2.1. Example 1

An example of a Flow Specification NLRI encoding for: all SRv6 packets to LOC 2001:db8:3::/48 and FUNCT {range [0100, 0300]}.

Some Parts of SID

```

length      |
0x11        | v
            | 30 10 40
            | ^  ^  ^
            | |  |  |
Length of LOC FUN ARG

```

LOC==20010db80003 FUN>=100 FUN<=300
01 2001 0db8 0003 4b 0100 8d 0300

Decoded:

```

Value
0x11    length      17 octets (if len<240, 1 octet)
TBD1    type        type TBD1 - Some Parts of SID
0x30    LOC Length  = 48 (bits)
0x10    FUNCT Length = 16 (bits)
0x40    ARG Length  = 64 (bits)
0x01    op          LOC ==
0x2001  value       LOC's value = 2001:db8:3
0x0db8
0x0003
0x4b    op          "AND", FUNCT >=
0x0100  value       FUNCT's value = 0100
0x8d    op          end-of-list, "AND", FUNCT <=
0x0300  value       FUNCT's value = 0300

```

4. Security Considerations

No new security issues are introduced to the BGP protocol by this specification over the security considerations in [RFC8955] and [RFC8956].

5. IANA Considerations

Under "Flow Spec Component Types" registry, IANA is requested to assign the following values:

Value	IPv4 Name	IPv6 Name	Reference
TBD1	Unassigned	Some Parts of SID	This Document

6. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Joel Halpern, Jeffrey Haas, Ketan Talaulikar, Aijun Wang, Dhruv Dhody, Shunwan Zhuang and Rainsword Wang for their valuable suggestions and comments on this draft.

7. Contributors

```

Lei Li
Huawei
156 Beiqing Road
Beijing
100095
P.R. China
Email: lily.lilei@huawei.com

```

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-idr-flowspec-v2]
Hares, S., Eastlake, D. E., Yadlapalli, C., and S. Maduschke, "BGP Flow Specification Version 2", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-v2-04, 28 April 2024, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-v2-04>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4760] Bates, T., Chandra, R., Katz, D., and Y. Rekhter, "Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP-4", RFC 4760, DOI 10.17487/RFC4760, January 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4760>>.
- [RFC7153] Rosen, E. and Y. Rekhter, "IANA Registries for BGP Extended Communities", RFC 7153, DOI 10.17487/RFC7153, March 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7153>>.
- [RFC7606] Chen, E., Ed., Scudder, J., Ed., Mohapatra, P., and K. Patel, "Revised Error Handling for BGP UPDATE Messages", RFC 7606, DOI 10.17487/RFC7606, August 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7606>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8955] Loibl, C., Hares, S., Raszuk, R., McPherson, D., and M. Bacher, "Dissemination of Flow Specification Rules", RFC 8955, DOI 10.17487/RFC8955, December 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8955>>.
- [RFC8956] Loibl, C., Ed., Raszuk, R., Ed., and S. Hares, Ed., "Dissemination of Flow Specification Rules for IPv6", RFC 8956, DOI 10.17487/RFC8956, December 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8956>>.

8.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-idr-flowspec-l2vpn]

Weiguo, H., Eastlake, D. E., Litkowski, S., and S. Zhuang,
"BGP Dissemination of L2 Flow Specification Rules", Work
in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-
l2vpn-26, 23 September 2025,
<[https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-idr-
flowspec-l2vpn-26](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-l2vpn-26)>.

[RFC8754] Filsfils, C., Ed., Dukes, D., Ed., Previdi, S., Leddy, J.,
Matsushima, S., and D. Voyer, "IPv6 Segment Routing Header
(SRH)", RFC 8754, DOI 10.17487/RFC8754, March 2020,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8754>>.

[RFC8986] Filsfils, C., Ed., Camarillo, P., Ed., Leddy, J., Voyer,
D., Matsushima, S., and Z. Li, "Segment Routing over IPv6
(SRv6) Network Programming", RFC 8986,
DOI 10.17487/RFC8986, February 2021,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8986>>.

Authors' Addresses

Zhenbin Li
Huawei
156 Beiqing Road
Beijing, 100095
P.R. China
Email: lizhenbin@huawei.com

Huaimo Chen
Futurewei
Boston, MA,
United States of America
Email: hchen.ietf@gmail.com

Christoph Loibl
Next Layer Communications
Mariahilfer Guertel 37/7
1150 Vienna
Austria
Email: cl@tix.at

Gyan S. Mishra
Verizon Inc.
13101 Columbia Pike
Silver Spring, MD 20904
United States of America
Phone: 301 502-1347
Email: gyan.s.mishra@verizon.com

Yanhe Fan
Casa Systems
United States of America
Email: yfan@casa-systems.com

Yongqing Zhu
China Telecom
109, West Zhongshan Road, Tianhe District
Guangzhou
510000
China
Email: zhuyq8@chinatelecom.cn

Lei Liu
Fujitsu
United States of America
Email: liulei.kddi@gmail.com

Xufeng Liu
Volta Networks
McLean, VA
United States of America
Email: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com

Shunwan Zhuang
Huawei
156 Beiqing Road
Beijing
100095
P.R. China
Email: zhuangshunwan@huawei.com