

Delay-Tolerant Networking Working Group
Internet Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: September 14, 2025

Scott Burleigh
IPNGROUP
Alberto Montilla
Spatiam Corporation
Joshua Deaton
SAIC
Carlo Caini
University of Bologna
March 13, 2025

Bundle-in-Bundle Encapsulation
draft-ietf-dtn-bibect-05.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 14, 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this

document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Abstract

This document describes Bundle-in-Bundle Encapsulation (BIBE), a Delay-Tolerant Networking (DTN) Bundle Protocol (BP) "convergence layer" protocol that tunnels BP "bundles" through encapsulating bundles. The services provided by the BIBE convergence-layer protocol adapter encapsulate an outbound BP "bundle" in a BIBE convergence-layer protocol data unit for transmission as the payload of a bundle. Security measures applied to the encapsulating bundle may augment those applied to the encapsulated bundle. The protocol includes a mechanism for recovery from loss of an encapsulating bundle, called Bundle Retransmission Methods (BRM). This mechanism is adapted from the custody transfer procedures described in the experimental Bundle Protocol (version 6) specification developed by the Delay-Tolerant Networking Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force and documented in RFC 5050.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	2
2. Conventions used in this document.....	4
3. BIBE Design Elements.....	5
3.1. BIBE Endpoints.....	5
3.2. BIBE Protocol Data Units.....	5
3.3. BRM Signals.....	6
4. BIBE Procedures.....	8
4.1. BPDU Transmission.....	8
4.2. BPDU Reception.....	9
4.3. Retransmission Timer Expiration.....	11
4.4. BRM Signal Reception.....	11
5. Security Considerations.....	12
6. IANA Considerations.....	12
7. References.....	12
7.1. Normative References.....	12
7.2. Informative References.....	12
8. Acknowledgments.....	12
Appendix A. CDDL expression.....	14

1. Introduction

This document describes Bundle-in-Bundle Encapsulation (BIBE), a Delay-Tolerant Networking (DTN) Bundle Protocol (BP) [RFC9171]

"convergence layer" protocol that tunnels BP "bundles" through encapsulating bundles.

Conformance to the bundle-in-bundle encapsulation (BIBE) specification is OPTIONAL for BP nodes. Each BP node that conforms to the BIBE specification provides a BIBE convergence-layer adapter (CLA) that is implemented by the administrative element of the BP node's application agent. Like any convergence-layer adapter, the BIBE CLA provides:

- . A transmission service that sends an outbound bundle (from the bundle protocol agent) to a peer CLA. In the case of BIBE, the sending CLA and receiving peer CLA are both BP nodes.
- . A reception service that delivers to the bundle protocol agent an inbound bundle that was sent by a peer CLA (itself a BP node) via the BIBE convergence layer protocol.

The BIBE CLA performs these services by:

- . Encapsulating outbound bundles in BIBE protocol data units, which take the form of Bundle Protocol administrative records as described later.
- . Requesting that the bundle protocol agent transmit bundles whose payloads are BIBE protocol data units.
- . Taking delivery of BIBE protocol data units that are the payloads of bundles received by the bundle protocol agent.
- . Delivering to the bundle protocol agent the bundles that are encapsulated in delivered BIBE protocol data units.

Bundle-in-bundle encapsulation may have broad utility, but the principal motivating use case is the deployment of "cross domain solutions" in DTN networks. Under some circumstances a bundle may arrive at a node that is on the frontier of a sector of network topology in which any of the below scenarios may be present,

- . Augmented security is required, from which the bundle must egress at some other designated node. In that case, the bundle may be encapsulated within a bundle to which the requisite additional BP Security (BPSEC) [RFC9172] extension block(s) can be attached, whose source is the point of entry into the insecure region (the "security source") and whose destination is the point of egress from the insecure region (the "security destination").
- . Bundles conforming to Bundle Protocol Version 6 [RFC5050] may need to traverse a network using Bundle Protocol Version 7 [RFC9171]. In that case, the incoming bundle (BPv6) may be

encapsulated within a bundle (BPv7) which is forwarded through the BPv7 based network.

- . Application of other per-domain policies, including forwarding, recovery of lost data, and quality of service. In that case, the node encapsulating incoming bundles within a new bundle can apply different policies without modifying the parameters of the encapsulated bundle. This may be especially useful in service provider networks defining their policies at the edge of a network.

Note that:

- . If the payload of the encapsulating bundle is protected by a Bundle Confidentiality Block (BCB), then the source and destination of the encapsulated bundle are encrypted, providing defense against traffic analysis that BPSEC alone cannot offer.
- . Bundles whose payloads are BIBE protocol data units may themselves be forwarded via a BIBE convergence-layer adapter, enabling nested bundle encapsulation to arbitrary depth as required by security policy.
- . Moreover, in the event that no single point of egress from an insecure region of network topology can be determined at the moment a bundle is to be encapsulated, multiple copies of the bundle may be encapsulated individually and forwarded to all candidate points of egress.

The protocol includes a mechanism for recovery from loss of an encapsulating bundle, called Bundle Retransmission Method (BRM). This mechanism is adapted from the custody transfer procedures described in the experimental Bundle Protocol (version 6) specification developed by the Delay-Tolerant Networking Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force and documented in RFC 5050 [RFC5050]. BRM is a convention by which the loss or corruption of BIBE encapsulating bundles can be mitigated by the exchange of other bundles, which are termed "BRM signals".

2. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119 [RFC2119].

In this document, these words will appear with that interpretation only when in ALL CAPS. Lower case uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying RFC-2119 significance.

3. BIBE Design Elements

3.1. BIBE Endpoints

BIBE convergence-layer protocol endpoints, also known as BIBE convergence-layer adapters (BCLAs), are implemented by the administrative elements of the application agents of BP nodes that conform to the BIBE protocol specification. The node of which a given BCLA is one component is termed the BCLA's "local node". A BP node that includes a BCLA is termed a "BIBE node".

3.2. BIBE Protocol Data Units

A BIBE protocol data unit (BPDU) is a Bundle Protocol administrative record whose record type code is 64443, whose representation conforms to the Bundle Protocol specification for administrative record representation, and whose content SHALL be a BPDU message represented as described later.

A BIBE Protocol Data Unit (BPDU) for which BRM is requested is termed a "BRM BPDU". The action taken by a BCLA upon delivery of a BRM BPDU is termed the "disposition" of that BPDU; the disposition of a BRM BPDU SHALL be either acceptance or refusal.

Notionally, a BCLA is assumed to implement in some way, for each BIBE node to which the local node issues BRM BPDUs, the following two data resources:

1. A "BRM transmission count" (BRMTC). A BRMTC is a monotonically increasing integer indicating the number of BRM BPDUs that have been issued to this BIBE node by the local node since instantiation of the local node.
2. A "BRM transmission database" (BRMDB), a notional array of "BRM transmission items" (BRMTIs). The BRMDB contains one BRMTI for each BRM BPDU issued to this BIBE node, by the local node, for which (a) no BRM disposition signal has yet been received in any BRM signal (as discussed later), and (b) the bundle encapsulated in that BPDU has not yet been destroyed due to, e.g., time-to-live expiration, and (c) "retransmission time" (discussed below) either is in the future or is zero. Each BRMTI notionally contains:
 - a. A reference to the bundle encapsulated in the corresponding BPDU.
 - b. The "transmission ID" of the corresponding BPDU, as discussed below.

- c. A The BPDUs "retransmission time," indicating the time by which BRM disposition for the corresponding BDU is expected.

The BRMDB itself being notional, normative language prescribing operations on the BRMDB is necessarily notional as well. Technical operations on the BRMDB are an implementation matter.

The BDU messages that constitute the content of BIBE protocol data unit administrative records are represented as follows.

Each BDU message SHALL be represented as a CBOR array. The number of elements in the array SHALL be 3.

The first item of the BDU array SHALL be the "transmission ID" for the BDU, represented as a CBOR unsigned integer. The transmission ID for a BDU for which BRM is NOT requested SHALL be zero. The transmission ID for a BDU for which BRM IS requested SHALL be the current value of the local node's BRM transmission count for the BIBE node to which the BDU is to be issued, plus 1.

The second item of the BDU array SHALL be the BDU's retransmission time (i.e., the time by which BRM disposition for this BDU is expected), represented as a CBOR unsigned integer. Retransmission time for a BDU for which BRM is NOT requested SHALL be zero. Retransmission time for a BDU for which BRM IS requested SHALL take the form of a "DTN Time" as defined in the Bundle Protocol specification; determination of the value of retransmission time is an implementation matter that is beyond the scope of this specification and may be dynamically responsive to changes in connectivity.

The third item of the BDU array SHALL be a single BP bundle, termed the "encapsulated bundle", represented as a CBOR byte string of definite length.

3.3. BRM Signals

A "BRM signal" is a Bundle Protocol administrative record whose record type code is 64444 and whose representation conforms to the Bundle Protocol specification for administrative record representation. The content of the record shall be a BRM message represented as follows.

Each BRM message SHALL be represented as a CBOR array. The number of elements in the array SHALL be 2.

The first item of the BRM message content array SHALL be a BRM disposition code represented as a CBOR unsigned integer. Valid disposition codes are defined as follows:

+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
Value	Meaning	
+=====+=====+=====+=====+=====+=====+		
0	Bundle Retransmission Method accepted.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
1	No further information.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
2	Reserved for future use.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
3	Redundant (reception by a node that	
	already has a copy of this bundle).	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
4	Depleted storage.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
5	Destination endpoint ID unintelligible.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
6	No known route destination from here.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
7	No timely contact with next node on route.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		
8	Block unintelligible.	
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+		

(other)	Reserved for future use.
---------	--------------------------

Figure 1: Disposition Codes

Disposition code 0 indicates that the disposition of the BPDU at the BCLA that issued the BRM signal was acceptance. All other disposition codes indicate that BRM was refused and, additionally, indicate the reason for refusal.

The second item of the BRM message content array SHALL be a "disposition scope report" (or, simply, "scope report"), represented as a CBOR array of definite length. Each item of the disposition scope report array SHALL be a "disposition scope sequence" (or, simply, "scope sequence"), represented as a CBOR array of two elements. The first element of each disposition scope sequence array SHALL be the first transmission ID in a sequence of 1 or more consecutive transmission IDs corresponding to BPDUs to which the BRM signal's disposition is declared to apply; the second element of each disposition scope sequence array SHALL be the number of transmission IDs in that sequence. Both are represented as CBOR unsigned integers.

A BRM signal constitutes an assertion by the source of that administrative record that the indicated disposition code applies to all BPDUs identified by the transmission IDs enumerated in the BRM signal's disposition scope report. If the disposition code is zero, then the source of the BRM signal has accepted BRM for all bundles that were encapsulated in the indicated BPDUs. Otherwise the source of the BRM signal has refused BRM for all bundles that were encapsulated in the indicated BPDUs, for the indicated reason.

4. BIBE Procedures

4.1. BPDU Transmission

When a BCLA is requested by the bundle protocol agent to send a bundle to the peer BCLA(s) included in the destination BP endpoint identified by a specified BP endpoint ID:

- . The BCLA SHALL generate, as defined in Section 6.2 of the Bundle Protocol specification, a BPDU for which the third element of the content array is the bundle that is to be transmitted. The destination of the bundle whose payload is the BPDU (termed the "encapsulating bundle") SHALL be the specified destination BP endpoint. Selection of the values of the

parameters governing the forwarding of the encapsulating bundle, other than the destination endpoint ID, is an implementation matter. The parameter values governing the forwarding of the BPDUs encapsulated bundle MAY be consulted for this purpose.

- . Note that any transmission request presented to a BCLA MAY request that the transmission be subject to BRM, provided that the destination EID of the request identifies a singleton endpoint.
- . If BRM is requested:
 - o The first element of the BPDUs content array MUST be the BPDUs transmission ID, which SHALL be 1 more than the current value of the BCLA's CTC for the node that is the sole occupant of the BPDUs destination endpoint.
 - o The second element of the BPDUs content array MUST be the BPDUs retransmission time as discussed in 3.2 above.
 - o The bundle protocol agent MUST add the retention constraint "BRM accepted" to the encapsulated bundle.
 - o The BCLA MAY establish a retransmission timer for the corresponding BRMTI. If a retransmission timer is established, it MUST be set to expire at the retransmission time indicated in the BPDUs.
- . Otherwise:
 - o The first two elements of the BPDUs content array MUST both be zero.
 - o Upon completion of step 2 of Section 6.2 of the Bundle Protocol specification (i.e., a request for transmission of the encapsulating bundle has been presented to the bundle protocol agent), the BCLA SHOULD notify the bundle protocol agent that transmission of the encapsulated bundle succeeded.

Note that the BRM retransmission timer mechanism provides a means of recovering from loss of an encapsulating bundle as indicated by non-arrival of a responding BRM signal.

4.2. BPDUs Reception

When a BCLA receives a BPDUs from the bundle protocol agent (that is, upon delivery of the payload of an encapsulating bundle):

- . If BRM was requested for this BPDUs (as indicated by a non-zero value of transmission ID):
 - o If the encapsulated bundle has the same source node ID, creation timestamp, and (if that bundle is a fragment) fragment offset and payload length as another bundle that

is currently retained at the BCLA's local node, then BRM redundancy MUST be handled as follows:

- . The BCLA SHALL add the BPDUs' transmission ID to the disposition scope report of a pending outbound BRM signal, destined for the node that was the source of the encapsulating bundle, whose disposition code is the reason disposition code from Figure 1 for "Redundant reception".
- o Otherwise, if the BCLA determines that its local node can neither deliver nor forward the encapsulated bundle for any of the reasons listed in Figure 1, then BRM has failed. BRM failure SHALL be handled as follows:
 - . The BCLA SHALL add the BPDUs' transmission ID to the disposition scope report of a pending outbound BRM signal, destined for the node that was the source of the encapsulating bundle, whose disposition code is the reason disposition code from Figure 1 that indicates the reason for the BRM failure.
- o Otherwise, BRM has succeeded:
 - . The BCLA SHALL add the BPDUs' transmission ID to the disposition scope report of a pending outbound BRM signal, destined for the node that was the source of the encapsulating bundle, whose disposition code is zero (indicating that BRM was accepted).
- o In each of these three cases:
 - . The pending outbound BRM signal MAY then be issued immediately, but alternatively it MAY be issued at some time in the future, possibly enabling additional BPDUs' transmission IDs to be added to the same disposition scope report.
- . If BRM was NOT requested for this BPDUs, or if BRM was requested for this BPDUs and BRM succeeded as accepted, then the encapsulated bundle SHALL be delivered from the BCLA to the bundle protocol agent, whereupon reception of the encapsulated bundle SHALL be performed as defined in Section 5.6 of the Bundle Protocol specification in the usual manner: the encapsulated bundle may be forwarded, delivered, etc.

Note that the procedures by which pending outbound BRM signals are managed, disposition scope reports are aggregated, and BRM signal transmission is initiated are implementation matters that are beyond the scope of this specification. Note, however, that failure to deliver a BRM signal prior to the earliest value of retransmission time among all BPDUs enumerated in the BRM signal's disposition scope report may result in the unnecessary re-forwarding of one or more encapsulated bundles.

4.3. Retransmission Timer Expiration

Upon expiration of a retransmission timer, the BCLA SHOULD MUST remove the corresponding BRMTI from the BRMDB (destroying the associated retransmission timer, if any) and notify the bundle protocol agent that transmission failed for the encapsulated bundle referenced by that BRMTI. Note that this notification may cause the encapsulated bundle to be re-forwarded (possibly on a different route).

4.4. BRM Signal Reception

When a BCLA receives a BRM signal from the bundle protocol agent (that is, upon delivery of the payload of a BRM-signal-bearing bundle):

- . If the BRM signal's disposition code is 0 (BRM acceptance), then for each transmission ID in the BRM signal's disposition scope report:
 - o The bundle protocol agent MUST remove the retention constraint "BRM accepted" on the encapsulated bundle referenced by the corresponding BRMTI.
 - o The corresponding BRMTI MUST be removed from the BRMDB (destroying the associated retransmission timer, if any).
 - o The BCLA SHOULD notify the bundle protocol agent that transmission succeeded for the encapsulated bundle referenced by the corresponding BRMTI.
- . Otherwise (BRM refusal), for each transmission ID in the BRM signal's disposition scope report:
 - o The corresponding BRMTI MUST be removed from the BRMDB (destroying the associated retransmission timer, if any).
 - o Any further action taken by the BCLA is implementation-specific and may depend on the reason disposition code citing the reasoned for the refusal. For example, if the BRM signal's reason disposition code was "Depleted storage", the BCLA might choose to notify the bundle protocol agent that transmission failed for the encapsulated bundle referenced by the corresponding BRMTI. If the reason disposition code was "Redundant reception", on the other hand, the BCLA might simply instruct the bundle protocol agent to remove the retention constraint "BRM accepted" on the encapsulated bundle referenced by the corresponding BRMTI and to revise its algorithm for computing retransmission time.

5. Security Considerations

An adversary on a DTN-based network that can delete bundles could delete a BRM signal in transit. This could result in BRM failure and the possible re-forwarding of encapsulated bundles, degrading network performance.

Alternatively, an adversary on a DTN-based network that can reorder bundles could cause bundles to be delivered to a BCLA in an order that complicates the efficient construction of disposition scope reports in pending outbound BRM signals. This could result in inefficient BRM communications, again degrading network performance.

BIBE's Bundle Retransmission Method may be contraindicated in environments characterized by such attacks.

6. IANA Considerations

The BIBE specification requires IANA registration of the new BIBE administrative records (type codes 64443 and 64444) defined above.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC9171] Burleigh, S., Fall, K., and Birrane, E., "Bundle Protocol Version 7", RFC 9171, January 2022.

[RFC9172] Birrane, E. and McKeever, K., "Bundle Protocol Security (BPsec)", RFC 9172, January 2022.

7.2. Informative References

[RFC5050] Scott, K. and S. Burleigh, "Bundle Protocol Specification", RFC 5050, November 2007.

8. Acknowledgments

This work is freely adapted from [RFC5050], which was an effort of the Delay Tolerant Networking Research Group. The following DTNRG participants contributed significant technical material and/or inputs to that document: Dr. Vinton Cerf of Google, Scott Burleigh, Adrian Hooke, and Leigh Torgerson of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Michael Demmer of the University of California at Berkeley, Robert

Durst, Keith Scott, and Susan Symington of The MITRE Corporation, Kevin Fall of Carnegie Mellon University, Stephen Farrell of Trinity College Dublin, Peter Lovell and Howard Weiss of SPARTA, Inc., and Manikantan Ramadas of Ohio University.

The BRM procedures defined in this specification are adapted from the Aggregate Custody Signals draft specification authored in 2010-2012 by Sebastian Kuzminsky and Andrew Jenkins, then of the University of Colorado at Boulder.

Although the BIBE specification diverges in some ways from the original Bundle-in-Bundle Encapsulation Internet Draft authored by Susan Symington, Bob Durst, and Keith Scott of The MITRE Corporation (draft-irtf-dtnrg-bundle-encapsulation-06, 2009), the influence of that earlier document is gratefully acknowledged.

This document was prepared using 2-Word-v2.0.template.dot.

Appendix A.

CDDL expression

For informational purposes, Carsten Bormann has kindly provided an expression of the Bundle Protocol specification in the CBOR Data Definition Language (CDDL). Portions of CDDL expression that bear on the BRM extension are presented below, somewhat edited by the authors. Note that wherever the CDDL expression is in disagreement with the textual representation of the BP specification presented in the earlier sections of this document, the textual representation rules.

admin-record-choice /= BIBE-PDU

```
BIBE-PDU = [64443, [transmission-ID: uint,  
                    retransmission-time: uint,  
                    encapsulated-bundle: bytes,  
                    admin-common]]
```

admin-record-choice /= BRM-signal

```
BRM-signal = [64444, [disposition-code: uint,  
                      disposition-scope-report,  
                      admin-common]]
```

disposition-scope-report = *disposition-scope-sequence

```
disposition-scope-sequence = [first-transmission-ID: uint,  
                               number-of-transmission-IDs: uint]
```

Authors' Address

Scott Burleigh
IPNGROUP
1435 Woodhurst Blvd.
McLean, VA 22102
US
Email: sburleig.sb@gmail.com

Alberto Montilla
Spatiam Corporation
1200 Conroe Dr.
Allen, TX 75013
US
Email: a.montilla@spatiam.com

Joshua Deaton
Science Applications International Corporation - SAIC
300 Diamond Drive
Huntsville, AL 35806
US
E-mail: joshua.e.deaton@nasa.gov

Carlo Caini
University of Bologna
Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Energia Elettrica e
dell'Informazione "Guglielmo Marconi"
Viale del Risorgimento 2, Bologna
Italy
E-mail: carlo.caini@unibo.it

