

DMM Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 25 December 2025

U. Chunduri, Ed.
Intel Corporation
J. Kaippallimalil, Ed.
Futurewei
S. Bhaskaran
Rakuten Symphony
J. Tantsura
Nvidia
L.M. Contreras
Telefonica
23 June 2025

Mobility-aware Transport Network Slicing for 5G
draft-ietf-dmm-tn-aware-mobility-20

Abstract

Network slicing in 5G enables logical networks for communication services of multiple 5G customers to be multiplexed over the same infrastructure. While 5G slicing covers logical separation of various aspects of 5G infrastructure and services, user's data plane packets over the Radio Access Network (RAN) and Core Network (5GC) use IP in many segments of an end-to-end 5G slice. When end-to-end slices in a 5G System use network resources, they are mapped to corresponding IP transport network slice(s) which in turn provide the bandwidth, latency, isolation, and other criteria required for the realization of a 5G slice.

This document describes mapping of 5G slices to transport network slices using UDP source port number of the GTP-U bearer when the IP transport network (slice provider) is separated by an "attachment circuit" from the networks in which the 5G network functions are deployed, for example, 5G functions that are distributed across data centers. The slice mapping defined here is supported transparently when a 5G user device moves across 5G attachment points and session anchors.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 25 December 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Scope of Transport Networks in 5G Slicing	4
3. Mapping 3GPP Slice to Transport Network Slices	6
3.1. Mid-haul and Backhaul Transport Networks	6
3.2. 3GPP Slice Configuration Overview	7
3.3. Slice Mapping using UDP Source Port Number	9
4. Transport Network Underlays	12
5. Attachment Circuit as a Service Extension	13
5.1. Attachment Circuit Extension for UDP Tunnel	13
5.2. ietf-ac-udp-tunnel YANG Module	14
6. Acknowledgements	17
7. Security Considerations	17
8. IANA Considerations	17
9. Contributing Authors	18
10. References	18
10.1. Normative References	18
10.2. Informative References	19
Appendix A. Abbreviations	21
Authors' Addresses	23

1. Introduction

3GPP architecture for 5G System (5GS) in [TS.23.501-3GPP], [TS.23.502-3GPP] and [TS.23.503-3GPP] for 5GC (5G Core), and the NG-RAN architecture defined in [TS.38.300-3GPP] and [TS.38.401-3GPP] describe slicing as one of the capabilities for the communication services that 5G systems provide. Slice types defined by the 3GPP include enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB) communications, ultra-reliable low latency communications (URLLC), massive internet of things (MIoT) and vehicle-to-X (V2X) and high-performance machine type communications (HMTc). The slice types list is exemplary and other slice types can be defined in future.

5G network slicing is defined by the 3GPP [TS.28.530-3GPP] as an approach, "where logical networks/partitions are created, with appropriate isolation, resources, and optimized topology to serve a purpose or service category (e.g. use case/traffic category, or for MNO internal reasons) or customers logical system created "on-demand". A 5G slice instance requested by an end-user is realized by a 5G network slice subnet (NSS) which is a collection of network functions across RAN and 5GC that make up the 5G slice. However, 3GPP standards do not specify the capabilities of transport network (TN) slices or slice characteristics for QoS, hard /soft isolation, protection and other aspects.

TN slices in this document can be used to realize slices between 3GPP control plane NFs or for a UE's user plane. For realizing control plane slicing, the TN slice is deployed along the interface between two 3GPP NFs. User plane 5G slice for each user's PDU session is mapped to corresponding TN slices and is the focus of this document. Since the 3GPP Single Network Slice Selection Assistance Information (S-NSSAI) is not visible to TNs, the source UDP port number of the GTP-U (or UDP encapsulated GTP) bearer is used to convey a mapping to the TN slices on each 3GPP interface (i.e., F-U, N3, N9). Following UE handover, the S-NSSAI is mapped seamlessly to the corresponding GTP-U (or UDP encapsulated GTP) source port number of the newly attached network and can be considered to be "mobility aware". Mapping a 3GPP slice to a TN slice using GTP-U (UDP) source port number is useful when the 3GPP network function and PE for TN slice are in different IP subnets. Slice mapping using UDP source port numbers may be used in TN of public or private 3GPP networks.


A TN slice across 3GPP interfaces may use multiple technologies or network providers. In practice, the orchestration and architecture may not be monolithic or uniform. For example, there may be distinct connectivity domains including Data Centers, Public Cloud, Wide Area Networks, and different orchestration entities. Several network scenarios and mechanisms to map 3GPP and IETF network slices are

found in [I-D.ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application] and [I-D.ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls]. Unlike mapping of a fronthaul 3GPP slice to a TN slice, TN slice(s) for 3GPP backhaul (F1-U/N3/N9) corresponds to slice characteristics of the UE session during initial setup (user initiates 3GPP connectivity session) and following UE mobility. For example, a UE served by the 3GPP system for high throughput, low latency service and related 3GPP slice should be mapped to a TN slice that provides the corresponding characteristics even after handover. This document defines a mechanism where the source UDP port number of a layer 3 GTP bearer (or UDP encapsulated GTP) is used to map a 3GPP slice to the TN slice at the Provider Edge (PE). 3GPP slice management ([TS.28.541-3GPP]) and Attachment Circuit (AC) in [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] provide the basis for the necessary mapping.

This document describes a potential way to map user plane packets of a 3GPP PDU session identified by a 3GPP slice (S-NSSAI) to an IETF Network Slice Service as defined in [RFC9543]. Extensions to attachment circuit as a service to support a layer 3 GTP-U (or UDP encapsulated GTP) bearer as an attachment circuit is described in Section 3.3 and Section 5. UDP source port ranges in transport network underlays for slice mapping is described in Section 4. It is not the purpose of this document to standardize or constrain the implementation of slicing or user plane functionality in 3GPP.

2. Scope of Transport Networks in 5G Slicing

3GPP [TS.28.530-3GPP] discusses transport network in the context of network slice subnets, but does not specify further details. This section provides an overview of the processes to provision and map 5G slices in backhaul and mid-haul network segments with GTP-U (UDP) source port number.



In this architecture, end-to-end user plane connectivity between the UE and a specific Data Network (DN) is supported by the F1-U interface (between gNB-DU and gNB-CU-UP), the N3 interface between the gNB-CU-CP and the UPF, and the N9 interface between UPFs in the core network. Over these interfaces, GTP-U is used to transport UE PDUs (IPv4, IPv6, IPv4v6, Ethernet or Unstructured) as specified in

[TS.29.281-3GPP]. Data in each user's PDU session is mapped to corresponding TN slices across N3/N9/F1-U interfaces based on the 5G slice requirements. Multiple UEs traffic (e.g., eMBB) at a location that have the same requirements may use a TN slice. 3GPP network functions (i.e., gNB-DU, gNB-CU and UPF) may however be distributed (e.g., across multiple data centers) and therefore require multiple TN slices across the respective interfaces. The TN PE does not consider 5QI in the DSCP or GTP-U header for mapping the 5G slice. 3GPP QoS with 5QI and corresponding DSCP mapping can be applied to traffic flows in PDU sessions in the slice independently. Mapping a 3GPP slice to a TN slice using GTP-U (UDP) source port number is described in Section 3.3.

The gNB-DU can also be split into two entities (O-RU and O-DU) as defined by O-RAN Alliance and therefore the user plane includes the fronthaul interface between O-RU and O-DU. However, as this interface does not rely on GTP-U to transport UE PDU, the fronthaul interface is out of scope of this document. Mid-haul and backhaul are described further in Section 3.1.

3. Mapping 3GPP Slice to Transport Network Slices

3.1. Mid-haul and Backhaul Transport Networks

As described in Figure 1, 3GPP functions gNB-CU (user plane) and gNB-DU may be distributed and have a mid-haul transport between the two 3GPP network functions. If an IP based mid-haul interface is used, the network slice instance (NSI) information can be MPLS, SRv6 based as defined in [TS.28.541-3GPP]. However, if the 3GPP network function (slice customer) is physically separated from the slice provider network (e.g., a gNB-CU (user plane) with baseband units deployed in a data center), the MPLS, SRv6 information may not be practical to carry across to the separated IP transport network (slice provider). In this case, the source UDP port number of the GTP-U can be used to indicate the slice in the transport network (slice provider).

The backhaul transport over which the protocols for N3 and N9 interfaces run are described in [TS.23.501-3GPP] and [TS.23.502-3GPP]. The end-user (UE) sessions (IP, Ethernet, unstructured) are carried over GTP-U transport protocol over the N3 and N9 interfaces. GTP-U between the 3GPP network functions (gNB, UPF) serves as an overlay protocol across one or more MPLS, SRv6 or Ethernet transport networks in between. During UE session setup, a number of parameters for context management are configured in the gNB, UPF and that includes network slice (S-NSSAI). On an Ethernet based backhaul interface, the slice information is carried in the Ethernet header through the VLAN tags. If an IP based backhaul

interface is used, the network slice instance (NSI) information can be MPLS, SRv6 based as defined in [TS.28.541-3GPP]. However, if the 3GPP network function (slice customer) is physically separated from the slice provider network (e.g., a gNB-CU (user plane) or UPF, or both are deployed in a data center), the MPLS, SRv6 information may not be practical to carry across to the separated IP transport network (slice provider). In this case, the source UDP port number of the GTP-U can be used to indicate the slice in the IP transport network (slice provider).

3.2. 3GPP Slice Configuration Overview

Communication services in 3GPP and the concepts to provision and manage it are described in [TS.28.530-3GPP]. A brief overview is given here with the intent to describe how it is related to an IP transport slice and the mapping between it and the 3GPP slice. Communication services (e.g., an eMBB service) may be realized in a 3GPP network using one or more slices identified by NSSAI (Network Slice Selection Assistance Information) in the 3GPP control plane signaling. In the 3GPP management plane, the network slice identified by NSSAI is realized in a Network Slice Subnet (NSS). For example, a slice S-NSSAI is available to a user at different locations (and even PLMNs) and maybe realized in an NSS at that a location. An NSS consists of sets of functions from 5GC and RAN that belong to the NSS. Network interfaces of functions in an NSS may be associated to one or more slice subnets. These relationships are illustrated in Figure 2. From the viewpoint of IP transport slicing and mapping to 3GPP slices, an IP transport network slice is associated to 3GPP core or RAN network functions in a 3GPP Network Slice Subnet (NSS). Thus, it can represent a slice of a transport path for a tenant between two 3GPP user plane functions.




Figure 2: Slice Configuration

Figure 2 shows the slice hierarchy described in [TS.28.530-3GPP] with 3 communication services enhanced to show the IP transport slice instances (TS1, TS2, TS3). As an example, when a UE registers with 5GC with NSSAI 000A, 000B and others, 5GC may select NSSAI 000B in list of NSSAI allowed for the UE. One of the factors in selecting the NSSAI is whether the UE may move to another location during the lifetime of the session. In this case, the NSSAI should be such that it has a mapping to IP transport network slice during initial attach, and following handover. For example, a UE that attaches to 5GC with S-NSSAI = 000B and served by user plane instances CN1 and AN2 uses IP transport network slice NS = TS2 to provide the resources in the IP network that corresponds to the UE session. Following handover with S-NSSAI = 000B, the UE may be served by user plane instances CN1' and AN2' over an IP slice TS2' in the new location.

3.3. Slice Mapping using UDP Source Port Number

When a 3GPP user plane function (5G-AN, UPF) and IP transport PE are on different nodes or separated across a network, the PE router needs to have the means by which to classify the IP packet from 3GPP entity based on some header information. In [RFC9543] terminology, this is a scenario where there is an AC between the 3GPP entity (customer edge) and the SDP (Service Demarcation Point) in the IP transport network (provider edge). The AC is provisioned between a 3GPP user plane node (i.e., gNB, UPF) in, for example, a data center, to a PE router that serves as the service demarcation point for the transport network slice. The following paragraphs provide an outline of operations in a 5G system prior to PDU session setup, and during PDU session setup in mapping 3GPP slice to IETF transport slice. It should be noted that outlines of 3GPP procedures below and data structures in Figure 3 are only to illustrate the concepts in the use of YANG model extensions for layer 3 GTP bearers in Section 5. It is not the purpose of this document to standardize or otherwise constrain the implementation of slicing and user plane functionality in 3GPP.

Prior to PDU session setup, the IP transport network and 3GPP user plane nodes are provisioned with the necessary information for mapping the slices. The PE router in IP transport network is provisioned to map all packets arriving on a layer 3 attachment circuit (the outer header carrying the GTP-U tunnel), i.e., a UDP source port number/range to corresponding [RFC9543] slice characteristics as shown in Section 4. 3GPP user plane nodes (gNB, UPF) are provisioned with GTP transport interface information parameters in [TS.28.541-3GPP]. Each EP_Transport is configured with ATTACHMENT_CIRCUIT containing UDP source port number/range for each of the slices (S-NSSAI) supported by the 3GPP user plane node. "ATTACHMENT_CIRCUIT" is one of the enumerated options in connectionPointId (externalEndPointRefList) attribute in EP_Transport. The YANG model extensions for layer 3 GTP bearers are defined in Section 5. The model for the layer 3 GTP bearer in Section 5 inherits the attachment circuit from [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit].

During PDU session setup, the 5G control plane configures parameters to setup the user plane for the PDU session across F1-U, N3 and N9 interfaces. One of parameters configured by the 5G control plane is the S-NSSAI. This S-NSSAI in the user plane setup can be used to associate with the previously configured EP_Transport information per S-NSSAI. The ATTACHMENT_CIRCUIT for the per S-NSSAI EP_Transport interface has UDP source port number/range which is used when forwarding a GTP-U packet belonging to the PDU session. The 3GPP user plane node can now associate the provisioned slice and

EP_transport to that signaled for the PDU session. The YANG model for an attachment circuit with UDP source port number/range is defined in Section 5.

An example is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Slice Mapping using UDP source port

Figure 3 shows the configuration and mapping applied to network instances in a 3GPP network slice subnet and corresponding IP transport network instances for sending an upstream GTP packet from gNB-CU (user plane) to UPF. The gNB-CU (user plane) function is in a data center (site 1) and separated from the IP transport slice provider by an AC ("acl" in Figure 3). The AC ("acl") is for an EP_Transport configured as specified in [TS.28.541-3GPP] and realized using [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] and related extensions for GTP in Section 5.

In this example, a GTP-U packet at gNB-CU (user plane) is from a UE session with S-NSSAI = 000B and to be forwarded to UPF-CN1 (i.e., as already setup by SMF during PDU session establishment). The associated 3GPP and IP transport network instances in the figure provide mapping to slice resources. The gNB-CU (UP) uses the slice mapping to "acl" shown in Figure 3 when forwarding the GTP-U packet to UPF-CN1-if with source address of gNB-AN2-if and UDP source port number 5678 (GTP-U /UDP outer encapsulation source port). The slice mapping proposed in this document does not depend on VLANs, however, this example is to illustrate that the UDP mapping can be used in conjunction with other AC properties. The GTP-U packet is forwarded by the data center network to the PE router at IP backhaul network. The PE matches on VLAN ID of GTP-U packet and IP source port to select the provisioned slice (NS = TS2). The GTP-U packet is then forwarded to the UPF. For a downstream GTP-U packet, the UPF customer edge may similarly be attached to a PE and have similar slice configuration and mapping (details are not shown in the figure).

PEs can thus be provisioned with a policy based on the source UDP port number (and other identifiers like VLAN) to the underlying transport path and then deliver the QoS/slice resource provisioned in the transport network. The source UDP port number that is encoded is the outer IP (corresponding to GTP-U header) while the inner IP packet (UE payload) is unaltered. The source UDP port number is encoded by the node that creates the GTP-U encapsulation and therefore, this mechanism has no impact on UDP checksum calculations.

3GPP network operators may use IPsec gateways (SEG) to secure packets between two sites - for example over an F1-U, N3 or N9 segment. The IP network slice identifier in the GTP-U packet should be in the outer IP source port number even after IPSec encryption for PE transport routers to inspect and provide the level of service provisioned. Tunnel mode - which is the case for SEG/IPSec gateways - adds an outer IP header in both AH (Authenticated Header) and ESP (Encapsulated Security Payload) modes. The IPSec secured GTP-U packet should be UDP encapsulated and the GTP-U source port number copied to the outer UDP encapsulation source port number for the PE

to select the slice. When GTP-U packets use the source port number as an entropy field for load balancing, copying it to the outer UDP source port number would preserve this as intended for load balancing [RFC8085], section 5.1.1. UDP source port and ranges in Figure 4 allow for slice selection at the PE when the UDP source port is also used for load balancing.

4. Transport Network Underlays

Traffic engineered underlay networks are an essential component to realize the slicing defined in this document. Transport networks should be able to realize midhaul, backhaul and control plane slices shown in Figure 1. This section outlines how GTP/UDP source ports are used to map to slice types. [RFC9543], section 7 describes in more detail how a network slice can be realized over different transport network technologies including enhanced VPN, IP/MPLS and SR-TE.

An example with different user plane slice types and transport paths is shown in the figure. In this case with 3 different 3GPP Slice and Service Types (SSTs), 3 transport TE paths are setup. For uplink traffic, an underlying TE transport path may be from a gNB-CU to a UPF for example. A similar downlink path and underlying transport from UPF to gNB-CU is configured. The figure shows UDP port ranges, SST, transport path (in this example pseudowire/VPN) and transport path characteristics.

GTP/UDP SRC PORT	SST in S-NSSAI	Transport Path Info	Transport Path Characteristics
Range Xx - Xy X1, X2(discrete values)	MIoT (massive IoT)	PW ID/VPN info, TE-PATH-A	GBR (Guaranteed Bit Rate) Bandwidth: Bx Delay: Dx Jitter: Jx
Range Yx - Yy Y1, Y2(discrete values)	URLLC (ultra-low latency)	PW ID/VPN info, TE-PATH-B	GBR with Delay Req. Bandwidth: Bx Delay: Dx Jitter: Jx
Range Zx - Zy Z1, Z2(discrete values)	EMBB (broadband)	PW ID/VPN info, TE-PATH-C	Non-GBR Bandwidth: Bx

Figure 4: Mapping of Transport Paths on F1-U/N3/N9

In some E2E scenarios, security is desired granularly in the underlying transport network. In such cases, there would be a need to have separate sub-ranges under each SST to provide the TE path in preserving the security characteristics. The UDP source port range captured in Figure 4 would be sub-divided to maintain the TE path for the current SSTs with the security. The current solution doesn't provide any mandate on the UE traffic in selecting the type of security.

There are many possible transport network technologies that may be used to realize these slices. These are described in [RFC9543].

5. Attachment Circuit as a Service Extension

5.1. Attachment Circuit Extension for UDP Tunnel

Section 3.3 provided a description of how a GTP-U connection AC can be mapped using source address and port number to an IETF transport slice. To facilitate slice capabilities within a provider network, the AC is a means to bind the slice service that is previously agreed between the customer (i.e., 3GPP network) and provider (i.e., IP network provider). [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] defines

YANG service model for attachment circuits to an IETF slice [RFC9543] based on layer 2 bearers such as VLANs. This document extends the YANG service model for attachment circuits in [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] to support UDP tunnels - GTP and encapsulated GTP. The attachment circuit structures below may be extended in future to support other UDP tunnels/capabilities but is not in the scope of this document.

The 'l3-service' and 'l3-tunnel-service' in the attachment circuits structure in [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] is used to configure the relevant layer 3 tunnel properties of a UDP tunnel AC. IPv4 and IPv6 properties of the UDP tunnel AC are provided in "ip-connection" and the extension below adds source port number and range for the UDP tunnel.

```
module: ietf-ac-udpt

augment /ac-svc:attachment-circuits/ac-svc:ac/ac-svc:ip-connection
/ac-svc:l3-service/ac-svc:l3-tunnel-service
/ac-svc:l3-tunnel-service:

+--rw (udp-port)?
  +--:(port-range-or-operator)
    +--rw source-port-range-or-operator
      +--rw (port-range-or-operator)?
        +--:(range)
          | +--rw lower-port      inet:port-number
          | +--rw upper-port      inet:port-number
        +--:(operator)
          +--rw operator?         operator
          +--rw port               inet:port-number
```

Figure 5: UDP Tunnel Yang Module

'l3-tunnel-service' in [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] is extended in this document to carry UDP source port number/range.

For encapsulations other than UDP, the underlying transports may use mappings using the relevant constructs native to the respective technology (e.g., MPLS, SR-MPLS and SRv6). This is not covered in this document.

5.2. ietf-ac-udp-tunnel YANG Module

The "ietf-ac-udp-tunnel" module uses definitions in [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit] and [RFC8519].

```
module ietf-ac-udp-tunnel {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ac-udp-tunnel";
  prefix ac-udpt;

  import ietf-ac-common {
    prefix ac-common;
    reference
      "RFC SSSS: YANG Data Models for Bearers and 'Attachment
        Circuits'-as-a-Service (ACaaS)";
  }
  import ietf-ac-svc {
    prefix ac-svc;
    reference
      "RFC SSSS: YANG Data Models for Bearers and 'Attachment
        Circuits'-as-a-Service (ACaaS)";
  }
  import ietf-packet-fields {
    prefix packet-fields;
    reference
      "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
        Control Lists (ACLs), Section 4.2";
  }

  organization
    "IETF DMM (Distributed Mobility Management)";
  contact
    "WG Web:   <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/dmm/>
    WG List:   <mailto:dmm@ietf.org>

    Author:    John Kaippallimalil
               <mailto:john.kaippallimalil@futurewei.com>";
  description
    "This YANG module defines a YANG model for augmenting the ACaaS
    service model with UDP Encapsulation as Layer 3 tunnel service.

    Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
    authors of the code.  All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
    without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
    to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License
    set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
    Relating to IETF Documents
    (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the
    RFC itself for full legal notices.";
```

```
revision 2023-11-13 {
  description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: Mobility-aware Transport Network Slicing for 5G";
}

identity udp {
  base ac-common:l3-tunnel-type;
  description
    "UDP Encapsulation.";
  reference
    "RFC 8085: UDP Usage Guidelines, Section 3.1.11";
}

augment "/ac-svc:attachment-circuits/ac-svc:ac"
  + "/ac-svc:ip-connection/ac-svc:l3-service"
  + "/ac-svc:l3-tunnel-service/ac-svc:l3-tunnel-service" {
  when "derived-from-or-self(/type, 'ac-udpt:udp')" {
    description
      "Only applicable if l3 service type is UDP encapsualtion.";
  }
  description
    "Augments Layer 3 AC service with required data nodes for
    UDP encapsulation support.";
  choice udp-port {
    description
      "Choice of specifying the source port number or referring
      to a group of port numbers.";
    container source-port-range-or-operator {
      description
        "Indicates a set of source ports numbers.";
      uses packet-fields:port-range-or-operator;
    }
  }
}
```

Figure 6: UDP Tunnel YANG Module

Note to RFC Editor:

Replace "RFC XXXX" with the RFC number to be assigned to this document.

Replace "RFC SSSS" with the RFC number to be assigned to [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit].

6. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Young Lee for discussions on this document including 3GPP and IETF slice orchestration in the early discussions. Thanks to Sri Gundavelli, Kausik Majumdar, Hannu Flinck, Joel Halpern, Satoru Matsushima and Tianji Jiang who provided detailed feedback on this document. Mohamed Boucadair provided detailed Yang structures for the ietf-ac-udp-tunnel attachment circuit to make it flexible for use in this document and for future services. Lionel Morand's suggestion to revise the UDP tunnel module to be applicable to not just GTPU but also other encapsulations like ESP-UDP makes this document more broadly applicable.

7. Security Considerations

This section is modeled after the template described in Section 3.7 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis].

The "ietf-ac-udp-tunnel" YANG module defines a data model that is designed to be accessed via YANG-based management protocols, such as NETCONF [RFC6241] and RESTCONF [RFC8040]. These protocols have to use a secure transport layer (e.g., SSH [RFC4252], TLS [RFC8446] or QUIC [RFC9000] and have to use mutual authentication.

The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

Data nodes defined in the ietf-ac-udp-tunnel YANG module are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., edit-config) and delete operations to these data nodes without proper protection or authentication can have a negative effect on network operations. The 'udp-port' information may be used to track a customer of the slice service and may be considered a violation of the customer-provider trust relationship.

8. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to register the following URI in the "ns" subregistry within the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ac-udp-tunnel

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

IANA is requested to register the following YANG module in the "YANG Module Names" subregistry [RFC6020] within the "YANG parameters" registry.

Name: ietf-ac-udp-tunnel

Maintained by IANA? N

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ac-udp-tunnel

Prefix: ac-udp-tunnel

Reference: RFC XXXX

9. Contributing Authors

The following people contributed substantially to the content of this document and should be considered co-authors.

Praveen Muley
Nokia
440 North Bernardo Ave
Mountain View CA 94043
USA
Email: praveen.muley@nokia.com

Richard Li
Independent
Email: richard.li@seu.edu.cn

Xavier De Foy
InterDigital Communications, LLC
1000 Sherbrooke West
Montreal
Canada

Email: Xavier.Defoy@InterDigital.com

Reza Rokui
Ciena

Email: rrokui@ciena.com

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit]
Boucadair, M., Roberts, R., de Dios, O. G., Barguil, S.,
and B. Wu, "YANG Data Models for Bearers and 'Attachment
Circuits'-as-a-Service (ACaaS)", Work in Progress,
Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit-
20, 23 January 2025,
<[https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-opsawg-
teas-attachment-circuit-20](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-opsawg-teas-attachment-circuit-20)>.
- [RFC8519] Jethanandani, M., Agarwal, S., Huang, L., and D. Blair,
"YANG Data Model for Network Access Control Lists (ACLs)",
RFC 8519, DOI 10.17487/RFC8519, March 2019,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8519>>.

10.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis]
Bierman, A., Boucadair, M., and Q. Wu, "Guidelines for
Authors and Reviewers of Documents Containing YANG Data
Models", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-
netmod-rfc8407bis-28, 5 June 2025,
<[https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-netmod-
rfc8407bis-28](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis-28)>.
- [I-D.ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application]
Geng, X., Contreras, L. M., Rokui, R., Dong, J., and I.
Bykov, "IETF Network Slice Application in 3GPP 5G End-to-
End Network Slice", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft,
draft-ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application-04, 3 March
2025, <[https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-
teas-5g-network-slice-application-04](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-teas-5g-network-slice-application-04)>.
- [I-D.ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls]
Szarkowicz, K. G., Roberts, R., Lucek, J., Boucadair, M.,
and L. M. Contreras, "A Realization of Network Slices for
5G Networks Using Current IP/MPLS Technologies", Work in
Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls-
18, 3 April 2025, <[https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/
draft-ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls-18](https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-teas-5g-ns-ip-mpls-18)>.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688,
DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.
- [RFC4252] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, Ed., "The Secure Shell (SSH)
Authentication Protocol", RFC 4252, DOI 10.17487/RFC4252,
January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4252>>.

- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", RFC 8040, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.
- [RFC8085] Eggert, L., Fairhurst, G., and G. Shepherd, "UDP Usage Guidelines", BCP 145, RFC 8085, DOI 10.17487/RFC8085, March 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8085>>.
- [RFC8341] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Access Control Model", STD 91, RFC 8341, DOI 10.17487/RFC8341, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8341>>.
- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.
- [RFC9000] Iyengar, J., Ed. and M. Thomson, Ed., "QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secure Transport", RFC 9000, DOI 10.17487/RFC9000, May 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9000>>.
- [RFC9543] Farrel, A., Ed., Drake, J., Ed., Rokui, R., Homma, S., Makhijani, K., Contreras, L., and J. Tantsura, "A Framework for Network Slices in Networks Built from IETF Technologies", RFC 9543, DOI 10.17487/RFC9543, March 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9543>>.
- [TS.23.501-3GPP]
3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "System Architecture for 5G System; Stage 2, 3GPP TS 23.501 v2.0.1", December 2017.
- [TS.23.502-3GPP]
3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "Procedures for 5G System; Stage 2, 3GPP TS 23.502, v2.0.0", December 2017.

[TS.23.503-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "Policy and Charging Control System for 5G Framework; Stage 2, 3GPP TS 23.503 v1.0.0", December 2017.

[TS.28.530-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "Aspects; Management and Orchestration; Concepts, use cases and requirements (Release 17)", June 2022.

[TS.28.533-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "Management and Orchestration Architecture Framework (Release 15)", June 2018.

[TS.28.541-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "Management and orchestration; 5G Network Resource Model (NRM); Stage 2 and stage 3 (Release 19)", July 2024.

[TS.29.281-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "GPRS Tunneling Protocol User Plane (GTPv1-U), 3GPP TS 29.281 v15.1.0", December 2018.

[TS.38.300-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "NR; NR and NG-RAN Overall Description; Stage 2; v15.7.0", September 2019.

[TS.38.401-3GPP]

3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), "NG-RAN; Architecture description; v15.7.0", September 2019.

Appendix A. Abbreviations

5G-AN	5G Access Network
5GS	5G System
AC	Attachment Circuit
CSR	Cell Site Router
CP	Control Plane (5G)
CU	Centralized Unit (5G, gNB)

DN	Data Network (5G)
DU	Distributed Unit (5G, gNB)
eMBB	enhanced Mobile Broadband (5G)
gNB	Next Generation Node B
GBR	Guaranteed Bit Rate (5G)
GTP-U	GPRS Tunneling Protocol - User plane (3GPP)
MIoT	Massive IoT (5G)
MPLS	Multi Protocol Label Switching
NG-RAN	Next Generation Radio Access Network (i.e., gNB, NG-eNB - RAN functions which connect to 5GC)
NSC	Network Slice Controller
NSS	Network Slice Subnet
NSSAI	Network Slice Selection Assistance Information
NSSI	Network Slice Subnet Identifier
NSSF	Network Slice Selection Function
PDU	Protocol Data Unit (5G)
PW	Pseudo Wire
SDP	Service Demarcation Point
S-NSSAI	Single Network Slice Selection Assistance Information
SST	Slice and Service Types (5G)
SR	Segment Routing
TE	Traffic Engineering
UP	User Plane(5G)
UPF	User Plane Function (5G)
URLLC	Ultra reliable and low latency communications (5G)

Authors' Addresses

Uma Chunduri (editor)
Intel Corporation
2191 Laurelwood Rd
Santa Clara, CA 95054
United States of America
Email: umac.ietf@gmail.com

John Kaippallimalil (editor)
Futurewei
United States of America
Email: john.kaippallimalil@futurewei.com

Sridhar Bhaskaran
Rakuten Symphony
Email: sridhar.bhaskaran@rakuten.com

Jeff Tantsura
Nvidia
Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

Luis M. Contreras
Telefonica
Telefonica Sur-3 building, 3rd floor
28050 Madrid
Spain
Email: luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com