

calext
Internet-Draft
Updates: 9555 (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 23 May 2026

R. Stepanek
Fastmail
19 November 2025

JSContact Version 2.0: A JSON Representation of Contact Data
draft-ietf-calext-jscontact-uid-07

Abstract

This document defines version "2.0" of JSContact. It defines the uid property of a Card object to be optional, rather than mandatory as defined in previous version "1.0". All other definitions of JSContact version "1.0" remain as defined in RFC 9553. This document updates RFC 9555 by redefining how to convert the now optional uid property from and to vCard. It also registers the vCard JSCOMPS parameter at IANA, which was defined but not registered in RFC 9555.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 May 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Notational Conventions	2
2. Introduction	2
3. JSContact Version 2.0	3
4. Redefined uid Property	4
5. Redefined Conversion Rule for the uid Property	4
6. Other Changes	5
7. IANA Considerations	5
7.1. Update to the JSContact Version Registry	5
7.2. Update to the JSContact Properties Registry	5
7.3. Update to the vCard Parameters Registry	5
8. Security Considerations	5
9. References	5
9.1. Normative References	6
9.2. Informative References	6
Author's Address	6

1. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The ABNF definitions in this document use the notations of [RFC5234]. ABNF rules not defined in this document are defined in either [RFC5234] (such as the ABNF for CRLF, WSP, DQUOTE, VCHAR, ALPHA, and DIGIT) or [RFC6350].

2. Introduction

JSContact [RFC9553] defines the Card object uid property, a mandatory property which contains a unique identifier for the entity represented by that contact card. For the same purpose, the vCard [RFC6350] contact format defines the UID property, an optional property of a vCard instance. Throughout the rest of this document, the term uid (all lowercase) denotes the JSContact uid property, the

term UID (all uppercase) denotes the vCard UID property.

That the uid property was defined to be mandatory in JSContact has shown to be applicable for some use cases, but turned out to be an issue in other contexts:

For example, the CardDAV protocol [RFC6352] requires the UID property of a vCard object [RFC6350] to be set. Accordingly, an internet server that implements both CardDAV and JMAP for Contacts [RFC9610] requires the uid property of a JSContact Card to be set. In contrast, protocols such as RDAP [RFC9083] have no use for the uid property, either because they use different identifiers, or they prefer to not include any unique identifier in the contact data at all. JSContact should not require them to generate unique identifiers that are irrelevant to their use case.

Also, one of the stated goals of JSContact is to be compatible with the semantics of the vCard data format (Section 1 of [RFC9553]). But [RFC6350] defines the UID property of a vCard to be optional, and consequently the semantics of JSContact and vCard differ for such a crucial common element.

In case of vCards without a UID property [RFC6350] (Section 6.7.6) being converted to JSContact, requiring unique identifiers is especially problematic: the Card uid property is mandatory and accordingly Section 2.1.1 of [RFC9555] requires implementations to generate some unique identifier for it during conversion, but it does not guarantee it to be the same across implementations or even one implementation converting the same Card multiple times. A recipient being unaware that the uid property value of such a Card object is ephemeral might refer to it in the members property or relatedTo property of another Card object, introducing invalid relations between contact cards.

3. JSContact Version 2.0

This document redefines the uid property of a Card object to become optional. Other than that, the property definition is left unchanged. This change requires the major version of JSContact to change, so this document defines the JSContact version to become "2.0". For further information about versioning JSContact data, see Section 1.9 of [RFC9553].

Implementations MUST create JSContact data that complies with the definitions of version "2.0" (or some later registered version) and MUST set the version property of the JSContact Card object to that version. They MUST NOT reject a Card object without the uid property as invalid unless specified differently in another document, or

unless the Card version property has value "1.0". As any valid version "1.0" JSContact Card also is valid according to version "2.0", there is no need to migrate existing JSContact data.

Setting the uid property is use-case specific. If an implementation is able to consistently generate the exact same unique identifier for a JSContact Card representing the same entity and no protocol-specific concerns prevail, it is recommended to set the uid property.

This document does not redefine the vCard UID property.

4. Redefined uid Property

This document redefines the type signature of the uid property, originally defined in Section 2.1.9 of [RFC9553]. The new type signature is:

uid: String (optional).

The remaining property definition is left unchanged, with the following additional paragraph:

| A Card without an uid property can not be referred to as group
| member in the members property [RFC9553] (Section 2.1.6), or put
| in relation to another Card object in the relatedTo property
| [RFC9553] (Section 2.1.8).

5. Redefined Conversion Rule for the uid Property

This document redefines how to convert the Card uid property from vCard, originally defined in Section 2.1.1 of [RFC9555]. The new conversion rule is:

Implementations that convert a vCard without a UID property [RFC6350] (Section 6.7.6) to a Card of version "2.0" or higher MUST NOT generate a unique identifier as value for the uid property [RFC9553] (Section 2.1.9).

When converting a vCard without UID property to JSContact version "1.0", implementations MUST generate a value for the uid property. Generating unique identifiers is implementation-specific. An implementation SHOULD generate the same value when generating the same Card multiple times. Section 2 describes why this is problematic. Consequently, implementations SHOULD NOT convert to version "1.0" Card objects.

6. Other Changes

This document also registers the JSCOMPS parameter in the IANA "vCard Parameters" registry. The parameter was defined in Section 3.3.1 of [RFC9555] but mistakenly not registered at IANA.

7. IANA Considerations

7.1. Update to the JSContact Version Registry

IANA will update the "JSContact Version" registry, originally created in Section 3.4 of [RFC9553]. It will add the following record:

Major Version	Highest Minor Version	Reference
2	0	This document

Table 1: JSContact Version Registry

7.2. Update to the JSContact Properties Registry

IANA will update the "JSContact Properties" registry, originally created in Section 3.5 of [RFC9553]: In the "Reference/Description" column of the uid property, it will add a reference to Section 4 of this document.

7.3. Update to the vCard Parameters Registry

IANA will update the "vCard Parameters" registry within the "vCard Elements" registry. It will add the following entry:

Namespace	Parameter	Reference
	JSCOMPS	Section 3.3.1 of [RFC9555]

Table 2: vCard Parameters Registry

8. Security Considerations

This document does not provide new security considerations. The security considerations of Section 4 of [RFC9553] apply.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC6350] Perreault, S., "vCard Format Specification", RFC 6350, DOI 10.17487/RFC6350, August 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6350>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC9553] Stepanek, R. and M. Loffredo, "JSContact: A JSON Representation of Contact Data", RFC 9553, DOI 10.17487/RFC9553, May 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9553>>.
- [RFC9555] Loffredo, M. and R. Stepanek, "JSContact: Converting from and to vCard", RFC 9555, DOI 10.17487/RFC9555, May 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9555>>.

9.2. Informative References

- [RFC6352] Daboo, C., "CardDAV: vCard Extensions to Web Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WebDAV)", RFC 6352, DOI 10.17487/RFC6352, August 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6352>>.
- [RFC9083] Hollenbeck, S. and A. Newton, "JSON Responses for the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)", STD 95, RFC 9083, DOI 10.17487/RFC9083, June 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9083>>.
- [RFC9610] Jenkins, N., Ed., "JSON Meta Application Protocol (JMAP) for Contacts", RFC 9610, DOI 10.17487/RFC9610, December 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9610>>.

Author's Address

Robert Stepanek
Fastmail
PO Box 234
Collins St. West
Melbourne VIC 8007
Australia
Email: rsto@fastmailteam.com