

Limited Additional Mechanisms for PKIX and SMIME
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 7 May 2026

R. Housley
Vigil Security
C. Bonnell
DigiCert
J. Mandel
AKAYLA
T. Okubo
Penguin Securities
3 November 2025

Media Access Control (MAC) Addresses in X.509 Certificates
draft-housley-lamps-macaddress-on-02

Abstract

This document defines a new otherName for inclusion in the X.509 Subject Alternative Name (SAN) and Issuer Alternative Name (IAN) extensions to carry an IEEE Media Access Control (MAC) address. The new name form makes it possible to bind a layer-2 interface identifier to a public key certificate. Additionally, this document defines how constraints on this name form can be encoded and processed in the X.509 Name Constraints extension.

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at <https://CBonnell.github.io/draft-housley-lamps-macaddress-on/draft-housley-lamps-macaddress-on.html>. Status information for this document may be found at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-housley-lamps-macaddress-on/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the Limited Additional Mechanisms for PKIX and SMIME Working Group mailing list (<mailto:spasm@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/spasm/>. Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/spasm/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/CBonnell/draft-housley-lamps-macaddress-on>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 7 May 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Conventions and Definitions	3
3. MACAddress otherName	3
3.1. Encoding a MACAddress as an alternative name	3
3.2. Encoding a MACAddress constraint	4
3.3. Generation and Validation Rules	4
3.4. Name Constraints Processing	5
4. Security Considerations	6
4.1. Privacy Considerations	6
5. IANA Considerations	6
6. ASN.1 Module	6
7. MAC Address otherName Examples	7
7.1. EUI-48 identifier	7
7.2. EUI-64 identifier	8
7.3. EUI-48 constraint for universal, unicast addresses	8
8. Normative References	8
Acknowledgments	9
Authors' Addresses	9

1. Introduction

Deployments that use X.509 certificates to identify a device by a Media Access Control (MAC) address need a standard way to encode it in the Subject Alternative Name (SAN) extension defined in [RFC5280]. This document defines a new otherName form "MACAddress". The name form carries either a 48-bit IEEE 802 MAC address (EUI-48) or a 64-bit extended identifier (EUI-64) in an OCTET STRING. Additionally, the name form also can convey constraints on EUI-48 or EUI-64 values when included in the Name Constraints extension defined in [RFC5280]. The new name form enables certificate-based authentication at layer 2 and facilitates secure provisioning in Internet-of-Things and automotive networks.

2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. MACAddress otherName

The new name form is identified by the object identifier (OID) id-on-MACAddress (TBD1). The name form has variants to convey a EUI-48 as an OCTET STRING comprising of 6 octets, or a EUI-64 as an OCTET STRING comprising of 8 octets. Constraints on EUI-48 and EUI-64 values are conveyed as OCTET STRINGS whose lengths are twice the octet length of the identifiers. The first set of N octets (where N is the length of the address octets) define the bit pattern of the constraint that the address must match, and the second set of N octets defines the bit mask that defines the set of significant bits in the bit pattern.

The following sub-sections describe how to encode EUI-48 and EUI-64 values and their corresponding constraints.

3.1. Encoding a MACAddress as an alternative name

When the name form is included in a Subject Alternative Name or Issuer Alternate Name extension, the syntax consists of exactly six or eight octets. Values are encoded with the most significant octet encoded first ("big-endian" or "left-to-right" encoding). No text representation is permitted in the certificate, as human-readable forms such as "00-24-98-7B-19-02" or "0024.987B.1902" are used only in management interfaces. When a device natively possesses a 48-bit MAC identifier, the CA MUST encode it using a 6-octet OCTET STRING as

the MACAddress value. When the device's factory identifier is a 64-bit EUI-64 or when no canonical 48-bit form exists, the CA MUST encode it using an 8-octet OCTET STRING as the MACAddress value.

3.2. Encoding a MACAddress constraint

When the name form is included in the Name Constraints extension, the syntax consists of an OCTET STRING that is twice as long as the OCTET STRING representation of the address type being constrained. Within the OCTET STRING, two elements are encoded:

1. The first set of N octets (where N is 6 for an EUI-48 constraint or 8 for an EUI-64 constraint) contains the "value bit pattern". This bit pattern encodes the bits that the masked address must contain to be considered a match.
2. The second set of N octets encodes the "mask bit pattern" of the constraint. Each bit that is asserted in the mask bit pattern indicates that the bit in the same position in the address is constrained by the first set of N octets.

The bit patterns encoded in both the value bit pattern and mask bit pattern are encoded with the most significant bit encoded first ("big-endian" or "left-to-right" encoding).

If a bit is not asserted in the mask bit pattern, then the CA MUST NOT assert the corresponding bit in the value bit pattern. This rule ensures that a canonical encoding is used for a given mask bit pattern and value bit pattern.

3.3. Generation and Validation Rules

A certificate MAY include one or more MACAddress otherName values if and only if the subject device owns (or is expected to own) the corresponding MAC address for the certificate lifetime. MAC addresses SHOULD NOT appear in more than one valid certificate issued by the same Certification Authority (CA) at the same time, unless different layer-2 interfaces share a public key.

A Relying party that matches a presented MAC address to a certificate SHALL perform a byte-for-byte comparison of the OCTET STRING contents. Canonicalization, case folding, or removal of delimiter characters MUST NOT be performed.

Wildcards are not supported.

Self-signed certificates that carry a MACAddress otherName SHOULD include the address of one of the device's physical ports.

3.4. Name Constraints Processing

The MACAddress otherName follows the general rules for otherName constraints in RFC 5280, Section 4.2.1.10. A name constraints extension MAY impose permittedSubtrees and excludedSubtrees on id-on-MACAddress.

To determine if a constraint matches a given name, the certificate-consuming application performs the following algorithm:

1. If the name is 6 octets (representing an EUI-48 value) and the constraint is 16 octets (representing an EUI-64 constraint), then the name does not match the constraint.
2. If the name is 8 octets (representing an EUI-64 value) and the constraint is 12 octets (representing an EUI-48 constraint), then the name does not match the constraint.
3. Extract the value bit pattern from the upper (big-endian) N octets of the constraint, where N is "6" for EUI-48 identifiers and "8" for EUI-64 identifiers.
4. Extract the mask bit pattern from the lower (big-endian) N octets of the constraint, where N is "6" for EUI-48 identifiers and "8" for EUI-64 identifiers.
5. Perform an exclusive OR (XOR) operation with the value bit string extracted in step 3 and the octets of the name value.
6. Perform a bitwise AND operation with the bit string calculated in step 5 and the mask bit pattern.
7. If the result of step 6 is a bit string consisting of entirely zeros, then the name matches the constraint. Conversely, if the result of the operation is a bit string with at least one bit asserted, then the name does not match the constraint.

The algorithm can be alternatively expressed as:

```
2 * length (name) == length (constraint) &&
((constraint.value_bit_pattern ^ name) & constraint.mask_bit_pattern)
== 0
```

Implementations are not required to implement this algorithm, but MUST calculate an identical result to this algorithm for a given set of inputs.

4. Security Considerations

The binding of a MAC address to a certificate is only as strong as the CA's validation process. CAs MUST verify that the subscriber legitimately controls or owns the asserted MAC address.

Some systems dynamically assign or share MAC addresses. Such practices can undermine the uniqueness and accountability that this name form aims to provide.

Unlike IP addresses, MAC addresses are not typically routed across layer 3 boundaries. Relying parties in different broadcast domains SHOULD NOT assume uniqueness beyond their local network.

4.1. Privacy Considerations

A MAC address can uniquely identify a physical device and by extension, its user. Certificates that embed unchanging MAC addresses facilitate long-term device tracking. Deployments that use the MACAddress name SHOULD consider rotating addresses, using temporary certificates, or employing MAC Address Randomization where feasible.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to make the following assignments in the "SMI Security for PKIX Module Identifier" (1.3.6.1.5.5.7.0) registry:

Decimal	Description	References
TBD0	id-mod-mac-address-other-name-2025	This document

IANA is requested to make the following assignment in the "SMI Security for PKIX Other Name Forms" (1.3.6.1.5.5.7.8) registry:

Decimal	Description	References
TBD1	id-on-MACAddress	This document

6. ASN.1 Module

This Appendix contains the ASN.1 Module for the MAC Address; it follows the conventions established by [RFC5912].

```
MACAddressOtherName-2025
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
  security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
  id-mod-mac-address-other-name-2025(TBD0) }

DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN

IMPORTS
  OTHER-NAME FROM PKIX1Implicit-2009
    { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
      security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
      id-mod-pkix1-implicit-02(59) }

  id-pkix FROM PKIX1Explicit-2009
    { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
      security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
      id-mod-pkix1-explicit-02(51) } ;

-- id-pkix 8 is the otherName arc
id-on OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-pkix 8 }

-- OID for this name form
id-on-MACAddress OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-on TBD1 }

-- Contents of the otherName field
MACAddressOtherNames OTHER-NAME ::= { on-MACAddress, ... }

on-MACAddress OTHER-NAME ::= {
  MACAddress IDENTIFIED BY id-on-MACAddress }

MACAddress ::= OCTET STRING (SIZE (6 | 8 | 12 | 16))

END
```

7. MAC Address otherName Examples

7.1. EUI-48 identifier

The following is a human-readable summary of the Subject Alternative Name extension from a certificate containing a single MACAddress otherName with value 00-24-98-7B-19-02:

```
SEQUENCE {
  otherName [0] {
    OBJECT IDENTIFIER id-on-MACAddress (TBD)
    [0] OCTET STRING '0024987B1902'H
  }
}
```

7.2. EUI-64 identifier

An EUI-64 example (AC-DE-48-00-11-22-33-44):

```
[0] OCTET STRING 'ACDE480011223344'H
```

7.3. EUI-48 constraint for universal, unicast addresses

The first octet of a MAC address contains two flag bits. IEEE bit numbering has bit '0' as the least significant bit of the octet.

* I/G bit (bit 0) 0 = unicast, 1 = multicast. Multicast prefixes are never OUIs.

* U/L bit (bit 1) 0 = universal (IEEE-assigned), 1 = local.

These flags let the implementations exclude multicast and local addresses but still cannot prove that a 24-bit value is an IEEE-registered OUI. 36-bit CIDs share the same first 24 bits and enterprises MAY deploy pseudo-OUIs. CAs MUST include only addresses the subscriber legitimately controls (registered OUI or CID). Before issuing a certificate that contains a MACAddress or a name constraint based on such a permitted set of addresses, the CA MUST verify that control: for example, by consulting the IEEE registry or reviewing manufacturer documentation.

The following constraint definition constrains EUI-48 values to only those are universal and unicast; locally assigned or multicast values will not match the constraint.

```
[0] OCTET STRING '02000000000000300000000000'H
```

8. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2119>>.

- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, DOI 10.17487/RFC5280, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5280>>.
- [RFC5912] Hoffman, P. and J. Schaad, "New ASN.1 Modules for the Public Key Infrastructure Using X.509 (PKIX)", RFC 5912, DOI 10.17487/RFC5912, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5912>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

Acknowledgments

We thank the participants on the LAMPS Working Group mailing list for their insightful feedback and comments. In particular, the authors extend sincere appreciation to David von Oheimb, John Mattsson, and Michael StJohns for their reviews and suggestions, which greatly improved the quality of this document.

Authors' Addresses

Russ Housley
Vigil Security, LLC
Email: housley@vigilsec.com

Corey Bonnell
DigiCert, Inc.
Email: corey.bonnell@digicert.com

Joe Mandel
AKAYLA, Inc.
Email: joe@akayla.com

Tomofumi Okubo
Penguin Securities Pte. Ltd.
Email: tomofumi.okubo+ietf@gmail.com