

SPRING Working Group
Internet Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: December 20, 2025

L. Gong
China Mobile
C. Lin
New H3C Technologies
R. Chen
ZTE Corporation
Y. Qiu
New H3C Technologies
June 18, 2025

YANG Data Model for SR Policy Group
draft-gong-spring-sr-policy-group-yang-02

Abstract

This document defines YANG data models for Segment Routing (SR) Policy group that can be used for configuring, instantiating, and managing SR Policy groups. The model is generic and apply equally to the MPLS and SRv6 instantiations of SR policy groups.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 20, 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in

Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Terminology	3
1.2. Conventions Used in This Document	3
1.3. Tree Diagrams	4
1.4. Prefixes in Data Node Names	4
2. Model Overview	4
3. SR Policy Group YANG Module	4
3.1. Tree View	4
3.2. Yang Module	6
4. Security Considerations	12
5. IANA Considerations	12
6. References	12
6.1. Normative References	12
6.2. Informative References	13
Authors' Addresses	14

1. Introduction

The Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) [RFC6241] defines mechanisms to manage network devices. YANG [RFC6020] is a modular language that represents data structures in an XML tree format, and is used as a data modeling language for the NETCONF.

[I-D.cheng-spring-sr-policy-group] describes SR policy Group in MPLS and IPv6 environments. It illustrates some use cases for parent SR policy and SR Policy Group to provide best practice cases for operators.

[I-D.ietf-spring-sr-policy-yang] defines a YANG data model for SR Policy that can be used for configuring, instantiating, and managing SR policies.

This document defines YANG data models for SR Policy group that can be used for configuring, instantiating, and managing SR Policy groups. The model is generic and apply equally to the MPLS and SRv6 instantiations of SR policy groups.

1.1. Terminology

The terminology for describing YANG data models is found in [RFC6020] and [RFC7950], including:

- o augment
- o data model
- o data node
- o identity
- o module

The definitions of the basic terms are identical to those found in Segment Routing Architecture [RFC8402], Segment Routing Policy Architecture [RFC9256], and Segment Routing Policy group [I-D.cheng-spring-sr-policy-group].

1.2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.3. Tree Diagrams

Tree diagrams used in this document follow the notation defined in [RFC8340].

1.4. Prefixes in Data Node Names

In this document, names of data nodes, actions, and other data model objects are often used without a prefix, as long as it is clear from the context in which YANG module each name is defined. Otherwise, names are prefixed using the standard prefix associated with the corresponding YANG module, as shown in Table 1.

Prefix	YANG module	Reference
rt	ietf-routing	[RFC8349]

Table 1

2. Model Overview

SR Policy group YANG data model are defined in this document.

The ietf-sr-policy-group.yang data model provides the methods for configuring and managing SR Policy group. It includes:

- * Configuration of SR Policy group: Container that defines list of policy groups with their attributes, such as service identification methods, mapping relationship between DSCP and color, mapping relationship between service class and color etc.
- * The operational state of SR Policy group, such as the state related to configuration items.

3. SR Policy Group YANG Module

3.1. Tree View

Following diagram depicts high level yang organization and hierarchy for an SR policy group specification:

```

Segment-routing
  Traffic-engineering
  + attributes
  |   + .....
  + policies
  |   policy* [color endpoint]
  |   .....
  + sr-policy-groups
    group* [group-color]
    + name
    + description
    + forward-based-dscp
    |   + best-effort-dscp-list
    |   + dscp-mismatch-drop-v4
    |   + dscp-mismatch-drop-v6
    |   + dscp-color-mapping-entries
    |       mapping-entry* [policy-color dataplane]
    |       dscp-list
    |       .....
    + forward-based-dot1q
    |   + best-effort-dot1q-list
    |   + dot1q-mismatch-drop
    |   + dot1q-color-mapping-entries
    |       mapping-entry* [policy-color]
    |       dot1q-list
    |       .....
    + forward-based-serviceclass
    |   + best-effort-classlist
    |   + class-mismatch-drop
    |   + class-color-mapping-entries
    |       mapping-entry* [policy-color]
    |       class-list
    |       .....

```

SR Policy group YANG data model augments `/rt:routing` specified in [RFC8349] and specifies the configuration and operational state to manage the SR Policy groups.

The complete tree of the ietf-sr-policy-group.yang data model is represented as following. See [RFC8340] for an explanation of the symbols used.

```

module: ietf-sr-policy-group
augment /rt:routing:
  +--rw sr-policy-groups
    +--rw group* [group-color]
      +--rw group-color          uint32
      +--rw name?                string
      +--rw description?         string
      +--rw service-distinguish-method enumeration
      +--rw forward-based-dscp
        +--rw best-effort-dscp-list-v4 string
        +--rw best-effort-dscp-list-v6 string
        +--rw dscp-mismatch-drop      Boolean
        +--rw dscp-color-mapping-entries
          +--rw mapping-entry* [policy-color dataplane]
            +--rw policy-color      uint32
            +--rw dataplane         dataplane-type
            +--rw dscp-list         string
            +--rw is-as-default?    Boolean
      +--rw forward-based-dotlq
        +--rw best-effort-dotlq      string
        +--rw dotlq-mismatch-drop    Boolean
        +--rw dotlq-color-mapping-entries
          +--rw mapping-entry* [policy-color]
            +--rw policy-color      uint32
            +--rw dotlq-list        string
            +--rw is-as-default?    Boolean
      +--rw forward-based-serviceclass
        +--rw best-effort-classlist  string
        +--rw class-mismatch-drop    Boolean
        +--rw class-color-mapping-entries
          +--rw mapping-entry* [policy-color]
            +--rw policy-color      uint32
            +--rw class-list        string
            +--rw is-as-default?    Boolean

```

3.2. Yang Module

```

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-sr-policy-group@2024-05-22.yang"
module ietf-sr-policy-group {
  yang-version "1.1";
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-sr-policy-group";
  prefix "sr-policy-group";

  import ietf-routing {
    prefix rt;
    reference
      "RFC 8349, A YANG Data Model for Routing Management
       (NMDA Version).";
  }
}

```

```
organization
  "IETF SPRING Working Group";

contact
  "TBD";

description
  "This module describes a YANG model for configuring and managing
  SR Policy Groups.

  This YANG model conforms to the Network Management
  Datastore Architecture (NMDA) as described in RFC 8342.

  Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
  authors of the code. All rights reserved.

  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
  without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to
  the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set
  forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
  Relating to IETF Documents
  (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

  This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX;
  see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

  The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL
  NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED',
  'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as
  described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
  they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";
```

```
revision 2024-05-22 {
  description
    "Initial Version";
  reference
    "draft-ietf-spring-sr-policy-yang-02, YANG Data Model for
    Segment Routing Policy";
}

typedef dataplane-type {
  description "Dataplane type of segment routing";
  type enumeration {
    enum mpls {
      value 1;
      description "Segment-routing MPLS";
    }
    enum srv6 {
      value 2;
      description "Segment-routing v6";
    }
  }
}
```

```

    }
  }
}

augment "/rt:routing" {
  description
    "SR Policy Group augmentation to the segment routing traffic
    engineering model.";
  container sr-policy-groups {
    description
      "Configuration parameters of SR Policy Group.";
    list sr-policy-group {
      key "group-color";
      description
        "Each entry contains parameters for SR Policy Group
        identified by the 'color' key.";
      leaf group-color {
        type uint32 {
          range "1..4294967295";
        }
        mandatory true;
        description "Color associated with the policy group";
      }
      leaf name {
        type string {
          length "1..59";
        }
        description "SR Policy group name";
      }
      leaf description {
        type string;
        description "Description of the policy group";
      }
      leaf service-distinguish-method {
        type enumeration {
          enum DSCP-based {
            value 1;
            description "Distinguish service type based on DSCP
            in the message";
          }
          enum dot1q-based {
            value 2;
            description "Distinguish service type based on dot1q";
          }
          enum ServiceClass-based {
            value 3;
            description "Distinguish service type based on service
            class";
          }
          enum None {

```



```
        value 4;
        description "Not configure service type recognition
            method";
    }
}
description "Service traffic steering methods within SR
    policy group";
}
container forward-based-dscp {
    description "parameters of DSCP based steering traffic
        for SR policy group";
    leaf best-effort-dscp-list-v4 {
        type string;
        description "DSCP values for IPv4 packets matching
            best-effort. It is a comma-separated list of DSCP
            values. Each item can be an individual DSCP value
            or a DSCP value range. Use a tilde (~) to separate
            the start and end DSCP values of a range.
            Example: 1,2,3,5~8.";
    }
    leaf best-effort-dscp-list-v6 {
        type string;
        description "DSCP values for IPv6 packets matching
            best-effort. It is a comma-separated list of DSCP
            values. Each item can be an individual DSCP value
            or a DSCP value range. Use a tilde (~) to separate
            the start and end DSCP values of a range.
            Example: 1,2,3,5~8.";
    }
}
leaf dscp-mismatch-drop {
    type boolean;
    default "false";
    description "When all DSCP values configured for
        best effort forwarding and SR policy forwarding do
        not match, and no default forwarding path is
        specified, discard traffic.";
}
container dscp-color-mapping-entries {
    description "DSCP-color mapping table";
    list mapping-entry {
        key "policy-color dataplane";
        description "This table contains color-DSCP mappings
            for an SRv6 policy group.";
        leaf policy-color {
            type uint32 {
                range "1..4294967295";
            }
            mandatory true;
            description "Color associated with the policy
                group";
        }
    }
}
```

```
    }
    leaf dataplane {
        type dataplane-type;
        mandatory true;
        description "The data plane type of the mapping
            relationship between DSCP and color";
    }
    leaf dscp-list {
        type string;
        description "DSCP values for packets matching.
            It is a comma-separated list of DSCP values.
            Each item can be an individual DSCP value or
            a DSCP value range. Use a tilde (~) to separate
            the start and end DSCP values of a range.
            Example: 1,2,3,5~8.";
    }
    leaf is-as-default {
        type boolean;
        default false;
        description "Whether to use the SRv6 policy
            with this color as the default policy for
            packets";
    }
}
}
}
container forward-based-dotlq {
    description "parameters of dotlq based steering
        traffic for SR policy group";
    leaf best-effort-dotlq-list {
        type string;
        description "Dotlq values for packets matching
            best-effort. It is a comma-separated list of
            dotlq values. Each item can be an individual
            dotlq value or a dotlq value range. Use a
            tilde (~) to separate the start and end dotlq
            values of a range. Example: 1,2,3,5~8.";
    }
    leaf dotlq-mismatch-drop {
        type boolean;
        default "false";
        description "When all dotlq values configured
            for best effort forwarding and SR policy
            forwarding do not match, and no default
            forwarding path is specified, discard traffic.";
    }
    container dotlq-color-mapping-entries {
        description "Dotlq-color mapping table";
        list mapping-entry {
            key "policy-color";
```

```
description "This table contains color-dot1q
  mappings for an SRv6 policy group.";
leaf policy-color {
  type uint32 {
    range "1..4294967295";
  }
  mandatory true;
  description "Color associated with the policy
    group";
}
leaf dot1q-list {
  type string;
  description "Dot1q values for packets
    matching. It is a comma-separated list of
    dot1q values. Each item can be an individual
    dot1q value or a dot1q value range. Use a tilde
    (~) to separate the start and end dot1q values
    of a range. Example: 1,2,3,5~8.";
}
leaf is-as-default {
  type boolean;
  default false;
  description "Whether to use the SRv6 policy
    with this color as the default policy for
    packets";
}
}
}
container class-color-mapping-entries {
  description "Serviceclass-color mapping table";
  list mapping-entry {
    key "policy-color";
    description "This table contains color-serviceclass
      mappings for an SRv6 policy group.";
    leaf policy-color {
      type uint32 {
        range "1..4294967295";
      }
      mandatory true;
      description "Color associated with the policy
        group";
    }
    leaf class-list {
      type string;
      description "Service class values for packets
        matching. It is a comma-separated list of
        service class values. Each item can be an
        individual service class value or a service
        class value range. Use a tilde (~) to separate
        the start and end service class values of
```

```
        a range. Example: 1,2,3,5~8.";
    }
    leaf is-as-default {
        type boolean;
        default false;
        description "Whether to use the SRv6 policy
            with this color as the default policy for
            packets";
    }
}
}
}
} // sr-policy-group
} // augment
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

4. Security Considerations

TBD

5. IANA Considerations

TBD

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6991] Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6991, DOI 10.17487/RFC6991, July 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6991>>.
- [RFC8340] Bjorklund, M. and L. Berger, Ed., "YANG Tree Diagrams", BCP 215, RFC 8340, DOI 10.17487/RFC8340, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8340>>.
- [I-D.cheng-spring-sr-policy-group] Cheng, W., Jiang, W., Lin, C., Qiu, Y., Wei, Y., Chen, R., Liang, R., "SR Policy Group", draft-cheng-spring-sr-policy-group-03 (work in progress), September 2023.

[I-D.ietf-spring-sr-policy-yang] Raza, K., Sawaya, R., Shunwan, Z., Voyer, D., Durrani, M., Matsushima, S., Beeram, V., "YANG Data Model for Segment Routing Policy", draft-ietf-spring-sr-policy-yang-02 (work in progress), September 2022.

6.2. Informative References

XXX

Authors' Addresses

Liyan Gong
China Mobile
China
Email: gongliyan@chinamobile.com

Changwang Lin
New H3C Technologies
China
Email: linchangwang.04414@h3c.com

Ran Chen
ZTE Corporation
China
Email: chen.ran@zte.com.cn

Yuanxiang Qiu
New H3C Technologies
China
Email: qiuyuanxiang@h3c.com

