

ANIMA  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: 8 January 2026

T.T.E. Eckert  
Futurewei  
M. Boucadair  
C. Jacquenet  
Orange  
M. Behringer  
7 July 2025

Autoconfiguration of infrastructure services in ACP networks via DNS-SD  
over GRASP  
draft-eckert-anima-services-dns-autoconfig-06

Abstract

This document defines standards that enable autoconfiguration of fundamental centralized or decentralized network infrastructure services on ACP network nodes via GRASP. These are primarily the services required for initial bootstrapping of a network but will persist through the lifecycles of the network. These services include secure remote access to zero-touch bootstrapped ANI devices via SSH/Netconf with Radius/Diameter authentication and authorization and provides lifecycle autoconfiguration for other crucial services such as syslog, NTP (clock synchronization) and DNS for operational purposes.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 8 January 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Overview	2
1.2. ACP nodes supporting services autoconfiguration	3
1.3. Use of ACP GRASP for autoconfiguration	4
1.4. GRASP parameters	5
2. Services	8
2.1. Syslog	8
2.2. NTP	11
2.3. DNS for operations	12
2.4. Radius	14
2.5. Diameter	14
2.6. SSH server	15
3. Security Considerations	15
4. Acknowledgments	16
5. Change log [RFC Editor: Please remove]	16
6. References	16
6.1. Normative References	16
6.2. Informative References	17
Authors' Addresses	18

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Overview

This document defines to support the autoconfiguration of Autonomic Control Plane (ACP, [RFC8994]) nodes for fundamental decentralized network services via DNS-SD GRASP, utilizing a new proposal mapping of DNS-SD ([RFC6763]) onto GRASP as its hop-by-hop multicast transport and encoding of messages.

One key purpose of this autoconfiguration is the seamless step from zero-touch bootstrap in ANI devices over to a securely remotely manageable ACP node.

Bootstrapping ANI devices via BRSKI into a running ACP can be seen as so-called "Day-0" bootstrap. If devices do not have BRSKI, then this "Day-0" may include pre-staging of devices with the required ACP

domain credentials. The mechanisms described in this document then start with what maybe could be called "Day-1" bootstrap: Auto-configuring the required functions for remote, secure access to ANI/ACP devices.

The services identified to be required for "Day-1" start with bootstrapping NTP clock synchronization across ACP/ANI nodes sufficient to validate certificates used across the ACP, establishment of user/role based authentication via Radius or diameter, autoconfiguration of the required remote-access methods to remotely access ACP/ANI nodes, SSH and NetConf with user/role based authentication. Last, but not least, in the absence of better registration mechanisms, syslog, which is also proposed to be autoconfigured via the mechanisms of this document can also serve as a "Day-1" mechanism to inform other sysems about the status of ACP/ANI devices.

All autoconfiguration provided for Day-1 stays valuable and continues to operate through the lifetime of the ANI/ACP devices, so called "Day-N" services. This allows especially to change decentralized servers such as diameter/radius/NTP/syslog servers in case of failures, load-balancing or when moving devices to different locations in the network where better local server instances should be used.

[RFC8368] was written on the simple assumption that all server instances for services described in this document, NTP, Radius/Diameter, Syslog and so on are located in a so called 'Network Operations Center'. This was at the writing of [RFC8368] how this was done and called in various, mostly Enterprise networks, but is today not necessarily a good way to capture all possible deployment options. For example, server instances can today with distributed Point of Presence (POP) and edge data-centers much easier decentralized for resilience, performance and cost. Therefore, this document avoids limiting its applicability to just one "NOC" deployment option.

## 1.2. ACP nodes supporting services autoconfiguration

This document introduces the term ACPna nodes to indicate nodes supporting ACP that also support the requirements described in this document: ACP (n)oc (a)utoconfigurable.

If an ACPna node supports zero-touch bootstrap of the ACP where no configuration is possible before the ACP is enabled, then the services autoconfiguration features described in this document SHOULD be enabled by default on such an ACPna node after this zero-touch bootstrap, because the autoconfiguration of these services can be the

only method for the ACPna node to become operationally accessible from OAM systems so that it can further be configured. ANI nodes are nodes supporting ACP and BRSKI ([RFC8995]). BRSKI bootstrap is an instance of such a zero-touch bootstrap requiring auto-enablement of autoconfiguration after zero-toch bootstrap.

If an ACPna node was not zero-touch bootstrapped, then autoconfiguration SHOULD be enabled whenever ACP is enabled but may be separately configurable.

### 1.3. Use of ACP GRASP for autoconfiguration

Autoconfiguration of ACNna services utilizes the ACP instance of GRASP, ([RFC8990] as defined in [RFC8994]. It leverages and extends the GRASP objective definitions of [I-D.eckert-anima-grasp-dnssd]. Thos objective elements allow to create DNS-SD compatible service announcements with flexible priority/weight and distance based selection across multiple service instances and per-service parameters.

Nodes supporting a particular service announce it via the appropriate GRASP objective into ACP GRASP. The nodes therefore need to have access to the ACP, either directly because they are ACP nodes or because they use the ACP connect function (see [RFC8994]). ACPna nodes receive these announcements and auto-configure the services tied to them. In most instances, the service announcement is from server that a client instance on the ACPna node connects to, for example a syslog server in the POP/NOC or other location with compute. In another instance, the service is that of an authentication service and the ACPna nodes will enable a server instance that leverages the authentication service elsewhere in the network.

Note: Currently, this document does not define the option of an mDNS/ DNS-SD -> ACP GRASP gateway function to enable service nodes without GRASP implementations to utilize mDNS/DNS-SD to announce their services and then expect an appropriate translation function to convert these announcements into GRASP objectives. This document does define all the GRASP objectives so that that it would be possible to define such a gateway function, but some loss of functionality would exist. For once, GRASP does support network distance based service selection (e.g., select a server from the closest service node location), whereas no such mechanism exists in DNS-SD. In addition, this documen believes that support of GRASP software to announce services from service systems is very easy to accomplish.

#### 1.4. GRASP parameters

Unless otherwise described, all GRASP objective announcements described in this document SHOULD default to the following GRASP parameters. These parameters MAY all be configurable on the service nodes.

- \* M\_FLOOD GRASP message, periodically sent once every 60 second. Random phase vs. full minutes (so different service announcements are distributed over time in the network).
- \* ttl of 210000 msec (3.5 times 60 seconds).
- \* locator-option is the ACP address of the announcing node unless the announcement is done from a third-party, for example if the announcing server does not support GRASP but GRASP is run on another service node.
- \* objective-name is 'SRV.<name>', where <name> is an [RFC6335] registered service name for the service in question.
- \* objective-flags is sync-only, loop-count is 255.
- \* objective-value MUST comply with the requirements of [I-D.eckert-anima-grasp-dnssd].

```
[M_FLOOD, 12340815, h'fd89b714f3db0000200000064000001', 210000,
  ["SRV.syslog", 4, 255,
    { rfcXXXX: {
      &(sender-loop-count:1) => 255,
      &(srv-element:2) => {
        &(msg-type:1) => &(describe: 0),
        &(service:2)  => "syslog",
        &(instance:3) => "east-coast-primary",
        &(priority:5) => 0,
        &(weight:6)   => 65535,
        &(kvpairs:7)  => { "replicate" => 2 },
        &(range:8)   => 2,
      }
    }
  ],
  [O_IPv6_LOCATOR,
    h'fd89b714f3db0000200000064000001', TLS12, 514]
]
```

Figure 1: SRV.syslog example

The above example shows the default values for a "syslog" service announcement using the objective-value elements defined in [I-D.eckert-anima-grasp-dnssd]. SRV.syslog is the standard objective name for the "syslog" service, as is SRV.<any> for the <any> service. The announcer of this objective also provides the syslog service as it is announcing its own address in the locator option. It provides syslog on the standard syslog TCP port 514 using TLS12.

The DNS-SD equivalent service attributes are carried in the srv-element. The msg-type indicates that this objective is a service announcement. The instance of "" indicates that this service announcement is for the ACP itself, and not for e.g. the data-plane. It is shown here just for illustration purposes and can be left out in encoding because it is the default. Likewise, the service element is redundant and shown only for illustrative purposes. Priority and weight have the same semantic as in DNS-SD SRV records. In this case, the service announcement indicates the highest priority (0) and the highest weight (65535). Kvpairs includes service specific options.

Going beyond the capabilities, the range parameter indicates that the client of this service should select this announced service not only by priority/weight but primarily by the distance in terms of network hop-count between this service announcer and the client: The client is expected to select the best service announcement by priority and weight only between alternatives that are not more than two network hops apart in distance to the client. Otherwise the client should pick the closer one.

To allow the client to know the distance to a service announcement, the sender-loop-count parameter is included in the announcement. It MUST be set by the sender to the same value (255 in this example) as the loop-count in the GRASP header. The loop-count in the header is hop-by-hop reduced. When the GRASP message arrives at the client, the difference between sender-loop-count and loop-count is the distance to the service announcer in hops.

```

;
; Following GRASP header definitions from GRASP
;
flood-message = [M_FLOOD, session-id, initiator, ttl,
                 +[objective, (locator-option / [])]]
objective = ["SRV.<rfc6335-name>", objective-flags, loop-count,
            objective-value]

objective-flags = sync-only ; as in GRASP spec
sync-only       = 4        ; M_FLOOD only requires synchronization
loop-count      = 255      ; recommended
;
; Following GRASP objective-value definitions from GRASP DNS-SD
;
objective-value = { 1*elements }
elements        = ( @rfcXXXX: { 1*relement } )
relement       /= ( &(sender-loop-count:1) => 1..255 )
relement       /= ( &(srv-element:2) => context-element )
context-element = {
    ?( &(private:0)      => any),
    ?( &(msg-type:1)     => msg-type),
    ?( &(service:2)      => tstr),
    *( &(instance:3)     => tstr),
    ?( &(domain:4)       => tstr),
    ?( &(priority:5)     => 0..65535 ),
    ?( &(weight:6)       => 0..65535 ),
    *( &(kvpairs:7)      => { *(tstr: any) },
    ?( &(range:8)        => 0..255 ),
    *( &(clocator:9)     => clocator),
}
;
TLS12 = 257

```

Figure 2: GRASP service definition

The above picture shows the complete CDDL definition of a GRASP M\_FLOOD message indicating a service together with the objective-value encoding. Some of the context-element options are not used in this document (TBD - remove before going RFC).

The value 257 is defined to indicate TLS12 ([RFC5246]) to be used in the protocol field of GRASP locators to indicate that a TCP port is intended to be used with TLS version 1.2. Values 1..255 are reserved for IP protocol numbers.

## 2. Services

### 2.1. Syslog

ACPna nodes SHOULD support autoconfiguring of syslog via the SRV.syslog objective.

When an ACPna node discovers one or more SRV.syslog announcements across the ACP, it SHOULD perform syslog operations to the best available discovered server.

Local configuration of syslog on the ACPna node SHOULD have no impact on the autoconfigured syslog operations, or else, misconfiguration could cause to failure of the autoconfigured syslog operations. Instead, configured syslog operations should just operate as ships-in-the-night to the GRASP learned autoconfigured syslog operations.

Severity of syslog messages SHOULD be 5 (Notice) (see [RFC5424]), and all messages that are necessary to support normal remote operations of the node should be assigned severities higher (numerically lower) or equal to 5/Notice.

Syslog service announcements SHOULD include the instance option, indicating the unique name of the service instance described by the GRASP objective. This serves diagnostics and avoids having to identify service instances by the address(es) in the locator-options. In the example Figure 1, the instance name is "east-coast-primary".

The syslog facility value is a choice of the ACPna node, the autoconfigured syslog server must be able to deal with any syslog facility code received. If an ACPna node has no pre-established standard for the facility-code, then the value of local7 (23) MAY be used.

For resilience, it may be appropriate to receive syslog messages on more than one server. A server can indicate this via the "replicate" keyword in the GRASP objective-value kvpair element. The value of the "replicate" keyword indicates the maximum number of syslog servers that the client SHOULD autoconfigure syslog to. After selecting the best service announcement, the client looks up the value N of the "replicate" keyword of that best servers announcement and selects the best N-1 service announcements and ultimately logs to all N. ACPna nodes SHOULD support autoconfigured syslog to up to 3 servers simultaneously.

Autoconfigured syslog SHOULD support TLS1.2, TCP and UDP. Because ACP provides encryption, use of just TCP instead of TLS should be sufficient and may achieve higher performance. Use of UDP should be avoided because of the potential to loose packets and not supporting congestion control.

If a syslog server supports more than one transport option (TLS1.2, TCP, UDP), it SHOULD announce them via a single GRASP objective and list them via clocator options of the srv-element because the locator-option in the GRASP header (as shown in example Figure 1) allows only one locator-option. The order of the clocator options in the indicates the preference of the server. From this list, the client supports the first option supported also by the client and ignore the others. The context of the clocator would normally be "", indicating that the locator-option address is reachable via the ACP.

Instead of (or in addition to) using multiple clocator options, a server can also announce multiple SRV.syslog objectives, but in that case each of them would be considered to be a different service instance considered by the the client when selecting the (set of) best service instances. If a service announcement indicates via the "replicate" keyword that the client should log to three service instances, and announce three separate SRV.syslog objectives, each one with a different locator-option, then the client might select to log to all three of them - instead of - which is more likely the desired option - for the client to log to actually three different servers. Hence the use of multiple clocator options that are examined by clients only after server selection is done.

When a client uses TLS, it MUST use its ACP domain certificate for authentication. Likewise, the syslog server MUST use its ACP domain certificate.

Logging by default uses the ACP, in the clocator option, this is indicated via a context value of "". Servers may also indicate support for logging across the data-plane, which may provide higher performance but may fail if reachability in the data-plane does not exist, so care must be taken when announcing this option. For example, in managed MPLS/VPN networks where the ACP extends across P/PE and CE devices, the global routing table on a CE device is often not the same as that on P/PE devices, and therefore CE devices may not be able to log to "0". In this case, the syslog server should instead announce a deployment choosen name for the context, such as "VRF0". Clients would only take such a clocator into account if there is a local configuration that maps the context name to a routing table. In this example, only P/PE nodes would have this configuration, therefore allowing the CE nodes to ignore this clocator; And if this clocator was the only locator-option in the

GRASP objective, then the whole objective MUST be ignored by the client when selecting the best possible service instance. Note that for contexts other than the ACP (""), both IPv4 and IPv6 are possible, depending on what version(s) of IP are deployed in the data-plane.

Failure to connect to a chosen service instance SHOULD be taken into accounts by clients when selecting service instances to log to. For UDP locator-options, ICMP/ICMPv6 error indications are such connection failures. For TCP/TLS connections, connection failure includes TCP and TLS failures as well as keepalive failures. When failures occur, clients should attempt to re-connect with exponential timeouts, starting with 5 seconds and staying at 320 seconds or until the GRASP service announcement expires and is not refreshed.

When connecting to a server fails, the ACPna client SHOULD connect to the next best available server in the meantime. ACPna client SHOULD support connecting to up to four service instances if any connections fail. If for example the client is logging to two service instances because 2 is indicated in the "replicate" option of the service announcements, and one fails, the client will attempt to re-connect to it while in parallel establishing syslog connection to a third-best service-instance.

When establishing connection to a new syslog service instance, ACPna clients SHOULD log with severity 5 an indication of this event, indicating its own ACP address, the ACP address and if existing instance name of the new syslog service instance and the reason. Like any other autoconfigured syslog message, this would go to all syslog connections and therefore show up on the redundant syslog servers, allowing to recognize failure of connectivity to another syslog server - and tracing of client logs across syslog servers if the client changes them.

Examples:

```
ACP: fd89:b714:f3db::0200:0000:6400:0042 start logging to:
fd89:b714:f3db::0200:0000:6400:0001/east-coast-primary,TLS reason:
starting up
```

```
ACP: fd89:b714:f3db::0200:0000:6400:0042 start logging to:
fd89:b714:f3db::0200:0000:6400:0001/east-coast-primary,TLS reason:
new better service instance
```

```
ACP: fd89:b714:f3db::0200:0000:6400:0042 stop logging to:
fd89:b714:f3db::0200:0000:6400:0001/east-coast-secondary,TLS reason:
connection failure
```

When failures to deliver syslog messages to ANY syslog servers happen, clients SHOULD track the this and indicate loss of messages via the next working syslog connection. Note that due to the possibility of ICMP/ICMPv6 errors, only the successful delivery of messages via TLS or TCP should be tracked. TBD: need to check if this can reasonably be recommended, pending on availability of e.g. TAPS API spec to know whether a TCP write was sent and acknowledged by the receiver (given how there are no reply messages in syslog).

## 2.2. NTP

Time synchronization is one of the most fundamental functionality for network devices for a variety of functions to work and also for diagnostics to be comparable across the network. If problems propagate fast across the network, the client generated timestamp of events in syslog messages (or other diagnostics function) allows to trace event propagation and deduce causality. This may require network clock synchronization in the order of milliseconds, something which is easily achievable in today's network devices via NTP.

ACPna nodes SHOULD support autoconfiguration of clock synchronization through NTP ([RFC5905]) with the following autoconfiguration semantics.

The GRASP objective for NTP is SRV.ntp. This does not distinguish between NTPv4 and NTPv3 because NTPv4 is fully backward compatible with NTPv3, so server and client will negotiate between these two versions.

The kvpair key "stratum" has a numeric value and indicates the stratum or level of a server in a synchronization tree. The value of 1 indicates the root of the distribution tree. Servers that synchronize from the master have a stratum of 2, and so on.

The kvpair key "minpoll" indicates the lowest periodic polling that the client will perform against the server. Announcing a large numeric value allows for a server to reduce the amount of NTP messages from clients, but slows down convergence time of clients number of service instances that simultaneously bootstrap.

The kvpair key "key" indicates the NTPv3 authentication mechanism. When present, clients MUST use the value as the key to perform NTPv3 (MD5) hash authentication of message with this service instance. Note that the encryption of the ACP serves as protection of distributing such a cleartext symmetric key via GRASP to clients.

TBD: Understand NTPv4 autokey and define appropriate kvpair to enable auto-configuring it, especially when the service instance announcement indicates the use of the data-plane.

The autoconfiguration described in the following paragraphs is for leafs of the clock distribution graph, e.g., nodes that do only aim to obtain synchronized time from a server. Configuration of the server hierarchy is left to explicit configuration.

Clients SHOULD select service instance(s) with the worst (highest) stratum value. In the face of multiple equal options, clients have to pick the best ones based on the standard selection criteria priority/weight and range, allowing for distributed NTP server deployment by e.e., setting range to 1, or via centralized deployment with multiple servers, setting range to 255 and priority/weight accordingly. Making the stratum the primary selection criteria allows in the future to also introduce autoconfiguration of servers in the NTP clock distribution tree without incurring the problem that a large number of clients would then select higher stratum servers (and overload them).

Like most other autoconfigured services, the autoconfigured NTP time synchronization SHOULD take precedence over explicit configured NTP options to ensure that time synchronization is not subject to misconfiguration of individual nodes (but only subject to misconfiguration of servers).

The kvpair "TZ" option allows to signal the time zone of the ACP network to clients. Its value is a string indicating the time zone of all nodes in the ACP network. Care must be taken not to use this option in networks extending across multiple time zones. Because time zone distribution does not work automatically across larger networks with multiple time zones, overriding the signalled time zone SHOULD be possible through local configuration.

TBD: references for time zone spec standards and also for DST rule indications.

### 2.3. DNS for operations

Availability of DNS names for network operations/troubleshooting is today mostly an convenience in network operations, but with IPv6 evolving the need to use DNS names even in CLI based network diagnostics is raising - because IPv6 addresses often are more difficult to memorize by operators. More and more network features also support configurition that instead of addresses include domain names or URLs, and ultimately, any non-fully autoconfigured functions should rather rely on domain-names and URLs instead of just addresses

for greater flexibility and reliability in the face of address changes.

In the face of this, ACPna nodes SHOULD support autoconfiguration of DNS for operational purposes. "For operational purposes" implies that the use of the autoconfigured DNS servers SHOULD NOT be used for DNS services offered to users of the data plane, such as DNS proxy services. This would cause the ACP to effectively carry user traffic, whenever a client DNS request to an ACPna node with a DNS proxy would be forwarded to an autoconfigured server via the ACP.

The GRASP objective name for such OAM use of DNS is OAM-DNS. It is explicitly not SRV.dns to highlight that this instance of DNS is copied for operational purposes only to isolate it from user issues (performance across the ACP and attacks). Utilizing different DNS contexts also allows to set up split-horizon DNS where all the operationally relevant DNS names are only made available via the DNS servers or zones available across the ACP.

The value of the "search-list" kvpair option is a ";" (semicolon) separated list of domain name prefixes that should be searched by the client for non-FQDN that they need to resolve. "local-arpa" is the prefix to use for reverse IPv4/IPv6 address lookups. If for example "local-arpa" is set to "arpa.example.com", then the clients should first look up IPv4/IPv6 addresses in "ipv6.arpa.example.com"/"in-addr.arpa.example.com" before resorting to lookup in the Internet global "ipv6.arpa"/"in-addr.arpa.". For RFC1918/ULA addresses, no fallback to the global reverse lookup prefixes should be done.

ACPna nodes SHOULD look up their name via a reverse lookup of their ACP address, and then auto-configure this name.

There are no service specifics for the selection of DNS servers. A ACPna node simply uses the standard priority/weight/range options to select a DNS server. It MAY prefer a server with TCP locator-option simply because that allows in most cases faster discovery of connectivity problems than a UDP connection.

TBD: Note that it is fairly easy to re-use the autoconfiguration scheme described here to provide auto-configuration of DNS for user DNS services with the help of the ACP. The objective name would have to be changed and the locators would have to indicate a data-plane context, so that user requests are carried across the data-plane from DNS proxies to DNS servers. It is unclear if this service should be described in this document though.

## 2.4. Radius

Radius [RFC2865] is a protocol used for AAA service - Authentication, Authorization and Accounting. Autodiscovery of Radius servers across the ACP for ACPna nodes serves the purpose to enable authentication and authorization of other ACPna autoconfigured services such as below described Section 2.6.

ACPna nodes MUST support Radius and/or Diameter autoconfiguration if they support any of the autoconfigured services depending on such an authentication service.

The GRASP objective name is SRV.radius. The UDP or TCP port of the locator-option in the GRASP header or the clocator option indicate the UDP or TCP port of the Radius servers authentication connection. The context of a clocator MUST be "" to indicate the ACP - because the Radius connections MUST pass across the ACP to be protected against eavesdropping - and the radius security methods described here are not sufficiently secure to allow passing them across the data-plane.

The kvpair "secret\_key" string value indicates the secret key to use on the connection to the Radius server. The optional "acct\_port" numeric value indicate the UDP/TCP port of any accounting connection supported by the radius server. The protocol (UDP vs. TCP) is the same as the one in the chosen locator-option/clocator.

There are no service specific selection rules. TCP is preferred for faster recognition of a failed server and reselection of an alternative server.

The specific data/authentication/authorization configuration required on the Radius server depends on the OAM service authenticated/authorized and is described in its section in this document.

TBD: Should we define AVpair or different objective names to distinguish what services can be authenticated? Would be easier if we found another service than SSH/Netconf.

## 2.5. Diameter

TBD. Alternative to Radius. Author would welcome suggesting what parameters are relevant for a diameter authentication service.

## 2.6. SSH server

ACPna nodes supporting SSH server functionality for remote management access via CLI, NETCONF or other methods SHOULD auto-enable SSH server functionality across the ACP whenever they are aware from ACP GRASP of RADIUS (Section 2.4) or DIAMETER (Section 2.5) authentication servers. ACPna nodes that support ACPna SSH server functionality MUST support authentication via either RADIUS and/or Diameter.

If both protocols are supported by the ACPna node, the ACPna node SHOULD select the authentication server based on the service priority parameters across both protocols. E.g., if a RADIUS server has a higher priority in GRASP than the DIAMETER server, the ACPna node should authenticate against the RADIUS server.

When valid authentication server(s) are discovered, the SSH server is autoconfigured. It SHOULD only listen to the standard SSH port with the ACP address of the node but not be reachable from the data-plane. It MUST NOT be modifiable by configuration (only by auto-configuration). If autoconfiguration of an SSH server on the standard SSH port conflicts with explicitly configured SSH server for the data-plane due to software limitations or complexity, the autoconfigured SSH server MAY be started on a node-type specific and not dynamically selected port number. This port number must be well-known to OAM operations as there is no method provided to signal it to the SSH client side.

Note that this document does not define any standards for the exact message options for authentication or authorization. Especially authorization, such as privilege level that permits to change configuration is likely using vendor specific methods, and Radius/Diameter servers must be capable to recognize the type of client as they had to without this autoconfiguration.

## 3. Security Considerations

There is no protection against "unauthorized" ACP nodes to generate service announcements, because there is no authorization scheme in GRASP. Discovery of unauthorized announcers is easy though because the service announcements are flooded across the ACP and are therefore easily visible on nodes that may specifically observe announcements to discover unauthorized ones.

A possible framework to define authorization could rely on defining roles for ACP nodes either through additional parameters in their ACP domain certificate or following initial provisioning, and then lock down the ability for later configuration to enable services (and their GRASP announcements) to only those included in the role assigned to the node. This is outside the scope of this document.

#### 4. Acknowledgments

Thanks to Ignas Bagdonas for deployment / applicability / terminology input and to Balaji BL, Ravi Kumar Vadapalli for their original implementation of the concept.

#### 5. Change log [RFC Editor: Please remove]

draft-eckert-anima-services-dns-autoconfig

05: Refresh.

04: Refresh.

03: Refresh.

02: Refresh.

01: Refresh.

00: Renaming from 'noc-autoconfig' after a long discussion with Ignas Bagdonas: replaced all mention of NOC with "infrastructure / decentralized" services, because the term NOC seems to be a terminology that does not well match how it is called in many type of networks.

draft-eckert-anima-noc-autoconfig (2018)

00: Initial version.

#### 6. References

##### 6.1. Normative References

[I-D.eckert-anima-grasp-dnssd]

Eckert, T. T., Boucadair, M., Jacquenet, C., and M. H. Behringer, "DNS-SD Compatible Service Discovery in Generic Autonomic Signaling Protocol (GRASP)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-eckert-anima-grasp-dnssd-07, 7 July 2024, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-eckert-anima-grasp-dnssd-07>>.

- [RFC2865] Rigney, C., Willens, S., Rubens, A., and W. Simpson, "Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS)", RFC 2865, DOI 10.17487/RFC2865, June 2000, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2865>>.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.
- [RFC5424] Gerhards, R., "The Syslog Protocol", RFC 5424, DOI 10.17487/RFC5424, March 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5424>>.
- [RFC5905] Mills, D., Martin, J., Ed., Burbank, J., and W. Kasch, "Network Time Protocol Version 4: Protocol and Algorithms Specification", RFC 5905, DOI 10.17487/RFC5905, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5905>>.
- [RFC6335] Cotton, M., Eggert, L., Touch, J., Westerlund, M., and S. Cheshire, "Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Procedures for the Management of the Service Name and Transport Protocol Port Number Registry", BCP 165, RFC 6335, DOI 10.17487/RFC6335, August 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6335>>.
- [RFC6763] Cheshire, S. and M. Krochmal, "DNS-Based Service Discovery", RFC 6763, DOI 10.17487/RFC6763, February 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6763>>.
- [RFC8368] Eckert, T., Ed. and M. Behringer, "Using an Autonomic Control Plane for Stable Connectivity of Network Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM)", RFC 8368, DOI 10.17487/RFC8368, May 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8368>>.
- [RFC8990] Bormann, C., Carpenter, B., Ed., and B. Liu, Ed., "GeneRic Autonomic Signaling Protocol (GRASP)", RFC 8990, DOI 10.17487/RFC8990, May 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8990>>.
- [RFC8994] Eckert, T., Ed., Behringer, M., Ed., and S. Bjarnason, "An Autonomic Control Plane (ACP)", RFC 8994, DOI 10.17487/RFC8994, May 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8994>>.

## 6.2. Informative References

[RFC8995] Pritikin, M., Richardson, M., Eckert, T., Behringer, M.,  
and K. Watsen, "Bootstrapping Remote Secure Key  
Infrastructure (BRSKI)", RFC 8995, DOI 10.17487/RFC8995,  
May 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8995>>.

#### Authors' Addresses

Toerless Eckert  
Futurewei Technologies USA Inc.  
United States of America  
Email: [tte+ietf@cs.fau.de](mailto:tte+ietf@cs.fau.de)

Mohamed Boucadair  
Orange  
35000 Rennes  
France  
Email: [mohamed.boucadair@orange.com](mailto:mohamed.boucadair@orange.com)

Christian Jacquenet  
Orange  
Email: [christian.jacquenet@orange.com](mailto:christian.jacquenet@orange.com)

Michael H. Behringer  
Email: [michael.h.behringer@gmail.com](mailto:michael.h.behringer@gmail.com)