

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: 7 February 2026

F. Denis
Fastly Inc.
6 August 2025

Methods for IP Address Encryption and Obfuscation draft-denis-ipcrypt-05

Abstract

This document specifies methods for encrypting and obfuscating IP addresses for privacy-preserving storage, logging, and analytics. These encrypted addresses enable data analysis while protecting user privacy, addressing concerns raised in [RFC6973] and [RFC7258] regarding pervasive monitoring.

Three concrete instantiations are defined: `ipcrypt-deterministic` provides deterministic, format-preserving encryption, while `ipcrypt-nd` and `ipcrypt-ndx` introduce randomness to prevent correlation. All methods are reversible with the encryption key.

Discussion Venues

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/jedisctl/draft-denis-ipcrypt>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 7 February 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Use Cases and Motivations	3
1.2. Relationship to IETF Work	4
2. Terminology	5
3. IP Address Conversion	5
3.1. Converting to a 16-Byte Representation	5
3.1.1. IPv6 Addresses	6
3.1.2. IPv4 Addresses	6
3.2. Converting from a 16-Byte Representation to an IP Address	6
4. Generic Constructions	6
5. Deterministic Encryption	7
5.1. ipcrypt-deterministic	7
5.2. Format Preservation	8
6. Non-Deterministic Encryption	8
6.1. Encryption Process	8
6.2. Decryption Process	9
6.3. Output Format and Encoding	9
6.4. Concrete Instantiations	9
6.4.1. ipcrypt-nd (KIASU-BC)	10
6.4.2. ipcrypt-ndx (AES-XTS)	10
6.4.3. Comparison of Modes	11
6.5. Alternatives to Random Tweaks	11
7. Security Considerations	11
7.1. Deterministic Mode Security	12
7.2. Non-Deterministic Mode Security	12
7.3. Implementation Security	12
7.4. Key Management Considerations	12
8. Implementation Details	13
8.1. Visual Diagrams	13
8.1.1. IPv4 Address Conversion Diagram	13
8.1.2. Deterministic Encryption Flow	13
8.1.3. Non-Deterministic Encryption Flow (ipcrypt-nd)	14
8.1.4. Non-Deterministic Encryption Flow (ipcrypt-ndx)	14
8.2. IPv4 Address Conversion	15

8.3.	IPv6 Address Conversion	15
8.4.	Conversion from a 16-Byte Array to an IP Address	16
8.5.	Deterministic Encryption (ipcrypt-deterministic)	16
8.6.	Non-Deterministic Encryption using KIASU-BC (ipcrypt-nd)	17
8.7.	Non-Deterministic Encryption using AES-XTS (ipcrypt-ndx)	18
8.8.	KIASU-BC Implementation Guide	19
8.8.1.	Overview	19
8.8.2.	Tweak Padding	19
8.8.3.	Round Structure	20
8.8.4.	Key Schedule	20
8.8.5.	Implementation Steps	20
8.8.6.	Example Implementation	21
9.	Implementation Status	22
10.	Licensing	23
11.	References	24
11.1.	Normative References	24
11.2.	Informative References	25
Appendix A.	Test Vectors	26
A.1.	ipcrypt-deterministic Test Vectors	26
A.2.	ipcrypt-nd Test Vectors	26
A.3.	ipcrypt-ndx Test Vectors	27
IANA Considerations	27
Acknowledgments	27
Author's Address	27

1. Introduction

This document specifies methods for the encryption and obfuscation of IP addresses for both operational use and privacy preservation. The objective is to enable network operators, researchers, and privacy advocates to share or analyze data while protecting sensitive address information.

This work addresses concerns raised in [RFC7624] regarding confidentiality in the face of pervasive surveillance. The security properties of these methods are discussed throughout this document and summarized in Section 7.

1.1. Use Cases and Motivations

IP addresses are personally identifiable information (PII). While generic encryption systems can protect them, the specialized methods described here offer significant advantages with well-defined security guarantees:

- * ***Efficiency and Compactness:*** All variants operate on exactly 128 bits, providing single-block encryption speed. Non-deterministic variants add only 8-16 bytes of tweak overhead compared to arbitrary expansion in generic encryption systems. This enables processing billions of addresses at network speeds.
- * ***High Usage Limits:*** Non-deterministic variants support extensive operations per key - approximately 4 billion for ipcrypt-nd and 18 quintillion for ipcrypt-ndx - far exceeding typical cryptographic limits while maintaining compact outputs.
- * ***Format Preservation (Deterministic):*** The ipcrypt-deterministic variant produces valid IP addresses, enabling seamless integration with existing network tools that validate IP formats (see Section 5.2).
- * ***Interoperability:*** By following the recommendations from this specification, implementations can reliably encrypt and decrypt IP addresses in a compatible way across different systems and vendors.

These specialized encryption methods unlock several critical use cases:

- * ***Privacy Protection:*** They prevent the exposure of sensitive user information in logs, analytics data, and network measurements ([RFC6973]).
- * ***Correlation Attack Resistance:*** While deterministic encryption can reveal repeated inputs, the non-deterministic variants leverage random tweaks to hide patterns and enhance confidentiality (see Section 6).
- * ***Privacy-Preserving Analytics:*** Encrypted IP addresses can be used directly for operations such as counting unique clients, rate limiting, or deduplication—without needing to reveal or access the original values.
- * ***Seamless Third-Party Integration:*** Encrypted IPs can act as privacy-preserving identifiers when interacting with untrusted services, cloud providers, or external platforms.

For implementation guidelines and practical examples, see Section 8.

1.2. Relationship to IETF Work

This section is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

This document does not conflict with any active IETF working group efforts. While the IETF has produced several RFCs related to privacy ([RFC6973], [RFC7258], [RFC7624]), there is no current standardization effort for IP address encryption methods. This specification complements existing IETF privacy guidance by providing concrete implementation methods.

The cryptographic primitives used (AES, format-preserving encryption) align with IETF cryptographic recommendations, and the document follows IETF formatting and terminology conventions where applicable.

2. Terminology

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “NOT RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Throughout this document, the following terms and conventions apply:

- * ***IP Address:** An IPv4 or IPv6 address as defined in [RFC4291].
- * ***16-Byte Representation:** A fixed-length representation used for both IPv4 (via IPv4-mapped IPv6) and IPv6 addresses.
- * ***Tweak:** A non-secret, additional input to a tweakable block cipher that further randomizes the output.
- * ***Deterministic Encryption:** Encryption that always produces the same ciphertext for a given input and key.
- * ***Non-Deterministic Encryption:** Encryption that produces different ciphertexts for the same input due to the inclusion of a randomly sampled tweak.
- * ***(Input, Tweak) Collision:** A scenario where the same input is encrypted with the same tweak. This reveals that the input was repeated but not the input's value.

3. IP Address Conversion

This section describes the conversion of IP addresses to and from a 16-byte representation. This conversion is necessary to operate a 128-bit cipher on both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses.

3.1. Converting to a 16-Byte Representation

3.1.1. IPv6 Addresses

IPv6 addresses are natively 128 bits and are converted directly using network byte order (big-endian) as specified in [RFC4291].

Example:

IPv6 Address: 2001:0db8:85a3:0000:0000:8a2e:0370:7334

16-Byte Representation: [20 01 0d b8 85 a3 00 00 00 00 8a 2e 03 70 73 34]

3.1.2. IPv4 Addresses

IPv4 addresses (32 bits) are mapped using the IPv4-mapped IPv6 format as specified in [RFC4291]:

IPv4 Address: 192.0.2.1

16-Byte Representation: [00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 FF FF C0 00 02 01]

3.2. Converting from a 16-Byte Representation to an IP Address

The conversion algorithm is as follows:

1. Examine the first 12 bytes of the 16-byte representation
2. If they match the IPv4-mapped prefix (10 bytes of 0x00 followed by 0xFF, 0xFF):
 - * Interpret the last 4 bytes as an IPv4 address in dotted-decimal notation
3. Otherwise:
 - * Interpret the 16 bytes as an IPv6 address in colon-hexadecimal notation

4. Generic Constructions

This specification defines two generic cryptographic constructions:

1. *128-bit Block Cipher Construction:*
 - * Used in deterministic encryption (see Section 5)
 - * Operates on a single 16-byte block
 - * Example: AES-128 treated as a permutation
2. *128-bit Tweakable Block Cipher (TBC) Construction:*

- * Used in non-deterministic encryption (see Section 6)
- * Accepts a key, a tweak, and a message
- * The tweak must be uniformly random when generated
- * Reuse of the same tweak on different inputs does not compromise confidentiality

Valid options for implementing a tweakable block cipher include, but are not limited to:

- * `*SKINNY*` (see [SKINNY])
- * `*DEOXS-BC*` (see [DEOXS-BC])
- * `*KIASU-BC*` (see Section 8.8 for implementation details)
- * `*AES-XTS*` (see Section 6.4.2 for usage)

Implementers **MUST** choose a cipher that meets the required security properties and provides robust resistance against related-tweak and other cryptographic attacks.

5. Deterministic Encryption


Deterministic encryption applies a 128-bit block cipher directly to the 16-byte representation of an IP address. All instantiations documented in this specification (`ipcrypt-deterministic`, `ipcrypt-nd`, and `ipcrypt-ndx`) are invertible - encrypted IP addresses can be decrypted back to their original values using the same key. For non-deterministic modes, the tweak must be preserved along with the ciphertext to enable decryption.

For implementation details, see Section 8.

5.1. `ipcrypt-deterministic`

The `ipcrypt-deterministic` instantiation employs AES-128 in a single-block operation. The key **MUST** be exactly 16 bytes (128 bits) in length. Since AES-128 is a permutation, every distinct 16-byte input maps to a unique 16-byte ciphertext, preserving the IP address format.

For test vectors, see Appendix A.1.



5.2. Format Preservation

- * If the 16-byte ciphertext begins with an IPv4-mapped prefix, it MUST be rendered as a dotted-decimal IPv4 address.
- * Otherwise, it is interpreted as an IPv6 address.

To ensure IPv4 format preservation, implementers MUST consider using cycle-walking (repeatedly encrypting until a valid IPv4-mapped address is obtained), a 32-bit random permutation, or a Format-Preserving Encryption (FPE) mode as specified in [NIST-SP-800-38G].

6. Non-Deterministic Encryption

Non-deterministic encryption leverages a tweakable block cipher together with a random tweak. For implementation details, see Section 8.

6.1. Encryption Process

The encryption process for non-deterministic modes consists of the following steps:

1. Generate a random tweak using a cryptographically secure random number generator
2. Convert the IP address to its 16-byte representation
3. Encrypt the 16-byte representation using the key and the tweak
4. Concatenate the tweak with the encrypted output to form the final ciphertext

The tweak is not considered secret and is included in the ciphertext. This allows the same tweak to be used for decryption.

6.2. Decryption Process

The decryption process consists of the following steps:

1. Split the ciphertext into the tweak and the encrypted IP
2. Decrypt the encrypted IP using the key and the tweak
3. Convert the resulting 16-byte representation back to an IP address

Although the tweak is generated uniformly at random, occasional collisions may occur according to birthday bounds. Such collisions are benign when they occur with different inputs. An (input, tweak) collision reveals that the same input was encrypted with the same tweak but does not disclose the input's value. The usage limits discussed below apply per cryptographic key; rotating keys can extend secure usage beyond these bounds.

6.3. Output Format and Encoding

The output of non-deterministic encryption is binary data. For applications that require text representation (e.g., logging, JSON encoding, or text-based protocols), the binary output MUST be encoded. Common encoding options include hexadecimal and Base64. The choice of encoding is application-specific and outside the scope of this specification. However, implementations SHOULD document their chosen encoding method clearly.

6.4. Concrete Instantiations

This document defines two concrete instantiations:

- * `*ipcrypt-nd*` Uses the KIASU-BC tweakable block cipher with an 8-byte (64-bit) tweak. See [KIASU-BC] for details.

- * `*ipcrypt-ndx:` Uses the AES-XTS tweakable block cipher with a 16-byte (128-bit) tweak. See [XTS-AES] for background.

In both cases, if a tweak is generated randomly, it MUST be uniformly random. Reusing the same randomly generated tweak on different inputs is acceptable from a confidentiality standpoint.

For test vectors, see Appendix A.2 and Appendix A.3.

6.4.1. `ipcrypt-nd` (KIASU-BC)

The `ipcrypt-nd` instantiation uses the KIASU-BC tweakable block cipher with an 8-byte (64-bit) tweak. For implementation details, see Section 8.8. The output is 24 bytes total, consisting of an 8-byte tweak concatenated with a 16-byte ciphertext.

Random sampling of an 8-byte tweak yields an expected collision for a specific tweak value after about $2^{(64/2)} = 2^{32}$ operations (approximately 4 billion operations). If an (input, tweak) collision occurs, it indicates that the same input was processed with that tweak without revealing the input's value.

These collision bounds apply per cryptographic key. By rotating keys regularly, secure usage can be extended well beyond these bounds. The effective security is determined by the underlying block cipher's strength.

For test vectors, see Appendix A.2.

6.4.2. `ipcrypt-ndx` (AES-XTS)

The `ipcrypt-ndx` instantiation uses the AES-XTS tweakable block cipher with a 16-byte (128-bit) tweak. The output is 32 bytes total, consisting of a 16-byte tweak concatenated with a 16-byte ciphertext.

For AES-XTS encryption of a single block, the computation avoids the sequential tweak calculations required in full XTS mode. Independent sampling of a 16-byte tweak results in an expected collision after about $2^{(128/2)} = 2^{64}$ operations (approximately 18 quintillion operations).

As with `ipcrypt-nd`, an (input, tweak) collision reveals repetition without compromising the input value. These limits are per key, and regular key rotation further extends secure usage. The effective security is governed by the strength of AES-128 (approximately 2^{128} operations).

6.4.3. Comparison of Modes

- * ***Deterministic (ipcrypt-deterministic):*** Produces a 16-byte output; preserves format but reveals repeated inputs.
- * ***Non-Deterministic:***
 - ***ipcrypt-nd (KIASU-BC):*** Produces a 24-byte output using an 8-byte tweak; (input, tweak) collisions reveal repeated inputs (with the same tweak) but not their values. Expected collision after approximately 4 billion operations per key.
 - ***ipcrypt-ndx (AES-XTS):*** Produces a 32-byte output using a 16-byte tweak; supports higher secure operation counts per key. Expected collision after approximately 18 quintillion operations per key.

6.5. Alternatives to Random Tweaks

While this specification recommends the use of uniformly random tweaks for non-deterministic encryption, implementers may consider alternative approaches:

- * ***Monotonic Counter:*** A counter could be used as a tweak, but this is difficult to maintain in distributed systems. If the counter is not encrypted and the tweakable block cipher is not secure against related-tweak attacks, this could enable correlation attacks.
- * ***UUIDs:*** UUIDs (such as UUIDv6 or UUIDv7) could be used as tweaks; however, these would reveal the original timestamp of the logged IP addresses, which may not be desirable from a privacy perspective.

Although the birthday bound is a concern with random tweaks, the use of random tweaks remains the recommended and most practical approach, offering the best tradeoffs for most real-world use cases.

7. Security Considerations

The ipcrypt constructions focus solely on confidentiality and do not provide integrity. This means that IP addresses in an ordered sequence can be partially removed, duplicated, reordered, or blindly altered by an active adversary. Applications that require sequences of encrypted IP addresses that cannot be modified must apply an authentication scheme over the entire sequence, such as an HMAC construction, a keyed hash function, or a public key signature. This is outside the scope of this specification, but implementers should

be aware that additional authentication mechanisms are required if protection against active adversaries is needed.

7.1. Deterministic Mode Security

A permutation ensures distinct inputs yield distinct outputs. However, repeated inputs result in identical ciphertexts, thereby revealing repetition.

This property makes deterministic encryption suitable for applications where format preservation is required, but linkability of repeated inputs is acceptable.

7.2. Non-Deterministic Mode Security

The inclusion of a random tweak ensures that encrypting the same input generally produces different outputs. In cases where an (input, tweak) collision occurs, an attacker learns only that the same input was processed with that tweak, not the value of the input itself.

Security is determined by the underlying block cipher (2^{128} for AES-128) on a per-key basis. Key rotation is recommended to extend secure usage beyond the per-key collision bounds.

7.3. Implementation Security

Implementations MUST ensure that:

1. Keys are generated using a cryptographically secure random number generator
2. Tweak values are uniformly random for non-deterministic modes
3. Side-channel attacks are mitigated through constant-time operations
4. Error handling does not leak sensitive information

7.4. Key Management Considerations

This specification focuses on the cryptographic transformations and does not mandate specific key management practices. However, implementers MUST ensure:

1. Keys are generated using cryptographically secure random number generators (see [RFC4086])

2. Keys are stored securely and access-controlled appropriately for the deployment environment
3. Key rotation policies are established based on usage volume and security requirements
4. Key compromise procedures are defined and tested

For high-volume deployments processing billions of IP addresses, regular key rotation (e.g., monthly or quarterly) is RECOMMENDED to stay well within the security bounds discussed in this document.

8. Implementation Details

This section provides detailed pseudocode and implementation guidance for the key operations described in this document.


8.1. Visual Diagrams

The following diagrams illustrate the key processes described in this specification.


8.1.1. IPv4 Address Conversion Diagram

```
IPv4: 192.0.2.1
  |
  v
Octets: C0 00 02 01
  |
  v
16-Byte Array:
[00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | FF FF | C0 00 02 01]
```


8.1.2. Deterministic Encryption Flow



8.1.3. Non-Deterministic Encryption Flow (ipcrypt-nd)



8.1.4. Non-Deterministic Encryption Flow (ipcrypt-ndx)



8.2. IPv4 Address Conversion

For a diagram of this conversion process, see Section 8.1.1.

```

function IPv4To16Bytes(ipv4_address):
    // Split the IPv4 address into its octets
    parts = ipv4_address.split(".")
    if length(parts) != 4:
        raise Error("Invalid IPv4 address")
    // Create a 16-byte array with the IPv4-mapped prefix
    bytes16 = [0x00] * 10           // 10 bytes of 0x00
    bytes16.append(0xFF)           // 11th byte: 0xFF
    bytes16.append(0xFF)           // 12th byte: 0xFF
    // Append each octet (converted to an 8-bit integer)
    for part in parts:
        bytes16.append(int(part))
    return bytes16
  
```

Example: For "192.0.2.1", the function returns

```
[00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, FF, FF, C0, 00, 02, 01]
```

8.3. IPv6 Address Conversion

```
function IPv6To16Bytes(ipv6_address):
    // Parse the IPv6 address into eight 16-bit words.
    words = parseIPv6(ipv6_address) // Expands shorthand notation and returns 8 words
    bytes16 = []
    for word in words:
        high_byte = (word >> 8) & 0xFF
        low_byte = word & 0xFF
        bytes16.append(high_byte)
        bytes16.append(low_byte)
    return bytes16
```

Example: For "2001:0db8:85a3:0000:0000:8a2e:0370:7334", the output is the corresponding 16-byte sequence.

8.4. Conversion from a 16-Byte Array to an IP Address

```
function Bytes16ToIP(bytes16):
    if length(bytes16) != 16:
        raise Error("Invalid byte array")

    // Check for the IPv4-mapped prefix
    if bytes16[0:10] == [0x00]*10 and bytes16[10] == 0xFF and bytes16[11] == 0xFF:
        ipv4_parts = []
        for i from 12 to 15:
            ipv4_parts.append(str(bytes16[i]))
        ipv4_address = join(ipv4_parts, ".")
        return ipv4_address
    else:
        words = []
        for i from 0 to 15 step 2:
            word = (bytes16[i] << 8) | bytes16[i+1]
            words.append(format(word, "x"))
        ipv6_address = join(words, ":")
        return ipv6_address
```

8.5. Deterministic Encryption (ipcrypt-deterministic)


```
function ipcrypt_deterministic_encrypt(ip_address, key):
    // The key MUST be exactly 16 bytes (128 bits) in length
    if length(key) != 16:
        raise Error("Key must be 16 bytes")

    bytes16 = convertTo16Bytes(ip_address)
    ciphertext = AES128_encrypt(key, bytes16)
    encrypted_ip = Bytes16ToIP(ciphertext)
    return encrypted_ip

function ipcrypt_deterministic_decrypt(encrypted_ip, key):
    if length(key) != 16:
        raise Error("Key must be 16 bytes")

    bytes16 = convertTo16Bytes(encrypted_ip)
    plaintext = AES128_decrypt(key, bytes16)
    original_ip = Bytes16ToIP(plaintext)
    return original_ip
```

8.6. Non-Deterministic Encryption using KIASU-BC (ipcrypt-nd)

```
function ipcrypt_nd_encrypt(ip_address, key):
    if length(key) != 16:
        raise Error("Key must be 16 bytes")

    // Step 1: Generate random tweak (8 bytes)
    tweak = random_bytes(8) // MUST be uniformly random

    // Step 2: Convert IP to 16-byte representation
    bytes16 = convertTo16Bytes(ip_address)

    // Step 3: Encrypt using key and tweak
    ciphertext = KIASU_BC_encrypt(key, tweak, bytes16)

    // Step 4: Concatenate tweak and ciphertext
    result = concatenate(tweak, ciphertext) // 8 bytes || 16 bytes = 24 bytes total
    return result

function ipcrypt_nd_decrypt(ciphertext, key):
    // Step 1: Split ciphertext into tweak and encrypted IP
    tweak = ciphertext[0:8] // First 8 bytes
    encrypted_ip = ciphertext[8:24] // Remaining 16 bytes

    // Step 2: Decrypt using key and tweak
    bytes16 = KIASU_BC_decrypt(key, tweak, encrypted_ip)

    // Step 3: Convert back to IP address
    ip_address = Bytes16ToIP(bytes16)
    return ip_address
```

8.7. Non-Deterministic Encryption using AES-XTS (ipcrypt-ndx)

```
function AES_XTS_encrypt(key, tweak, block):
    // Split the key into two halves
    K1, K2 = split_key(key)

    // Encrypt the tweak with the second half of the key
    ET = AES128_encrypt(K2, tweak)

    // Encrypt the block: AES128(block XOR ET, K1) XOR ET
    return AES128_encrypt(K1, block XOR ET) XOR ET
```

```
function ipcrypt_ndx_encrypt(ip_address, key):
    if length(key) != 32:
        raise Error("Key must be 32 bytes (two AES-128 keys)")

    // Step 1: Generate random tweak (16 bytes)
    tweak = random_bytes(16) // MUST be uniformly random

    // Step 2: Convert IP to 16-byte representation
    bytes16 = convertTo16Bytes(ip_address)

    // Step 3: Encrypt using key and tweak
    ciphertext = AES_XTS_encrypt(key, tweak, bytes16)

    // Step 4: Concatenate tweak and ciphertext
    result = concatenate(tweak, ciphertext) // 16 bytes || 16 bytes = 32 bytes total
    return result

function ipcrypt_ndx_decrypt(ciphertext, key):
    // Step 1: Split ciphertext into tweak and encrypted IP
    tweak = ciphertext[0:16] // First 16 bytes
    encrypted_ip = ciphertext[16:32] // Remaining 16 bytes

    // Step 2: Decrypt using key and tweak
    bytes16 = AES_XTS_decrypt(key, tweak, encrypted_ip)

    // Step 3: Convert back to IP address
    ip_address = Bytes16ToIP(bytes16)
    return ip_address
```

8.8. KIASU-BC Implementation Guide

This section provides a detailed guide for implementing the KIASU-BC tweakable block cipher used in `ipcrypt-nd`. KIASU-BC is based on AES-128 with modifications to incorporate a tweak.

8.8.1. Overview

KIASU-BC extends AES-128 by incorporating an 8-byte tweak into each round. The tweak is padded to 16 bytes and XORed with the round key at each round of the cipher. This construction is used in the `ipcrypt-nd` instantiation.

8.8.2. Tweak Padding

The 8-byte tweak is padded to 16 bytes using the following method:

1. Split the 8-byte tweak into four 2-byte pairs

2. Place each 2-byte pair at the start of each 4-byte group
3. Fill the remaining 2 bytes of each group with zeros

Example:

8-byte tweak: [T0 T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7]
16-byte padded: [T0 T1 00 00 T2 T3 00 00 T4 T5 00 00 T6 T7 00 00]

8.8.3. Round Structure

Each round of KIASU-BC consists of the following standard AES operations:

1. **SubBytes** Apply the AES S-box to each byte of the state
2. **ShiftRows** Rotate each row of the state matrix
3. **MixColumns** Mix the columns of the state matrix (except in the final round)
4. **AddRoundKey** XOR the state with the round key and padded tweak

For details about these operations, see [FIPS-197].

8.8.4. Key Schedule

The key schedule follows the standard AES-128 key expansion:

1. The initial key is expanded into 11 round keys
2. Each round key is XORed with the padded tweak before use
3. The first round key is used in the initial AddRoundKey operation

8.8.5. Implementation Steps

1. **Key Expansion**
 - * Expand the 16-byte key into 11 round keys using the standard AES key schedule
 - * Each round key is 16 bytes
2. **Tweak Processing**
 - * Pad the 8-byte tweak to 16 bytes as described above

- * XOR the padded tweak with each round key before use

3. *Encryption Process:*

- * Perform initial AddRoundKey with the first tweaked round key
- * For rounds 1-9:
 - SubBytes
 - ShiftRows
 - MixColumns
 - AddRoundKey (with tweaked round key)
- * For round 10 (final round):
 - SubBytes
 - ShiftRows
 - AddRoundKey (with tweaked round key)

8.8.6. Example Implementation

The following pseudocode illustrates the core operations of KIASU-BC:

```
function pad_tweak(tweak):
    // Input: 8-byte tweak
    // Output: 16-byte padded tweak
    padded = [0] * 16
    for i in range(0, 8, 2):
        padded[i*2] = tweak[i]
        padded[i*2+1] = tweak[i+1]
    return padded

function kiasu_bc_encrypt(key, tweak, plaintext):
    // Input: 16-byte key, 8-byte tweak, 16-byte plaintext
    // Output: 16-byte ciphertext

    // Expand key and pad tweak
    round_keys = expand_key(key)
    padded_tweak = pad_tweak(tweak)

    // Initial round
    state = plaintext
    state = add_round_key(state, round_keys[0] ^ padded_tweak)

    // Main rounds
    for round in range(1, 10):
        state = sub_bytes(state)
        state = shift_rows(state)
        state = mix_columns(state)
        state = add_round_key(state, round_keys[round] ^ padded_tweak)

    // Final round
    state = sub_bytes(state)
    state = shift_rows(state)
    state = add_round_key(state, round_keys[10] ^ padded_tweak)

    return state
```

Key and tweak sizes for each variant: - ipcrypt-deterministic: Key: 16 bytes (128 bits), no tweak - ipcrypt-nd: Key: 16 bytes (128 bits), Tweak: 8 bytes (64 bits) - ipcrypt-ndx: Key: 32 bytes (256 bits, two AES-128 keys), Tweak: 16 bytes (128 bits)

9. Implementation Status

This section is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the Independent Submissions Editor in judging whether the specification is suitable for publication.

Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features.

Multiple interoperable implementations of the schemes described in this document have been developed:

- * C implementation
- * D implementation
- * Go implementation
- * Java implementation (maven package)
- * JavaScript/TypeScript implementation (npm package)
- * PHP implementation (Composer package)
- * Python reference implementation
- * Rust implementation (cargo package)
- * Zig implementation
- * Dart implementation (pub.dev package)

A comprehensive list of implementations and their test results can be found at: <https://ipcrypt-std.github.io/implementations/>

All implementations pass the common test vectors specified in this document, demonstrating interoperability across programming languages.

10. Licensing

This section is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Implementations of the ipcrypt methods are freely available under permissive open source licenses (MIT, BSD, or Apache 2.0) at the repository listed in the Implementation Status section.

There are no known patent claims on these methods.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

[FIPS-197] NIST, "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)", FIPS PUB 197, 26 November 2001, <<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.197.pdf>>.

[IEEE-P1619] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Cryptographic Protection of Data on Block-Oriented Storage Devices", IEEE 1619-2007, 18 December 2007, <<https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/1619/2041/>>.

[NIST-SP-800-38G] NIST, "Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Methods for Format-Preserving Encryption", NIST SP 800-38G, March 2016, <<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-38G.pdf>>.

[RFC4086] Eastlake 3rd, D., Schiller, J., and S. Crocker, "Randomness Requirements for Security", BCP 106, RFC 4086, DOI 10.17487/RFC4086, June 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4086>>.

[RFC4291] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", RFC 4291, DOI 10.17487/RFC4291, February 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4291>>.

[RFC6973] Cooper, A., Tschofenig, H., Aboba, B., Peterson, J., Morris, J., Hansen, M., and R. Smith, "Privacy Considerations for Internet Protocols", RFC 6973, DOI 10.17487/RFC6973, July 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6973>>.

[RFC7258] Farrell, S. and H. Tschofenig, "Pervasive Monitoring Is an Attack", BCP 188, RFC 7258, DOI 10.17487/RFC7258, May 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7258>>.

- [RFC7624] Barnes, R., Schneier, B., Jennings, C., Hardie, T., Trammell, B., Huitema, C., and D. Borkmann, "Confidentiality in the Face of Pervasive Surveillance: A Threat Model and Problem Statement", RFC 7624, DOI 10.17487/RFC7624, August 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7624>>.
- [RFC7942] Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", BCP 205, RFC 7942, DOI 10.17487/RFC7942, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7942>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8174>>.

11.2. Informative References

- [BRW2005] Bellare, M., Rogaway, P., and D. Wagner, "Format-Preserving Encryption", CRYPTO 2005, 2005, <<https://www.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/papers/subset.pdf>>.
- [DEOXY-BC] Jean, J., Nikoli, I., and T. Peyrin, "Deoxys-BC: A Highly Secure Tweakable Block Cipher", Cryptology ePrint Archive Paper 2014/427, 2014, <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2014/427>>.
- [IPCRYPT2] Denis, F., "ipcrypt2: IP address encryption/obfuscation tool", 2025, <<https://github.com/jedisctl/ipcrypt2>>.
- [KIASU-BC] Jean, J., Nikoli, I., and T. Peyrin, "Tweaks and Keys for Block Ciphers: the TWEAKEY Framework", Cryptology ePrint Archive Paper 2014/831, 2014, <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2014/831>>.
- [LRW2002] Liskov, M., Rivest, R., and D. Wagner, "Tweakable Block Ciphers", Fast Software Encryption 2002, 2002, <<https://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~daw/papers/tweak-crypto02.pdf>>.
- [SKINNY] Beierle, C., Biryukov, A., Perrin, L., Udovenko, A., Velichkov, V., and Q. Wang, "The SKINNY Family of Block Ciphers and its Low-Latency Variant MANTIS", CRYPTO 2016, 2016, <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2016/660>>.
- [XTS-AES] Black, J., Dawson, E., Gueron, S., and P. Rogaway, "The XTS-AES Mode for Disk Encryption", IEEE 1619-2007, 2010.

Appendix A. Test Vectors

This appendix provides test vectors for all three variants of ipcrypt. Each test vector includes the key, input IP address, and encrypted output. For non-deterministic variants (ipcrypt-nd and ipcrypt-ndx), the tweak value is also included.

Implementations MUST verify their correctness against these test vectors before deployment.

A.1. ipcrypt-deterministic Test Vectors

```
# Test vector 1
Key:      0123456789abcdeffedcba9876543210
Input IP: 0.0.0.0
Encrypted IP: bde9:6789:d353:824c:d7c6:f58a:6bd2:26eb

# Test vector 2
Key:      1032547698badcfeefcdab8967452301
Input IP: 255.255.255.255
Encrypted IP: aed2:92f6:ea23:58c3:48fd:8b8:74e8:45d8

# Test vector 3
Key:      2b7e151628aed2a6abf7158809cf4f3c
Input IP: 192.0.2.1
Encrypted IP: ldbd:clb9:fff1:7586:7d0b:67b4:e76e:4777
```

A.2. ipcrypt-nd Test Vectors

```
# Test vector 1
Key:      0123456789abcdeffedcba9876543210
Input IP: 0.0.0.0
Tweak:    08e0c289bff23b7c
Output:    08e0c289bff23b7cb349aadfe3bcef56221c384c7c217b16

# Test vector 2
Key:      1032547698badcfeefcdab8967452301
Input IP: 192.0.2.1
Tweak:    21bd1834bc088cd2
Output:    21bd1834bc088cd2e5e1fe55f95876e639faae2594a0caad

# Test vector 3
Key:      2b7e151628aed2a6abf7158809cf4f3c
Input IP: 2001:db8::1
Tweak:    b4ecbe30b70898d7
Output:    b4ecbe30b70898d7553ac8974d1b4250eafc4b0aa1f80c96
```

A.3. ipcrypt-ndx Test Vectors

```
# Test vector 1
Key:      0123456789abcdeffedcba98765432101032547698badcfeefcdab8967452301
Input IP:  0.0.0.0
Tweak:    21bd1834bc088cd2b4ecbe30b70898d7
Output:    21bd1834bc088cd2b4ecbe30b70898d782db0d4125fdace61db35b8339f20ee5

# Test vector 2
Key:      1032547698badcfeefcdab89674523010123456789abcdeffedcba9876543210
Input IP:  192.0.2.1
Tweak:    08e0c289bff23b7cb4ecbe30b70898d7
Output:    08e0c289bff23b7cb4ecbe30b70898d7766a533392a69edf1ad0d3ce362ba98a

# Test vector 3
Key:      2b7e151628aed2a6abf7158809cf4f3c3c4fcf098815f7aba6d2ae2816157e2b
Input IP:  2001:db8::1
Tweak:    21bd1834bc088cd2b4ecbe30b70898d7
Output:    21bd1834bc088cd2b4ecbe30b70898d76089c7e05ae30c2d10ca149870a263e4
```

For non-deterministic variants (ipcrypt-nd and ipcrypt-ndx), the tweak values shown are examples. In practice, tweaks MUST be uniformly random for each encryption operation.

IANA Considerations

This document does not require any IANA actions.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the contributions and insightful comments from members of the IETF and the broader cryptographic community that have helped shape this specification.

Author's Address

Frank Denis
Fastly Inc.
Email: fde@00f.net